A DC/DC Converter with High Voltage Gain Using Soft-Switching Technique

B.Srujana, D.Kumaraswamy, D.R.K Paramahamsa

Abstract—A soft-switching dc/dc converter with high voltage gain is proposed in this paper. It provides a continuous input current and high voltage gain. Moreover, soft-switching characteristic of the proposed converter reduces switching loss of active power switches and raises the conversion efficiency. The reverse-recovery problem of output rectifiers is also alleviated by controlling the current changing rates of diodes with the use of the leakage inductance of a coupled inductor. The paper can be extended with some features like ZVS technique to reduce losses even more.A zero-voltage-switching (ZVS) dc-dc converter with high voltage gain can be presented. It consists of a ZVS boost converter stage and a ZVS halfbridge converter stage and two stages are merged into a single stage. The ZVS boost converter stage provides a continuous input current and ZVS operation of the power switches. The ZVS half-bridge converter stage provides a high voltage gain.

Index Terms—Boost converter, high voltage gain, soft switching.

I. INTRODUCTION

ECENTLY, the demand for dc/dc converters with high voltage gain has increased. The energy shortage and the atmosphere pollution have led to more researches on the renewable and green energy sources such as the solar arrays and the fuel cells [1]-[5]. Moreover, the power systems based on battery sources and super capacitors have been increased. Unfortunately, the output voltages of these sources are relatively low. Therefore, the step-up power conversion is required in these systems [6], [7]. Besides the stepup function, the demands such as low current ripple, high efficiency, fast dynamics, light weight, and high power density have also increased for various applications. Input current ripple is an important factor in a high step-up dc/dc converter [8], [9]. Especially in the fuel cell systems, reducing the input current ripple is very important because the large current ripple shortens fuel cell's lifetime as well as decreases performances [10]–[14]. Therefore, current-fed converters are commonly used due to their ability to reduce the current ripple [15].

In applications that require a voltage step-up function and a continuous input current, a continuousconduction-mode (CCM) boost converter is often used due to its advantages such as continuous input current and simple structure. However, it has a limited voltage gain due to its parasitic components. Moreover the reverse-recovery problem of the output diode degrades the system's performances. At the moment when the switch turns on, the reverse-recovery phenomenon of the output diode of the boost converter is provoked. The switch is submitted to a high current change rate and a high peak of reverse-recovery current. The parasitic inductance that exists in the current loop causes a ringing of the parasitic voltage, and then, it increases



Fig. 1. Circuit diagram of the proposed dc/dc converter.

the voltage stresses of the switch and the output diode. These effects significantly contribute to increase switching losses and electromagnetic interference. The reverse-recovery problem of the output diodes is another important factor in dc/dc converters with high voltage gain [16], [17]. In order to overcome these problems, various topologies have been introduced. In order to extend the voltage gain, the boost converters with coupled inductors are proposed in [18] and [19]. Their voltage gains are extended, but they lose a continuous input current characteristic and the efficiency is degraded due to hard switching of power switches. For a continuous input current, current-fed step-up converters are proposed in [20] and [21]. They provide high voltage gain and galvanic isolation. However, the additional snubbers are required to reduce the voltage stresses of switches. In order to increase the efficiency and power conversion density, a soft-switching technique is required in dc/dc converters [22]–[27].

A soft-switching dc/dc converter with high voltage gain, which is shown in Fig. 1, is proposed. A CCM boost cell provides a continuous input current. To increase the voltage gain, the output of the coupled inductor cell is laid on the top of the output of the CCM boost cell. Therefore, the high voltage gain is obtained without high turn ratio of the coupled inductor, and the voltage stresses of the switches are confined to the output voltage of the CCM boost cell. A zero-voltageswitching (ZVS) operation of the power switches reduces the switching loss during the switching transition and improves the overall efficiency.



Fig. 2. Key waveforms of the proposed converter.

II. ANALYSIS OF THE PROPOSED CONVERTER

Fig. 1 shows the circuit diagram of the proposed soft switching dc/dc converter with high voltage gain. Its key waveforms are shown in Fig. 2. The switches S1 and S₂ are operated asymmetrically and the duty ratio D is based on the switch S1. D1 and D2 are intrinsic body diodes of S1 and S2. Capacitors C1 and C2 are the parasitic output capacitances of S1 and S2. The proposed converter contains a CCM boost cell. It consists of LB, S1, S2, Co1 and Co2. The CCM boost cell provides a continuous input current. When the switch S1 is turned on, the boost inductor current *iLB* increases linearly from its minimum value ILB2 to its maximum value ILB1. When the switch S1 is turned off and the switch S₂ is turned on, the current *iLB* decreases linearly from ILB1 to ILB2. Therefore, the output capacitor voltages Vo1 and Vo2 can be derived easily as

$$V_{o1} = V_{in} \tag{1}$$

$$V_{o2} = \frac{D}{1 - D} V_{in} \tag{2}$$

To obtain ZVS of S₁ and S₂ and high voltage gain, a coupled inductor L_c is inserted. The coupled inductor L_c is modelled as the magnetizing inductance L_m , the leakage inductance L_k , and the ideal transformer that has a turn ratio of 1:n ($n = N_2/N_1$). The voltage doubler consists of diodes D₁, D₂ and the output capacitors C_{o3} , C_{o4} , and the secondary winding N_2 of the coupled inductor L_c is on the top of the output stage of the boost cell to increase voltage gain. The coupled inductor current *iL* varies from its minimum value $-IL_1$ to its maximum value IL_2 . The operation of the proposed converter in one switching period T_s can be divided into six modes. Fig. 3 shows the operating modes. Before t_0 , the switch S₂ and diode D₄ are conducting.

Mode 1 [t0, t1]: At *t0*, the switch S₂ is turned off. Then, the boost inductor current *iLB* and the coupled inductor current *iL* start to charge C_2 and discharge C_1 . Therefore, the voltage *v*s1 across S₁ starts to fall and the voltage *v*s2 across S₂ starts to rise. The transition interval *Tt*1 of switches can be considered as

$$T_{t1} = \frac{(C_1 + C_2)V_{in}}{(1 - D)(I_{L1} . I_{LB2})}$$
(3)

Since the output capacitances C_1 and C_2 of the switches are very small, the transition interval T_{t1} is very short and it can be neglected. Therefore, the inductor currents *iLB* and *iL* can be considered to have constant values during mode 1.

Mode 2 [t1, t2]: At *t1*, the voltage *vs1* across the lower switch S1 becomes zero and the lower diode D1 is turned on. Then, the gate signal is applied to the switch S1. Since the current has already flown through the lower diode D1 and the voltage *vs1* becomes zero before the switch S1 is turned on, zero-voltage turn-ON of S1 is achieved. Since the voltage across the boost inductor *LB* is *Vin*, the boost inductor current increases linearly from *ILB2*. Since *v1* is -Vin and *vk* is Vo4 + nVin, the magnetizing current *im*, the primary current *i1*, the secondary current *i2*, and the inductor current *iL* are given by

$$i_m(t) = i_{m1} - \frac{V_{in}}{L_m}(t-t_1)$$
 (4)

$$i_2(t) = -I_{D4} + \frac{V_{04} + nV_{in}}{L_k}(t - t_1)$$
(5)

$$i_1(t) = ni_2(t) = nI_{D4} + n\frac{V_{04} + nV_{in}}{L_k}(t-t_1)$$
(6)

$$i_{L}(t) = -i_{m}(t) + i_{1}(t) = -i_{m1} - nI_{D4} + \frac{V_{in}}{L_{m}}(t - t_{1}) + n\frac{V_{04} + nV_{in}}{L_{k}}(t - t_{1})$$
(7)



Fig. 3. Operating modes.

Mode 3 [t2, t3]: At *t2*, the secondary current *i2* changes its direction. The diode current *i*D4 decreases to zero and the diode D4 is turned off. Then, diode D3 is turned on and its current increases linearly. Since the current changing rate

of D4 is controlled by the leakage inductance of the coupled inductor, its reverse-recovery problem is alleviated. Since v_1 is $-V_{in}$ and v_k is $nV_{in} - V_{o4}$, the current *im*, the primary

Vol. 2 Issue 8, August - 2013

current i_1 , the secondary current i_2 , and the inductor current i_L are given by

$$i_m(t) = i_m(t_2) - \frac{V_{in}}{L_m}(t - t_2)$$
 (8)

$$i_{2}(t) = \frac{nV_{in} - V_{03}}{L_{k}}(t - t_{2})$$
⁽⁹⁾

$$i_1(t) = ni_2(t) = n \frac{nV_{in} - V_{03}}{L_k}(t - t_2) \qquad (10)$$

$$iL(t) = -i_m(t) + i_1(t)$$

= $-i_m(t_2) + \frac{V_{in}}{L_m}(t - t_2) + n \frac{nV_{in} - V_{03}}{L_k}(t - t_2)$

(11)

Mode 4 [t3, t4]: At *t3*, the lower switch S₁ is turned off. Then, the boost inductor current *iLB* and the coupled inductor current *iL* start to charge C_1 and discharge C_2 . Therefore, the voltages *v*S₁ and *v*S₂ start to rise and fall in a manner similar to that in mode 1. The transition interval *Tt*₂ of switches can be considered as

$$T_{t2} = \frac{(C_1 + C_2)V_{in}}{(1 - D)(I_{L2} - I_{LB1})}$$
(12)

 T_{t2} is also negligible. Therefore, the inductor currents *iLB* and *iL* can be considered to have constant values during T_{t2}

Mode 5 [*t*4, *t*5]: At *t*4, the voltage *v*s2 across the upper switch S2 becomes zero and the diode D2 is turned on. Then, the gate signal is applied to the switch S2. Since the current has already flown through the diode D2 and the voltage *v*s2 becomes zero before the switch S2 is turned on, zero-voltage turn-ON of S2 is achieved. Since the voltage across the boost inductor *LB* is -(Vin/(1 - D) - Vin), the boost inductor current decreases linearly from *ILB*1. Since *v*1 is DVin/(1 - D) and *vk* is -Vo3 - nDVin/(1 - D), the magnetizing current *im*, the primary current *i*1, the secondary current *i*2, and the inductor current *iL*

$$i_{m}(t) = -i_{m2} + \frac{DV_{in}}{L_{m}(1-D)}(t-t_{4})$$
(13)

$$i_{2}(t) = I_{D3} - \frac{V_{03} + (D/1-D)nV_{in}}{L_{k}}(t-t_{4})$$
(14)

$$i_{1}(t) = ni_{2}(t)$$

$$= n I_{D3} - n \frac{V_{03} + (D/1 - D)nV_{in}}{L_k} (t - t_4)$$
(15)

$$i_{L}(t) = i_{m2} + ni_{D3} - \left(\frac{DV_{in}}{L_{m}(1-D)} + nn\frac{V_{03} + (D/1-D)nV_{in}}{L_{k}}\right)(t-t_{4})$$
(20)

Mode 6 [t5, t6]: At *t5*, the secondary current *i2* changes its direction. The diode current *iD3* decreases to zero and the

diode D₃ is turned off. The reverse-recovery problem of D₃ is also alleviated due to the leakage inductance of L_c . Then, the diode D₄ is turned on and its current increases linearly. Since v_1 is $DV_{in}/(1 - D)$ and v_k is $V_{o4} - nDV_{in}/(1 - D)$, the current i_m , the primary current i_1 , the secondary current i_2 , and the inductor current i_L are given by

$$i_{m}(t) = -i_{m}(t_{5}) + \frac{DV_{in}}{L_{m}(1-D)}(t-t_{5}) \qquad (17)$$

$$i_{2}(t) = -\frac{(D/1-D)nV_{in}-V_{04}}{L_{k}}(t-t_{5}) \qquad (18)$$

$$i_{1}(t) = ni_{2}(t) = -n\frac{(D/1-D)nV_{in}-V_{04}}{L_{k}}(t-t_{5}) \qquad (19)$$

$$i_{L}(t) = -i_{m}(t_{5}) \qquad -\left(\frac{DV_{in}}{L_{m}(1-D)} + nn\frac{V_{03}+(D/1-D)nV_{in}}{L_{k}}\right)(t-t_{5})$$

The average values of v_{LB} and v_1 , V_{o1} can be considered to be V_{in} . Referring to the voltage waveforms v_{LB} in Fig. 2, the volt–second balance law gives

 $V_{in}DT_s - (V_{o1} + V_{o2} - V_{in}) (1 - D)T_s = 0.$ (21) From modes 3 and 5, the current I_{D3} can be written as follows:

$$I_{D3} = \frac{nV_{in} - V_{03}}{L_k} (D - \Delta_1)T_s$$

= $\frac{V_{03} + (D_1 - D)nV_{in}}{L_k} \Delta_2 T_s$

(22)

from where, the output voltage Vo3 can be obtained by

$$V_{03} = \frac{D - \Delta_1 - (D/1 - D)\Delta_2}{D - \Delta_1 + \Delta_2} nV_{in}$$
(23)

From modes 2 and 6, the current *I*_{D4} can be written as follows:

$$I_{D4} = \frac{nV_{in} + V_{04}}{L_k} (\Delta_1) T_s$$

$$=\frac{-V_{04}+(D/1-D)nV_{in}}{L_k}((1-D)-\Delta_2)T_s \ (24)$$

from where, the output voltage Vo4 can be obtained by

$$V_{04} = \frac{D - \Delta_1 - (D/1 - D)\Delta_2}{1 - D + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2} nV_{in}$$
(25)

Since the average value of the current i_2 is zero, the following relation can be obtained:

$$(D - \Delta_1 + \Delta_2) I_{D3} = (1 - D + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2) I_{D4}$$
. (26)

From (22), (24), (25), and (26), the relation between Δ_1 and Δ_2 is obtained by

$$\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} = \frac{D}{1 - D} \tag{27}$$

Since the average value of the current i_m is zero, its peak values I_{m1} and I_{m2} have the following values:

$$I_{m1} = I_{m2} = \frac{DV_{in}T_s}{2L_m}$$
(28)

The output current *I*₀ in Fig. 1 can be represented by

$$I_{o} = (D - \Delta_{1} + \Delta_{2}) \frac{I_{D3}}{2} = (1 - D + \Delta_{1} - \Delta_{2}) \frac{I_{D4}}{2}.(29)$$

From (22), (27), and (29), Δ_1 and Δ_2 are obtained by

$$\Delta 1 = \alpha D \qquad (30)$$
$$\Delta 2 = \alpha (1 - D) \qquad (31)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{8L_k I_0}{nDV_{in}T_s}} \right)$$

III. CHARACTERISTIC AND DESIGN PARAMETERS

A. Input Current Ripple

The input current ripple ΔI_{LB} can be written as

$$\Delta I_{LB} = I_{LB1} - I_{LB2} = \frac{DV_{in}T_s}{L_B}.$$
 (32)

To reduce the input current ripple ΔILB below a specific value $I_{*,}^{\text{condition:}}$ the inductor *LB* should satisfy the following condition:

$$L_B > \frac{DV_{in}T_s}{I^*} (33)$$

B. Voltage Gain

From (1), (2), (23), (25), (27), (30), and (31), the voltage gain of the proposed converter is obtained by

$$\frac{V_o}{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{1 - D} + \frac{nD(1 - \alpha)}{(D - \alpha(2D - 1))(1 - D + \alpha(2D - 1))}$$
(34)

$$\frac{V_o}{V_{in}} = \frac{1+n}{1-D} \tag{35}$$

C. ZVS Condition

The ZVS condition for S2 is given by

$$I_{m2} + nI_{D3} + I_{LB1} > 0 \tag{36}$$

from where, it can be seen that the ZVS of S_2 is easily obtained. For ZVS of S_1 , the following condition should be satisfied:

$$I_{m1} + nI_{D4} > I_{LB2}.$$
 (37)

On the assumption that α is small, *I*_{D4} and *I*_{LB2} can be simplified as follows:

$$I_{D4} = \frac{2I_o}{1 - D} \tag{38}$$

$$I_{LB2} = \frac{(n+1)I_o}{1-D} - \frac{\Delta I_{LB}}{2}$$
(39)

From (38) and (39), the inequality (37) can be rewritten by

$$I_{m1} + \frac{2nI_o}{1-D} > \frac{\Delta I_{LB}}{2} + \frac{(n+1)I_o}{1-D} - \frac{\Delta I_{LB}}{2}$$
(40)

Since I_{m1} , I_o , and DILB are all positive values, the inequality (40) is always satisfied for n>1. From (36) and (40), it can be seen that ZVS conditions for S₁ and S₂ are always satisfied. Moreover, dead times of two switches S₁ and S₂ should be considered. The gate signal should be applied to the switch before the current that flows through the anti parallel diode changes its direction. Namely, the leakage inductance L_k should be large enough for the current to maintain its direction during dead times of two switches, S₁ and S₂. This condition can determine the minimum value of the leakage inductance. From (30), the leakage inductance L_k should satisfy the following condition:

$$L_{k} > \frac{nV_{in}DT_{s}}{8I_{o}} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\Delta 1^{*}}{D}\right)^{2} \right]$$

$$\tag{41}$$

Where Δ_{*1} is a predetermined minimum value of Δ_1 . The leakage inductance of the coupled inductor also alleviates the reverse recovery problem of output diode. Large leakage inductance can remove the reverse-recovery problem but it reduces the voltage gain as shown in Fig. 4(b).

III. Future Scope of Work

AZVS dc-dc converter with high voltage gain can be suggested. It can achieve ZVS turn-ON of two power switches while maintaining CCM. In addition, the reverserecovery characteristics of the output diodes were significantly improved by controlling the current changing rate with the use of the leakage inductance of the transformer. The ZVS converter presents a higher efficiency and a wider ZVS region compared to other soft-switching converters due to the ZVS boost converter stage.

Simulink Model



Simulink Results



V. CONCLUSION

A soft-switching dc/dc converter with high voltage gain has been proposed in this paper. The proposed converter can minimize the voltage stresses of the switching devices and lower the turn ratio of the coupled inductor. It provides a continuous input current, and the ripple components of the Vol. 2 Issue 8, August - 2013

input current can be controlled by using the inductance of the CCM boost cell. Soft switching of power switches and the alleviated reverse-recovery problem of the output rectifiers improve the overall efficiency.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Blaabjerg, Z. Chen, and S. B. Kjaer, "Power electronics as efficient interface in dispersed power generation systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1184–1194, Sep. 2004.

[2] R. J. Wai, W. H. Wang, and C. Y. Lin, "High-performance stand-alone photovoltaic generation system," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 240–250, Jan. 2008.

[3] C. Wang and M. H. Nehrir, "Power management of a standalone

wind/photovoltaic/fuel cell energy system," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 957–967, Sep. 2008.

[4] R. J. Wai and W. H. Wang, "Grid-connected photovoltaic generation system," *IEEETrans. CircuitsSyst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 953–964, Apr. 2008.

[5] R. J.Wai, C. Y. Lin, R. Y. Duan, and Y. R. Chang, "High-efficiency power conversion system for kilowatt-level distributed generation unit with low input voltage," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 3702–3714, Oct. 2008.

[6] K. Kobayashi, H. Matsuo, and Y. Sekine, "Novel solar-cell power supply system using a multiple-input DC–DC converter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 281–286, Feb. 2006.

[7] S. K. Mazumder, R. K. Burra, and K. Acharya, "A ripple-mitigating and energy-efficient fuel cell power-conditioning system," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1437–1452, Jul. 2007.

[8] C. Wang, Y. Kang, B. Lu, J. Sun, M. Xu, W. Dong, F. C. Lee, and W. C. Tipton, "A high power-density, high efficiency front-end converter for capacitor charging application," in *Proc. IEEE APEC*, Mar. 2005, vol. 2, pp. 1258–1264.

^[9] Z. Qun and F. C. Lee, "High-efficiency, high step-up DC–DC converters," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 65–73, Jan. 2003,

[10] X. Kong and A. M. Khambadkone, "Analysis and implementation of a high efficiency, interleaved current-fed full bridge converter for fuel cell system," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 543–550, Mar2007.

[11] S. Pradhan, S. K. Mazumder, J. Hartvigsen, and M. Hollist, "Effects of electrical feedbacks on planar solid-oxide fuel cell," *ASME J. Fuel Cell Sci. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 154–166, May 2007.

[12] W. Choi, P. N. Enjeti, and J. W. Howze, "Development of an equivalent circuit model of a fuel cell to evaluate the effects of inverter ripple current," in *Proc. IEEE APEC*, 2004, vol. 1, pp. 355–361.

[13] G. Fontes, C. Turpin, S. Astier, and T. A. Meynard, "Interactions between fuel cells and power converters: Influence of current harmonics on a fuel cell stack," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 670–678, Mar. 2007.

[14] S. K. Mazumder, S. K. Pradhan, J. Hartvigsen, M. R. von Spakovsky, and D. F. Rancruel, "Effects of battery buffering on the post-load-transient performance of a PSOFC," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 457–466, Jun. 2007.

Authors

B.Srujana is a P.G Scholar at Auroras Research and Technological Institute, Warangal. Her areas of interest include High Voltage, Power Electronics and DC/DC converters.

D.Kumaraswamy is working as Associate Professor and Head in EEE department at ARTI, Warangal. His areas of research include Power Electronics and Resonant Converters.

D.R.K Paramahamsa is working as Associate Professor in EEE department at ARTI, Warangal. His areas of research include Power Systems and Control Systems.