A Distributed Polarizing Transmission System for Frequency Selective Fading Channels

Tinuola Olayinka Coker, Joulani Shadi Muhammad Jamal, Biniyame Mulatu Yilma and Redon Dimroci

Abstract— Motivated by Arikan's channel polarization that shows the occurrence of capacity-achieving code sequences, we address the scheme design issues by switching to polarizing frequency selective fading channels while transmitting information symbols in a sourcerelay-destination MIMO-OFDM relay communication system. A simple polar-and-forward (PF) MIMO relay scheme, with source node polar coding and relay nodes polar coding, is proposed to provide an alternative solution for transmitting with higher reliability than the conventional decodeand-forward/amplify-and-forward (DF/AF) relay schemes. In the proposed scheme, OFDM modulator is implemented at source node, some simple operations, namely time reversion, complex conjugation and polarization, are implemented at relay nodes, and the cyclic prefix (CP) removal is performed at destination node. It is divided into two symmetrical polarizing relay systems, i.e., the down-polarizing system and the up-polarizing system, which result in different capacities for the polar system. We analyze the bit error rate (BER) performance with the fixed polar system equipped with four OFDM blocks, which is an idea approach to select signal sequences that tend to polarize in terms of the reliability under certain combining and splitting the transmitted OFDMs in the frequency selective fading (FSF) channels. The polar system has a salient recursiveness feature, and thus the transmitted information signals embedded in the polar code can be decoded with a lowcomplexity decoder.

I. INTRODUCTION

The channel polarization shows an attractive construction of provably capacity-achieving coding sequences [1]–[6]. It has provided an attempt method to meet this elusive goal for multi- fold binary-input discrete memoryless channels, where channel combining and splitting operations were applied to improve its symmetric capacity [1], [2]. Actually, the polarization of multiple channels is a commonplace phenomenon and thus it is almost impossible to avoid as long as several channels are synthesized in a proper density with certain arrangements. During the past decade, the multiple-input multi-output (MIMO) communication system has been well studied to promise significants of the increasing spectral effciency, channel capacity and link reliability [7]-[11]. It shows that the coding gain and diversity can be simultaneously achieved with suitable coding schemes. As the MIMO techniques grown up, researchers have been exploring new communication paradigms. A potential proposal is the so-called wireless relay system, which provides the reliable transmission, high throughput and broad coverage for wireless network [12], [19], and [20]. The eminent merits of a MIMO wireless system lie in its potential temporal diversity gain, spatial diversity gain and multiplexing gain to enhance link reliability. This elegant technique can be further exploited to explore the potential spatial and temporal diversity on flat-fading or frequency selective fading (FSF) channels with some proper transmission schemes, such as spacetime (ST) coding [13], space-frequency (SF) coding and space-time-frequency (STF) coding [14]–[18]. It is shown that the coding gain and diversity can be simultaneously achieved with suitable coding schemes. Unfortunately, as the number of transmit antennas becomes large, the complexity of decoding increases, which makes the design of coding or modulating schemes difficult.

MIMO relay communications, together with the orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) techniques, present an effective way of increasing reliability as well as achievable rates in next generation wireless networks. Cooperative diversity is usually achieved through relay nodes that help the source node forwarding its information. Deploying proper relays between source node and destination node can not only overcome shadowing due to inevitable obstacles, but also reduce the transmit power from the source node. In the MIMO- OFDM relay system, two or more nodes share and transmit jointly their information symbols in a multi-antenna array, which enables the high data rate and diversity gain. A usual approach to share information is to tune in the transmitted signals and process the whole (or partial) received information in regenerative or non-regenerative way. The former employs a decode-andforward (DF) relay scheme in which each relay decodes the original information from the source and forwards it to the destination [12]. Unfortunately, since channels are usually noisy and fading, the processed information signals are not perfect. Therefore, we have to study a possible coding or modulating strategy to improve its performance that makes a merit of relay system. In the latter scheme it exploits an amplify-and-forward (AF) scheme to amplify and retransmit the scaled signals without any attempt to decode the original information [21], [22]. In the light of superiority of these relay strategies with the availability of CSI, we consider the coding design of the MIMO-OFDM relay system for the FSF channels with the fixed gain relaying scheme using the polar- and-forward (PF) relay technique, in which each relay node encodes and retransmits the partial signals with the fixed power constraint. A key feature of this scheme is that we do not require relays to decode. Only a simple processing operation is done at each relay, which makes the transmission much simple and hence can avoid imposing bottlenecks on the data rate.

Recently, significant efforts have been related to the increasing capacity [23] or the optimal design of the relay system

[24] in terms of the DF/AF relay schemes based on a scenario equipped with single or multiple antennas. However, further improvement should be sought in these relay systems, in which the loss of the signal rate is boosted as the number of relay nodes along with antennas increases. While a key component relay design is to optimize the precoding of source and relay in benefits of multiple antennas and multiple OFDM symbols, how to design the MIMO-OFDM relay system to achieve high reliability with low-decoding complexity via a coding approach becomes a challenge.

The problem with the previous relay system is the data rate loss as the number of relay nodes increases. This leads to the use of polar coding sequences in MIMO-OFDM system, where relay nodes are allowed to simultaneously transmit the same OFDM systems over the FSF channels. We consider a simple design of the relay system that achieves the fascinating symmetric capacity of the FSF channels based on polar coding with a successive interference cancellation (SIC) decoder at destination node, which is motivated by the fascinating Shanon's channel coding theorem [25]. It is an extension of work where OFDM combining and splitting are used for recursive code construction with the SIC decoding, which are essential characters of the polar coding sequences [1]-[6]. This is an idea approach to construct code sequences as combining and splitting OFDM for the FSF channels to increase its reliability.

Furthermore, we establish an analytical framework that illustrates the potential bit error rate (BER) performance to be achieved from the polar MIMO-OFDM relay system. We argue that the present system may increase the symmetric capacity under a low computation complexity of the SIC decoding due to the fact that a large number OFDM symbols may be equipped for the polarizing FSF channels that tend to polarize under certain OFDM combining and splitting operations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the polar MIMO relay system with two switching communication model, the down-polarizing system and the up-polarizing system. In Section III, we systematically study the design of an simple PF scheme with spacetime-frequency (STF) transmission for down-polarizing and up-polarizing FSF channels. Some simulation results are also depicted in order to show the BER performance behavior and robustness of this polar MIMO-OFDM relay system. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section IV.



a) Down-polarizing OFDM Blocks for Distributed System



b) Up-polarizing OFDM blocks for Distributed System

Fig. 1. The relay communication system based on the polarizing MIMOOFDM channels with two models: (a) denotes the down-polarizing communication model; and (b) denotes the up-polarizing communication model.

Some notations are defined throughout this paper as follows:

- C: complex number field;
- \mathbb{Z}_{T} : finite non-negative integer set $\{0, 1, \dots, T-1\}$;
- Bold faced uppercase letters, such as A: matrices;
- tr: trace of a matrix;
- Bold faced down-case letters, such as **a**: column vectors;
- Superscripts (.)^T, (.)^H, and (.)^{*}: transpose, complex, conjugate transpose, complex conjugate, respectively;

- $\|\cdot\|_{F}$: Frobenius norm of a matrix;
- E[x]: expectation of variable x;
- \otimes : the Kronecker product;
- •: the Hadamard product, i.e., the component-wise product;
- \mathbf{I}_n : identity matrix of size $n \times n$;
- diag(d₀, ..., d_{N -1}): a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries d₀, ..., d_{N -1}.

I. CHANNEL POLARIZATION: DOWN-POLARIZING AND UP-POLARIZING MIMO-OFDM RELAY SYSTEM

We consider the distributed wireless system based on OFDM modulation with N subcarriers. There is one source node S, one destination node D, and two relay nodes $R \triangleq$,

{R1,R2}, as shown in Fig.1. There is only one antenna at all nodes S, R and D, respectively. This assumption is applicable for any nodes equipped with multiple antennas. We consider a scenario where N_s OFDM symbols are transmitted for $N_s =$ 2^{n} . The design of the relay scheme that can mitigate relay synchronization errors is considered. Each relay node R_k , $\forall k$ $\in \{1, 2\}$, is assumed to be capable of processing the OFDM symbols independently and correctly. The average transmit power at source node S is p_t. The relay scheme is half-duplex, meaning that S and R do not transmit and receive simultaneously. The N_s independent OFDM symbols are transmitted simultaneously from source node S to destination node D in two stages. In the first stage the initial signal OFDM symbols are polarized and transmitted from source node S to each relay node R_k , $\forall k \in \{1,2\}$. In the second stage each relay node Rk forwards the (partial) signal vector received from source node S to destination node D while source node S keeps silent. We further assume that each single-link between a pair of transmit antenna and receive antenna is frequency selective Rayleigh fading with L independent propagation, which experiences quasi-static and remains unchanged in certain blocks. Denote the fading coefficient from source node S to relay node R_k as $h_{SR_k} = \phi_k$ and the fading coefficient from relay node R_k to destination node D as $h_{R_kD} = \kappa_k$. Assume that φ_k and κ_k , $\forall k \in \{1,2\}$, are independent zero mean complex Gaussian random variables. Two channel impulse responses $\varphi_k(t)$ from source node S to destination node R are written as:

$$\phi_k(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \alpha_{sk}(l) \delta(t - \tau_{l,sk}), \qquad (1)$$

where $\alpha_{sk}(l)$ represents the channel coefficient of the $l^{''}$ path

of the channels, and Tl_{sk} is the corresponding path delay. Each channel coefficient $\alpha_{sk}(l)$ is modelled as zero mean complex Gaussian random variables with variance $\sigma^2_{l,sk}$ such

that $\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sigma_{l,sk}^2 = 1$. We also assume that $\alpha_{sk}(l)$ are i.i.d. ran-

dom variables for any (k, l). Similarly, other two channel impulse responses $\kappa_k(t)$ from relay node R_k to destination node D are written as:

$$K_{k}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \alpha_{sk}(l) \delta(t - \tau_{l,sk}), \qquad (2)$$

where $\alpha rk(l)$ represents the channel coefficient of the lth path of the channels, and $\tau l, rk$ is the corresponding path delay. Each channel coefficient $\alpha rk(l)$ is also modelled as zero mean complex Gaussian random variables with variance $\sigma 2$ l, rk such that $\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sigma_{l,rk}^{2} = 1$. In addition, we denote the average

power for one transmission of each relay Rk as pr. The constraint on the total network power is p = pt+2pr. We also adopt the power allocation strategy suggested in [26], and thus have

$$p_t = 2p_r = p/2.$$
 (3)

The MIMO-OFDM channel model, denoted by $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, is created between source node S and relay nodes R, and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ between R and D. Here entries of **H** and **K** are assumed independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with distribution CN(0, 1). For the distributed MIMO-OFDM relay wireless system with source-relay-destination triplet structure, it is equivalent to two partial MIMO-OFDM wireless systems. One part has the MIMO channel model H, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{H} = \operatorname{diag}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2), \tag{4}$$

and another part **K** is given by $\mathbf{K} = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2). \tag{5}$

Based on the MIMO-ODFM relay channels **H** and **K** in (4) and (5), we design the polar system for the transmission of the signal vector **x**, in which we switch to the polar system in four consecutive time slots, i.e., down-polarizing and uppolarizing communications in turn. The system has two transmission phases. In phase 1, the source node broadcasts four OFDM symbols that are first polarized at source node S to each relay node R_k . In phase 2, source node S stops the transmission and each relay node R_k that polarizes the received symbols for the second time and retransmits the resulting symbols to destination node D.

A. Down-polarizing MIMO-OFDM Relay System

At source node S the transmitted information is modulated into complex symbols x_{ij} and then each N modulated symbol as a block are poured into an OFDM modulator of N subcarriers. Denote four consecutive OFDM blocks by $x_i = (x_{i,0}, x_i)$ $x_{i,1},\ \dots,\ x_i, N{-}1)^T \text{, } \forall \ i \in \ \mathbb{Z}_4 \text{. We define } x_i + x_j = (x_{i,0} + x_{j,0},$

 $x_{i,1}+x_{j,1},\ \ldots,\ x_i,N{-}1+x_j,N{-}1)T,\ \forall\ i,\ j\in\ \mathbb{Z}_4,$ for polarization calculation.

In the first time slot, four consecutive OFDM blocks are processed with the down-polarizing 4×4 matrix \mathbf{Q}_4 at source node S, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Q}_4,\tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3)$ denotes the polarized matrix of size N × 4, $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3)$ denotes the signal matrix of size N × 4 corresponding to four OFDM blocks, the polar matrix \mathbf{Q}_4 is given by $\mathbf{Q}_4 = \mathbf{I}_2 \otimes \mathbf{Q}_2$. Here matrix \mathbf{Q}_2 is a down-

polarizing matrix defined as Arikan's fashion [1], i.e.,

$$\mathbf{Q}_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) . \tag{7}$$

Therefore, we have $u_{2k-2} = \chi_{2k-2}$ and $u_{2k-1} = \chi_{2k-2} + \chi_{2k-1}$, for $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$.

In the OFDM modulator, the four consecutive blocks are modulated by N-point FFT. Then each block is precoded by a cyclic prefix (CP) with length l_{cp} . Thus each OFDM symbol consists of $Ls = N+l_{cp}$ samples. Finally, four OFDM symbols are broadcasted to two relay nodes. Denote by τ_{sd2} the overall relative delay from source node S to relay node R₂, and then to destination node D, where the relative delay means it is relative to relay node R₁. In order to combat against both frequency selective fading channels and timing errors, we assume that $l_{cp} \ge max_{1,k}{\tau l_{,sk}+\tau l_{,rk}+\tau_{sd2}}$. Denote four consecutive OFDM symbols by \check{u}_i , $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, where \check{u}_i consists of FFT(u_i) and the corresponding CP.

At each relay R_k , the received noisy signals will be simply processed and forwarded to destination node D. Assume the channel coefficients are constant during four OFDM symbol intervals.

We define two processed vectors $\breve{u}_1 = \left[\breve{u} \ \overset{T}{0}, \ \breve{u} \ \overset{T}{2} \ \right]^T$ and $\breve{u}_2 =$

 $\begin{pmatrix} T & T & T \\ u & T & T \end{pmatrix}^T$ which are polarized at R1 and R2, respectively.

Namely, at source node S we have

$$u_{1} = \left(\chi_{0}^{T} \times \chi_{3}^{T}\right)^{T}$$
$$u_{2} = \left(\left(\chi_{0} + \chi_{1}\right)^{T}, \left(\chi_{2} + \chi_{3}\right)^{T}\right)^{T},$$
(8)

and consequently

Therefore, the received signals at $R_k \forall k \in \{1, 2\}$, for four successive OFDM symbol durations can be given by

$$\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{O}} = \sqrt{pt} \, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_0 \, \circledast \, \phi_k + \frac{\forall}{n}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{O}}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{1}} = \sqrt{pt} \, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_1 \, \circledast \, \phi_k + \frac{\forall}{n}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{1}}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{2}} = \sqrt{pt} \, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_2 \, \circledast \, \phi_k + \frac{\forall}{n}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{2}}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{3}} = \sqrt{pt} \, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_1 \, \circledast \, \phi_k + \frac{\forall}{n}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{3}}$$
(10)

TABLE I

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PF SCHEME FOR THE DOWN-POLARIZED SYSTEM AT RELAY NODES. OF_i DENOTE THE ith OFDM BLOCK.

	Polar R ₁	Polar R ₁	Process R ₁	Process R ₂
OF ₀	ř10	ř20	ζ(ř ₁₀)	0
OF ₁	ř ₁₁	ř ₂₁	0	ř21
OF ₂	$\check{r}_{10} + \check{r}_{12}$	ř ₂₂	$\zeta(\check{r}_{10}+\check{r}_{12})$	0
OF ₃	ř ₁₃	$\check{r}_{23} + \check{r}_{21}$	0	$(\check{r}_{23}+\check{r}_{21})^*$

Then each relay node R_k polarizes, processes and forwards the received noisy signals as shown in Table I, where $\zeta(\cdot)$ denotes the time-reversal of the signals, i.e., $\zeta(\check{r}_{ki}(Q)) \triangleq \check{r}_{ki}(L_s - Q), \forall Q \in \mathbb{Z}_{Ls}$, and hence $\zeta(\check{r}_{ki}(L_s)) = \check{r}_{ki}(0)$, $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z} 4$. Denote by $\check{v}_0 \triangleq \zeta(\check{r}_{10}), \check{v}_1 \triangleq \zeta(\check{r}_{10} + \check{r}_{12}), \check{v}_2 \triangleq \check{r}_{21}$ and $\check{v}_3 \triangleq (\check{r}_{21} + \check{r}_{23})*$. For the Qth subcarrier of \check{v}_i we also take the notations $\check{v}_{i,Q} \triangleq \check{v}_i(Q), \forall \in \mathbb{Z}_N.\Delta$

After performing the above-mentioned processing operations, each relay node R_k amplifies the yielded symbols with a scalar $\lambda = \sqrt{pr/(p_t + 1)}$ while remaining the average transmission power p_r . In order to make the PF scheme available for the FSF channels, it is required that for each relay R_k it can only implement the time reversal operation $\zeta(\cdot)$ or the complex conjugation operation $(\cdot)^*$ on the received OFDM symbols.

At destination node D, the CP is removed for each OFDM symbol. We note that relay node R1 implements the time reversions of the noisy signals including both information symbols and CP. What we need is that after the CP removal, we obtain the time reversal version of only information symbols, i.e., $\zeta(FFT(u_i)), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then by using some properties of FFT/IFFT, we achieve the feasible definition as follows.

Definition 2.1 According to the processed four OFDM symbols at relay node R_1 we can obtain

$$\zeta(\phi_{i}) \circledast \zeta(FFT(u_{i}))$$

at destination node if we remove the CP as in a conventional OFDM system to get an N-point vector and shift the last $\tau'_1 = l_{\rm cp} - \tau_1 + 1$ samples of the N-point vector as the first τ'_1 samples. Here ϕ'_1 is an N ×1 vector defined as

 $\varphi_1' = (\alpha_{s1}(0), \dots, \alpha_{s1}(L-1), 0, \dots, 0),$

and τ_1 denotes the maximum path delay of channel φ_1 from source node S to relay node R_1 , i.e., $\tau_1 = \max_1\{\tau_1, s_1\}$. In a similar way, we define another N ×1 vector

$$\kappa'_{1} = (\alpha_{r1}(0), \dots, \alpha_{r1}(L-1), 0, \dots, 0)$$

At destination node D, after the CP removal, the received four successive OFDM symbols can be written as

$$\begin{split} y_{0} &= \lambda(\sqrt{pt\zeta(FFT(u_{0}))} \circledast \zeta(\phi_{1}) + \bar{n}_{10}) \circledast \kappa_{1} + n_{0} \\ y_{1} &= \lambda(\sqrt{pt\zeta(FFT(u_{0}+u_{2}))} \circledast \zeta(\phi_{1}) + \bar{n}_{10} + \bar{n}_{12}) \circledast \kappa_{1} + n_{1} \\ y_{2} &= \lambda(\sqrt{pt(FFT(u_{1}))} \ast \circledast t_{sd2} \circledast t_{1} \circledast \phi_{2} + \bar{n}_{21}^{*}) \circledast \kappa_{2} + n_{2} \\ y_{3} &= \lambda(\sqrt{pt(FFT(u_{3}+u_{1}))} \ast \circledast t_{sd2} \circledast t_{1} \circledast \phi_{2} + \bar{n}_{21}^{*} + \bar{n}_{23}^{*}) \\ & \circledast \kappa_{2}^{'} + n_{3}, \end{split}$$

where t_{sd2} is an N × 1 vector that represents the timing errors in the time domain denoted as $t_{sd2} = (0_{\tau,sd2}, 1, 0, ..., 0)^{T}$, and $0_{\tau_{sd2}}$ is a 1 × τ_{sd2} vector of all zeros, and Γ_1 is the shift of samples in the time domain defined as $t_1 = (0_{\tau_1}, 1, 0, ..., 0)^{T}$. Since the signals transmitted from R_2 will arrive at the destination τ_{sd2} samples later and after the CP removal, the signals are further shifted by τ_1 samples. The total number of shifted samples is denoted by $\tau_2 = \tau_{sd2} + \tau_1$. Here \bar{n}_{ki} is the AWGN at relay node R_k after the CP removal, and n_i denotes the AWGN at destination node D.

After that the received OFDM symbols are transformed by the N-point FFT. As mentioned before, because of the timing errors, the OFDM symbols from relay node R2 arrive at destination node τ_{sd2} samples later than that of symbols from relay node R₁. Since l_{cp} is long enough, we can still maintain the orthogonality between subcarriers. The delay τ_{sd2} in the time domain corresponds to a phase change in the frequency domain, i.e.,

 $f^{\tau sd2} = (1, e^{-i2\pi\tau sd2/N}, \dots, e^{-i2\pi\tau sd2(N-1)/N})^T$, (12) where $f = (1, e^{-i2\pi/N}, \dots, e^{-i2\pi(N-1)/N})^T$ and $\iota = \sqrt{-1}$. Similarly, the shift of τ_1 samples in the time domain also corresponds to a phase change f^{τ_1} , and hence the total phase change is f^{τ_2} . Denote by $\check{y}i = (\check{y}_{i0}, \check{y}_{i1}, \dots, \check{y}_{i(N-1)}), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, the received signals for four consecutive OFDM blocks at destination node D after the CP removal and the N-point FFT transformations. Namely, we have

$$\begin{split} & \breve{y}_{0} = \lambda [\sqrt{p_{t}} FFT(\xi(FFT(u_{0}))) \circ \breve{\phi}_{1} \circ \breve{k}_{1} + \breve{\bar{n}}_{10} \circ \breve{k}_{1}] + \breve{\bar{n}}_{0} \\ & \breve{y}_{1} = \lambda [\sqrt{p_{t}} FFT(\xi(FFT(u_{0} + u_{2}))) \circ \breve{\phi}_{1} \circ \breve{k}_{1} + (\breve{\bar{n}}_{10} + \breve{\bar{n}}_{10}) \circ \breve{k}_{1}] + \breve{\bar{n}}_{1} \\ & \breve{y}_{2} = \lambda [\sqrt{p_{t}} FFT((FFT(u_{1}))^{*}) \circ f^{\tau t} \circ \breve{\phi}_{2} \circ \breve{k}_{2} + \breve{\bar{n}}_{21} \circ \breve{k}_{2}] + \breve{\bar{n}}_{2} \\ & \breve{y}_{3} = \lambda [\sqrt{p_{t}} FFT((FFT(u_{3} + u_{1}))^{*}) \circ f^{\tau t} \circ \breve{\phi}_{2} \circ \breve{k}_{2} + (\breve{\bar{n}}_{21} + \breve{\bar{n}}_{23}) \circ \breve{k}_{2}] + \breve{\bar{n}}_{3}, \\ & \text{Where } \breve{\phi}_{1} = FFT(\xi(\phi_{1})) , \ \breve{k}_{1} = FFT(\kappa_{1}) , \ \breve{\phi}_{2} = FFT((\phi_{1})^{*}) , \\ & \breve{k}_{2} = FFT(\kappa_{2}) , \ \breve{\bar{n}}_{ki} = FFT(\bar{n}_{ki}) \text{ and } \ \breve{\bar{n}}_{i} = FFT(\bar{n}_{i}), \forall k \in \{1,2\} \text{ and} \\ & \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_{-4} \end{split}$$

According to the properties of the well-known FFT transforms for an N \times 1 point vector x, we have

(FFT(x)) * = IFFT(x*),

$$FFT(\zeta(FFT(x))) = IFFT(FFT(x)) = x.$$
(14)

Therefore, the formulas in (13) can be written in the polar form on each subcarrier $\rho, \forall \rho \in \mathbb{Z} N$, as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{0\epsilon} \\ y_{1\epsilon} \\ y_{2\epsilon} \\ y_{3\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \sqrt{p_t} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_1 \tilde{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\phi}_1 \tilde{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{0\epsilon} \\ u_{2\epsilon} + u_{0\epsilon} \\ u_{1\epsilon}^* \\ u_{3\epsilon}^* + u_{1\epsilon}^* \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{e}_0$$

$$= \lambda \sqrt{p_t} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_1 \tilde{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{\phi}_1 \tilde{\kappa}_1 & \tilde{\phi}_1 \tilde{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{0\epsilon} \\ u_{2\epsilon} \\ u_{1\epsilon}^* \\ u_{3\epsilon}^* \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{e}_0$$

$$(15)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{0\epsilon} \\ y_{1\epsilon} \\ y_{2\epsilon}^* \\ y_{3\epsilon}^* \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \sqrt{p_t} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & 0 & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & 0 \\ \Phi_2^* & \Phi_2^* & 0 & 0 \\ \Phi_2^* & \Phi_2^* & \Phi_2^* & \Phi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{0\epsilon} \\ x_{1\epsilon} \\ x_{2\epsilon} \\ x_{3\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} + e$$

$$= \mathcal{H}_I \mathbf{x}_{I\epsilon} + \mathcal{H}_F \mathbf{x}_{F\epsilon} + \mathbf{e},$$

$$(16)$$

where $\Phi_2 \triangleq f_{\epsilon}^{\tau_2} \bar{\phi}_2 \bar{k}_2$, $\Phi_2^* \triangleq f_{\epsilon}^{\tau_2} \bar{\phi}_2 \bar{k}_2$, $f_{\epsilon}^{\tau_2} = \exp(-\iota 2\pi_{\epsilon}\tau/N)$, H_I and H_F are *information* generator matrix and *frozen* generator matrix defined, respectively, as

$$\mathcal{H}_{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} & 0\\ \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} & 0\\ \Phi_{2} & \Phi_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ \Phi_{2} & \Phi_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} x_{l\varrho} = (x_{0\varrho} \ , \ x_{1\varrho})^T, \ x_{F \ \varrho} = (x_{2\varrho}, \ x_{3\varrho})^T, \ x_{i\varrho} \ \ \text{is the } \varrho^{th} \ \ \text{element} \\ \text{of } x_i, \ \kappa_{k,\varrho} \ \text{is the } \varrho^{th} \ \text{element of } \kappa_k, \ n_{ki,\varrho} \ \text{is the } \varrho^{th} \ \text{element of } n_{ki}, \ \text{and } n_{i,\varrho} \ \text{is the } \varrho^{th} \ \text{element of } n_{ki}, \ \text{and } n_{i,\varrho} \ \text{is the } \varrho^{th} \ \text{element of } n_k, \ n_{ki,\varrho} \ \text{is the } \varrho^{th} \ \text{element of } n_{ki}, \ \text{and } n_{i,\varrho} \ \text{is the } \varrho^{th} \ \text{element of } n_k, \ \forall \ k \in \{1,2\} \ \text{and } \forall \ i \in \mathbb{Z}_4. \ \text{Two } 4 \times 1 \ \text{vectors } e_0 \ \text{and } e \ \text{are the polarized noises given } \\ \text{by } e_0 = (e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{03}, e_{04})^T \ \text{and } e = (e_{01}, e_{02}, e^{03}, e^{04})^T, \ \text{where } e_{01} = n_{10,\varrho} \ \kappa_{1,\varrho} + \check{n}_{0,\varrho}, \ e_{02} = (n_{10,\varrho} + n_{12,\varrho}) \\ \kappa_{1,\varrho} + \check{n}_{1,\varrho}, \ e_{03} = n_{21,\varrho} \ \kappa_{2,\varrho} + \check{n}_{2,\varrho} \\ \text{and } e_{04} = (n_{21,\varrho} + n_{23,\varrho}) \\ \kappa_{2,\varrho} + \check{n}_{3,\varrho}. \end{array}$

We note that sub-vector $x_{I\varrho}$ serves as the information vector while sub-vector $x_{F\ \varrho}$ as the frozen vector for the

OFDM modulator for the un-nolarizing sys-

down-polarizing MIMO relay system, which can be derived from the Bhattacharyya parameter vector for the derivation of the reliability of the FSF channels, calculated in next section. The combined matrix $H = (H_I, H_F)$ has the same structure as Arikan's 4 × 4 polar matrix [1], [2]

$$\mathbf{G}_4 = \mathbf{P}_4 \mathbf{Q}_2^{\otimes 2} = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

where P_4 is a permutation matrix given by

$$\mathbf{P}_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(18)

B. Up-polarizing MIMO-OFDM Relay System

In the next time slot, the four consecutive OFDM blocks are processed with the *up-polarizing* 4×4 matrix Q_4 at S, i.e.,

$$U' = XQ'_4, \tag{19}$$

TABLE II IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PF SCHEME FOR THE UP-POLARIZED SYSTEM AT RELAY NODES OF_i DENOTE THE ith OFDM BLOCK.

	Polar		Process	Process
	R ₁	Polar R_1	R ₁	R_2
OF ₀	\breve{r}_{10} + \breve{r}_{12}^1	\breve{r}_{20}	$\zeta(\breve{\mathbf{r}}_{10}+\breve{r}_{12})$	0
OF ₁	\breve{r}_{11}	\breve{r}_{21} + \breve{r}_{23}	0	$(\breve{r}_{21}' + \breve{r}_{23}')^*$
OF ₂	\breve{r}_{12}	\breve{r}_{22}	$\zeta(\breve{r}_{12})$	0
OF ₃	\breve{r}_{13}	\breve{r}_{23}	0	$(\widetilde{r_{23}})^*$

where $U' = (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$ denotes the *up-polarized* matrix of size N × 4, $X = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denotes the initial signal matrix of size N ×4, the *up-polarizing* operation Q_4 is given by $Q_4 = I_2 \otimes Q_2^1$ and Q_2^1 is defined as

$$Q_2^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(20)

Therefore, we have $u_{2k-2}{=}_{x_{2k-2}}+x_{2k-1} \text{and}\ u_{2k-1}=x_{2k-1}, \ \forall \ k\in \{1,2\}.$

In the OFDM modulator for the *up-polarizing* system, four resulting consecutive blocks are also modulated by *N*-point

FFT and are precoded by a CP with length lcp. Denote by $\tilde{u}_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} 4$ four consecutive OFDM symbols that consist of FFT(u_i) and the corresponding CP. At each relay Rk, the received noisy OFDM symbols, denoted by \tilde{r}_i , will be polarized, processed and forwarded to destination node D.

Define two vectors $u_1 = (u_0^T, u_1^T)^T$ and

 $u'_{2} = (u'^{T}_{1}, u'^{T}_{3})^{T}$ such that

$$u'_{1} = ((x_{0} + x_{1})^{T}, (x_{2} + x_{3})^{T})^{T},$$

$$u'_{2} = (x_{1}^{T}, +x_{3}^{T})^{T}.$$
(21)

After performing N-point FFT onto u_i , $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}4$

$$\begin{split} &\check{u}_1 = (FFT(x_0+x_1)^T, FFT(x_2+x_3)^T)^T, \\ &\check{u}_2 = (FFT(x_1)^T, FFT(x_3)^T)^T. \end{split} \tag{22}$$

Therefore, the received OFDM symbols at relay node $\ensuremath{\mathsf{R}}_k$ can be written as

$$\vec{r}_{k0}^{'} = \sqrt{pt}\vec{u}_{0}^{'} \otimes \phi_{k} + \overline{n}_{k0},$$

$$\vec{r}_{k1}^{'} = \sqrt{pt}\vec{u}_{1}^{'} \otimes \phi_{k} + \overline{n}_{k1},$$

$$\vec{r}_{k2}^{'} = \sqrt{pt}\vec{u}_{2}^{'} \otimes \phi_{k} + \overline{n}_{k2},$$

$$\vec{r}_{k3}^{'} = \sqrt{pt}\vec{u}_{3}^{'} \otimes \phi_{k} + \overline{n}_{k3},$$
(23)

Then two relay nodes polarize, process and forward the received noisy OFDM symbols as shown in Table II. After that we obtain

$$\vec{v}_{0}^{'} = \zeta(\vec{r}_{10}^{'} + \vec{r}_{12}^{'}), \vec{v}_{1}^{'} = \zeta(\vec{r}_{12}^{'}), \vec{v}_{2}^{1} = (\vec{r}_{21}^{'} + \vec{r}_{23}^{'})^{*} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v}_{3}^{1} = (\vec{r}_{23}^{'})^{*}.$$

After performing the processing, each relay node R_k amplifies the yielded signals with a scalar $\lambda = \sqrt{p_r/(p_t + 1)}$ and forwards them to the destination node D.

At destination node D, the CP is removed for each OFDM symbol before being depolarized to decode the initial information with high reliability. Then the received noisy OFDM symbols for four successive OFDM symbol durations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} y_{0}^{'} &= \lambda(\sqrt{p_{t}}\zeta(FFT(u_{0}^{'}+u_{2}^{'})) \\ \otimes \zeta(\phi_{1}^{'}) + \overline{n}_{10} + \overline{n}_{20}) \otimes k_{1}^{'} + \overline{n}_{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1}^{'} &= \lambda(\sqrt{p_{t}}\zeta(FFT(u_{2}^{'})) \otimes \zeta(\phi_{1}^{'}) \\ + \overline{n}_{20}) \otimes k_{1}^{'} + \overline{n}_{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2}^{'} &= \lambda(\sqrt{p_{t}}\zeta(FFT(u_{1}^{'}+u_{3}^{'})) * \\ \otimes \Gamma_{sd2} \otimes \Gamma_{1}^{'} \otimes \phi_{2}^{'} + \overline{n}_{21}^{*} + \overline{n}_{23}^{*}) \otimes k_{2}^{'} + \overline{n}_{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{3}^{'} &= \lambda(\sqrt{p_{t}}\zeta(FFT(u_{3})) * \otimes \Gamma_{sd2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\otimes \Gamma_1 \otimes \phi_2 + \overline{n}_{23}^* \otimes k_2 + \overline{n}_3$$

Consequently, after the CP removal and N-point FFT transformations, the received four consecutive OFDM blocks can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{0}^{'} &= \lambda [\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{t}} \operatorname{FFT}(\zeta(\operatorname{FFT}(\mathbf{u}_{0} + \mathbf{u}_{2}))) \circ \breve{\phi}_{1} \circ \breve{\mathbf{k}}_{1} \\ &+ (\overline{\mathbf{n}}_{10} + \overline{\mathbf{n}}_{12}) \circ \breve{\mathbf{k}}_{1}] + \breve{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{1}^{'} &= \lambda [\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{t}} \operatorname{FFT}(\zeta(\operatorname{FFT}(\mathbf{u}_{2}))) \circ \breve{\phi}_{1} \circ \breve{\mathbf{k}}_{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \overline{\mathbf{n}}_{12} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{\breve{k}}_{1}] + \mathbf{\breve{n}}_{1}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{2}^{'} = \lambda [\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{t}} \mathbf{FFT}(\zeta(\mathbf{FFT}(\mathbf{u}_{1} + \mathbf{u}_{3}))) \circ f^{T2}$$

$$\circ \mathbf{\breve{\phi}}_{2} \circ \mathbf{\breve{k}}_{2} + (\overline{\mathbf{n}}_{21}^{*} + \overline{\mathbf{n}}_{23}^{*}) \mathbf{o} \mathbf{\breve{k}}_{2}] + \mathbf{\breve{n}}_{2}$$

$$(25)$$

$$\mathbf{y}'_{3} = \lambda[\sqrt{p_{t}} \operatorname{FFT}(\zeta(\operatorname{FFT}(\mathbf{u}_{3}))^{*}) \circ f^{T2}$$
$$\circ \breve{\phi}_{2} \circ \breve{\mathbf{k}}_{2} + \overline{\mathbf{n}}_{23}^{*} \circ \breve{\mathbf{k}}_{2}] + \breve{\mathbf{n}}_{3}$$

For each subcarrier $q, \forall q \in \mathbb{Z} N$, the formulas in (25) can

be written in the up-polarizing structure as follows,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{0\epsilon} \\ y_{1\epsilon} \\ y_{2\epsilon} \\ y_{3\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \sqrt{p_t} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{0\epsilon} + u_{0\epsilon} \\ u_{2\epsilon} \\ u_{1\epsilon}^* + u_{1\epsilon}^* \\ u_{3\epsilon}^* \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{e}'_0$$

$$= \lambda \sqrt{p_t} \begin{pmatrix} \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 & \Phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{0\epsilon} \\ u_{2\epsilon} \\ u_{1\epsilon}^* \\ u_{3\epsilon}^* \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{e}'_0$$

$$(26)$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{0\epsilon} \\ y_{1\epsilon} \\ y_{2\epsilon}^* \\ y_{3\epsilon}^* \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \sqrt{p_t} \begin{pmatrix} \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 \\ 0 & 0 & | & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 & \check{\phi}_1 \check{\kappa}_1 \\ 0 & \Phi_2^* & | & 0 & \Phi_2^* \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 & \Phi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{0\epsilon} \\ x_{1\epsilon} \\ x_{2\epsilon} \\ x_{3\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{e}'$$
(27)

where $H_{I}^{'}$ and $H_{F}^{'}$ are *information* generator matrix and *frozen* generator matrix defined, respectively, as

$$\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\prime} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} & \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_{2}^{*} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{H}_{F}^{\prime} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} & \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} \\ \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} & \check{\phi}_{1}\check{\kappa}_{1} \\ 0 & \Phi_{2}^{*} \\ 0 & \Phi_{2}^{*} \end{pmatrix},$$
(28)

 $\begin{aligned} \dot{x_{Ie}} &= (x_{0e}, x_{1e})^{T} \text{ denote the frozen vector (bits),} \\ \dot{x_{Ie}} &= (x_{2e}, x_{3e})^{T} \text{ is the vector (bits), } e_{0}^{'} \text{ and } e_{0}^{'} &= \\ (e_{01}^{'}, e_{02}^{'}, e_{03}^{'}, e_{04}^{'})^{T} \text{ and } e_{0}^{'} &= (e_{01}^{'}, e_{02}^{'}, e_{03}^{'}, e_{04}^{'})^{T} \text{ where } \\ e_{01}^{'} &= (\overline{n}^{'}, 1_{0,e} + \overline{n}^{'}, 1_{2,e}) \breve{k}_{1,e} + \breve{n}_{0,e}, e_{02}^{'} &= \overline{n}^{'}, 1_{2,e} \breve{k}_{1,e} \\ &+ \breve{n}^{'}, 1_{e}, e^{'}, 0_{3} &= (\overline{n}^{'}, 1_{2,e} + \overline{n}^{'}, 1_{2,e}) \breve{k}_{2,e} + \breve{n}_{2e}, \end{aligned}$ and $e_{04}^{'} &= \overline{n}^{'}_{23,e} \breve{k}_{2,e} + \breve{n}_{1,e}. \end{aligned}$

Next, we can design the polar MIMO-OFDM relay system by switching to polarizing four OFDM symbols for the FSF channels. Based on the *down-polarizing* system to transmit the \in th subcarrier of four OFDM symbols, we have the received vector of size 4 × 1 given by

$$\psi_{\epsilon} = H_{I \times I \epsilon} + H_{F \times F, \epsilon} + e, \tag{29}$$

whereas deploying the *up-polarizing* system, we have

$$y'_{\in} = H'_{F,\in} + H'_{I} \times'_{I,\in} + e'$$
 (30)

We can decode the initial *information* vectors x_I and x'_I , respectively respectively, using the conventional the ML receiver or the ZF/MMSE receiver after depolarizing the transmitted signals at destination node D. However, in this paper we introduce a polar receiver via the polar decoding under a low complexity SIC strategy that can bring out similar performance behaviors as that of ML decoding for small number of OFDM blocks, i.e., $N_S = 4$. Fortunately, due to the benefits of polar coding sequences for the large number $N_s = 2^n[1]$ –[6] it also shares the good BER performance behavior of polarizing FSF channels in terms of its capacity-achieving properties [25] as non-negative integer *n* goes to infinity.

III. DECODING OF THE POLAR MIMO-OFDM RELAY SYSTEM

We consider all single-links of the FSF channel H from each pair of transmit antenna of source node S and receive antenna of relay node R_k , and K from relay node R_k to destination node D, which are independent complex Gaussian random variables with zero-mean and unit-variance. Each single-link channel, denoted by W, has the transition probability W (y|x), where x, y \in A. As a useful measurement of the reliability of the wireless network, there is a conventional channel parameter, the symmetric capacity I (W) with some modulations [25]. We note that parameter I (W) is the highest rate at which the reliable communication is possible using inputs with equal probabilities.

Polarizations of the FSF channels are derived from the are derived from the N_s = 2ⁿ OFDM symbols polarization with generator matrix $G_{N_2} \in \{Q_2^{\otimes n}, Q'_2^{\otimes n}\}$, which is an operation by which one manufacture out of Ns independent OFDM symbols W yields a second set of Ns splitting OFDM symbols $\{W_{Ns}^{(i)}: i \in \mathbb{Z}_{Ns}\}$ that show a polarization effect in a sense that, as Ns becomes large, the capacity terms $\{IW_{Ns}^{(i)}:$ $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{Ns}\}$ tend towards one or zero for all but a vanishing fraction of indices i [1]. In this paper, we only consider polarizations of an MIMO-OFDM relay wireless system including Ns = 4 OFDM symbols, i.e., the combination of four OFDM symbols yields a second set of four splitting FSF channels $\{W_4^{(i)}: i \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$. This channel polarization consists of two operations, i.e., OFDM combining and OFDM splitting.

OFDM Combining

While deriving the FSF channel combining operation of the polar system with four OFDM blocks, we combine four OFDM symbols, denoted by W, in a recursive manner to produce a multi-level structure channel W₄. We consider OFDM combining and splitting for the \in^{th} subcarrier of each OFDM block with the down-polarizing information bits x_{Ie} or the up-polarizing information bits x'_{Ie} . Without loss of generality, we only consider the OFDM combining and splitting operations of the down-polarizing system with four OFDM blocks while showing the feasibility of the polar system. As for the OFDM combining and splitting operations of the *up-polarizing* system, we can achieve the similar results while referring to the polar coding processing in [1], [2].

Based on the down-polarizing system in (29), we define the *down-combining* equivalent FSF channel as $H = (H_I, H_F)$ expressed in (17), respectively. We note that the OFDM combining operations have the similar structure fashion as Arikan codes generated from $G4 = P4Q_2^{\otimes 2}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{G}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(31)

It implies that the present polar system can be decoded via the depolarizing algorithm with a recursiveness feature.

Without causing undue prejudice or confusion for description, we especially take the simplified notations in this section as follows. The notation $x_i \triangleq x_{i,\in}$ denotes the initial input signals at source node S, $u_i \triangleq u_{i,\in}$ the polarized signals

at source node S, $r_i \triangleq r_{i, \in}$ the received signals at relay node R,

v_i \triangleq v_{i,€} the polarized signals at relay nodes R, and y_i \triangleq y_{i,€} the received signals at destination node D, which are all corresponding to the €th subcarrier of the ith OFDM symbol. For each subcarrier x_{i,φ}, y_{i,φ}, u_{i,φ}, and r_{i,φ} of x_i, y_i, u_i and r_i, we also take the notations x \triangleq (x_{0,φ}, x_{1,φ}, x_{2,φ}, x_{3,φ})^T, x_k \triangleq (x_{2k-2,φ}, x_{2k-1,φ})^T, y \triangleq (y_{0,φ}, y_{1,φ}, y_{2,φ}, y_{3,φ})^T, y_k \triangleq (y_{2k-2,φ}, y_{2k-1,φ})^T, u_k \triangleq (u_{2k-2,φ}, u_{2k-1,φ})^T, and r_k \triangleq (r_{2k-2,φ}, r_{2k-1,φ})^T, ∀ k ∈ {1, 2} and ∀ $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

According to the *down-polarizing* system, we derive the OFDM *down-combining* operation of the polar FSF channels in terms of the above simplified notations.

This process begins with the low-level of the recursion at R_k that combines two independent OFDMs with transition probability W, which results in the OFDM *down-combining* for the second level combining FSF channel W_2 for R_k with transition probabilities

$$W_{2}(y_{k}|r_{k}) = W(y_{2k-2}|r_{2k-2}) \cdot W(y_{2k-1}|r_{2k-2}+r_{2k-1}).$$
(32)

Similarly, the OFDM *down-combing* for the FSF channel W_2 at source node S can be obtained with transition probabilities

$$W_{2}(u_{1}|x_{k}) = W(u_{2k-2}|x_{2k-2}) \cdot W(u_{2k-1}|x_{2k-2}+x_{2k-1}).$$
(33)

Furthermore, the third level of recursion for the MIMO relay system combines two independent FSF channels W_2 to establish the high level FSF channel W_4 with transition probabilities calculated from the recursive formula

$$W_{4}(y|x) = W_{2}(y_{0}|x_{0}) \cdot W_{2}(y_{1}|x_{0}+x_{1}, x_{2}+x_{3})$$

= W(y_{0}|x_{0})W(y_{1}|x_{0}+x_{2})W(y_{2}|x_{0}+x_{1})W(y_{3}| $\sum_{i=0}^{3} x_{i}$). (34)

In the similar way, we calculate transition probabilities of OFDM *up-combining* for the second level FSF channel $W_2^{'}$ at R_k with transition probabilities

 $W'_{2}(y'_{k}/r'_{k}) = W'(y'_{2k-2}/r'_{2k-2} + r'_{2k-1}) W'(y'_{2k-1}/r'_{2k-1}),$ (35) and transition probabilities of OFDM *up-combining* for the FSF channel W'2 at S as

 $W'_{2}(u'_{k} / x'_{k}) = W'(u'_{2k-2} / x'_{2k-2} + x'_{2k-1}) \cdot W'(u'_{2k-1} / x'_{2k-1}). \quad (36)$ Then the third level OFDM *up-combining* for the FSF channel W'_{4} can be derived with transition probabilities $= W(y_{0}|x_{0})W(y_{1}|x_{0}+x_{2})W(y_{2}|x_{0}+x_{1})W(y_{3}|$

$$\mathbf{W}_{4}^{'}(y^{'}|x) = \mathbf{W}^{'}(y_{0}^{'}|x_{0} + x_{2})\mathbf{W}^{'}(y_{1}^{'}|x_{0})\mathbf{W}^{'}(y_{2}^{'}|x_{i}^{3})$$
$$\cdot \mathbf{W}^{'}(y_{3}^{'}|x_{0} + x_{1}).$$
(37)

Vol. 2 Issue 1, January- 2013

According to (34) and (34), for the \in^{th} subcarrier of each OFDM symbol, we obtain the OFDM combining for the third level FSF channels W4 and $W_{4}^{'}$ with transition probabilities

$$W_{4}(y_{\epsilon}|x_{\epsilon}) = W(y_{0,\epsilon}|x_{0,\epsilon}) \cdot W(y_{1,\epsilon}|x_{0,\epsilon}+x_{2,\epsilon})$$

$$W(y_{2,\epsilon}|x_{0}+x_{1,\epsilon}) \cdot W(y_{3,\epsilon}|\sum_{i=0}^{3} x_{i}),$$

$$W_{4}^{'}(y_{0,\epsilon}^{'}/x_{\epsilon}) = W^{'}(y_{0,\epsilon}^{'}/x_{0,\epsilon}+x_{2}) \cdot W^{'}(y_{1,\epsilon}^{'}/x_{0})$$

$$\cdot W^{'}(y_{2,\epsilon}^{'}/\sum_{i=0}^{3} x_{i,\epsilon}) \cdot W^{'}(y_{3,\epsilon}^{'}/x_{0}+x_{1,\epsilon}).$$
(38)

OFDM Splitting

Next, we consider the OFDM down-splitting operation for the down-polarizing system, which splits the synthesized FSF channel W₄ back into a set of equivalent single-link FSF channels $W_4^{(i)}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}4$. The down-splitting OFDMs can be used for the transmission of signals in the polar system with high reliability in terms of transition probabilities, as well as down-splitting channel capacity $I(W_4^{(i)})$ [25]. At each relay node Rk, we define the OFDM down-splitting operations as one-one maps that illustrate the relation of the transition probabilities of each subcarrier of two level OFDM downcombining FSF channels W and W₂ as follows

$$W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{k}/r_{2k-2}) = \sum_{r_{2k-2}} \frac{1}{2} W(y_{2k-2}/r_{2k-2}) W(y_{2k-1}/r_{2k-2} + r_{2k-1});$$

$$W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{k}, r_{2k-2}/r_{2k-1}) = \frac{1}{2} W(y_{2k-1}/r_{2k-2} + r_{2k-1}).$$
(39)

 $W_2^{(1)}(y_k, r_{2k-2} / r_{2k-1}) = \frac{1}{2} W(y_{2k-1} / r_{2k-2} + r_{2k-1}).$

Considering all nodes S, R and D for the whole polar system, for any subcarrier we derive the high-level OFDM downsplitting operations with the transition probabilities given by

$$W_{4}^{(0)}(y/x_{0}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1}/x_{0}) W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2}/x_{0} + x_{1}),$$

$$W_{4}^{(1)}(y,x_{0}/x_{1}) = \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1}/x_{0}) W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2}/x_{0} + x_{1}),$$

$$W_{4}^{(2)}(y,x_{1}/x_{2}) = \sum_{x_{3}} \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1},x_{0}/x_{2})$$

$$\cdot W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},x_{0} + x_{1}/x_{2} + x_{3}),$$

$$W_{4}^{(3)}(y,x_{1},x_{2}/x_{3}) = \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1},x_{0}/x_{2})$$

$$\cdot W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},x_{0} + x_{1}/x_{2} + x_{3}).$$
 (40)

Namely, for the \in^{th} subcarrier of each OFDM symbol we achieve the transition probabilities as follows

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W}_{4}^{(0)}(y_{\epsilon} \mid x_{0,\epsilon}) &= \sum_{x_{1,\epsilon}} \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1,\epsilon} \mid x_{0,\epsilon}) \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2,\epsilon} \mid x_{0,\epsilon} + x_{1,\epsilon}), \\ \mathbf{W}_{4}^{(1)}(y_{\epsilon}, x_{0,\epsilon} \mid x_{1,\epsilon}) &= \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1,\epsilon} \mid x_{0,\epsilon}) \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2,\epsilon} \mid x_{0,\epsilon} + x_{1,\epsilon}), \\ \mathbf{W}_{4}^{(2)}(y_{\epsilon}, x_{1,\epsilon} \mid x_{2,\epsilon}) &= \sum_{x_{3,\epsilon}} \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1,\epsilon}, x_{0,\epsilon} \mid x_{2,\epsilon}) \\ &\cdot \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2,\epsilon}, x_{0,\epsilon} + x_{1,\epsilon} \mid x_{2,\epsilon} + x_{3,\epsilon}), \end{split}$$

$$W_{4}^{(3)}(y_{i,\epsilon}, x_{1,\epsilon}, x_{2,\epsilon} / x_{3,\epsilon}) = \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1,\epsilon}, x / x_{2,\epsilon}) \cdot W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2,\epsilon}, x_{0,\epsilon} + x_{1,\epsilon} / x_{2,\epsilon} + x_{3,\epsilon}).$$
(41)

Similarly, the OFDM up-splitting operation that illustrates the relation of OFDM up-combining for two FSF channels W and W_2 is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(0)}(\mathbf{y}_{k}^{'}/\mathbf{r}_{2k-2}^{'}) &= \sum_{r_{2k-2}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{W}^{'}(\mathbf{y}_{2k-2}^{'}/\mathbf{r}_{2k-2}^{'}+\mathbf{r}_{2k-1}^{'}) \mathbf{W}^{'}(\mathbf{y}_{2k-1}^{'}/\mathbf{r}_{2k-1}^{'}), \\ \mathbf{W}_{2}^{(1)}(\mathbf{y}_{k}^{'},\mathbf{r}_{2k-2}^{'}/\mathbf{r}_{2k-1}^{'}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{W}^{'}(\mathbf{y}_{2k-2}^{'}/\mathbf{r}_{2k-2}^{'}+\mathbf{r}_{2k-1}^{'}) \mathbf{W}^{'}(\mathbf{y}_{2k-1}^{'}/\mathbf{r}_{2k-1}^{'}). \end{split}$$

and the high-level OFDM up-splitting operations that illustrates the relation of two OFDM up-combining for the FSF channels W_2 and W_4 is given by

$$W_{4}^{(0)}(y'|x_{0}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(0)}(y'_{1}|x_{0} + x_{1}) W_{2}^{(0)}(y'_{2}|x_{1}),$$

$$W_{4}^{(1)}(y',x_{0}|x_{1}) = \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(0)}(y'_{1}|x_{0} + x_{1}) W_{2}^{(0)}(y'_{2}|x_{1}),$$

$$W_{4}^{(2)}(y',x_{1}|x_{2}) = \sum_{x_{3}} \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(1)}(y'_{1},x_{0} + x_{1}|x_{2} + x_{3})$$

$$\cdot W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},x_{1}|x_{3}),$$

$$W_{4}^{(3)}(y',x_{1},x_{2}|x_{3}) = \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(1)}(y'_{1},x_{0} + x_{1}|x_{2} + x_{3})$$

$$\cdot W_{2}^{(1)}(y'_{2},x_{1}|x_{3}),$$

$$W_{4}^{(2)}(y',x_{1},x_{2}|x_{3}) = \frac{1}{8} W_{2}^{(1)}(y'_{1},x_{0} + x_{1}|x_{2} + x_{3})$$

$$\cdot W_{2}^{(1)}(y'_{2},x_{1}|x_{3}).$$
(42)

So far we have established the polar system based on the polarization of OFDMs. It is known that the channel capacity of OFDM splitting for the FSF channel $W_4^{(i)}$ can be bounded by

$$I(W_4^{(i)}) \le 1 - z(W_4^{(i)}),$$

where $z(W_4^{(i)})$ are the Bhattacharyya parameters [1] given by

$$Z(W_4^{(i)}) = \sum_{y_{\epsilon} \in A^4} \sum_{x_{0,\epsilon} \cdots x_{i-1,\epsilon} \in A^i} \sqrt{\prod_{x_{\epsilon} \in A} W(y_{\epsilon}, x_{0,\epsilon} \cdots x_{i-1,\epsilon} / x_{\epsilon})}$$

Next, we analyze the reliability of the OFDM down-splitting for the FSF channels with transmission probabilities $W_4^{(i)}$ in (41) based on the Bhattacharyya parameter vector

$$z_4 = (z_{4,0}, z_{4,1}, z_{4,2}, z_{4,3}),$$

which can be calculated from the recursion formula [1], [2],



www.ijert.org

 $W_{.}^{(3)}$

1

16

Fig. 2. The tree process of the Bhattacharyya parameters for the recursive polarizing OFDMs. i.e.,

$$z_{2k,j} = \begin{cases} z_{k,j,}^2 & \text{for } 0 \le j \le k - 1; \\ 2z_{k,j-k} - z_{k,j-k,}^2 & \text{for } k \le j \le 2k - 1, \end{cases}$$
(43)

for $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$ starting with z1, 0 = 1/2, shown in Fig.3(a). From scratch, we form a permutation $\pi_4 = (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3)$ of (0, 1, 2, 3) corresponding to entries of $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$ so that the inequality $z_{4,ij} \le z_{4,ik}$, $\forall 0 \le j < k \le 3$, is true. Thus we have the reliability of OFDM splitting for the FSF channels given by

z(4) = (1/16, 7/16, 9/16, 15/16)(44)

which creates a permutation $\pi 4 = (0, 1, 2, 3)$. It implies that for each subcarrier of the source OFDM symbols x_{ϵ} , the first two signals {x0, ϵ , x1, ϵ } can be transmitted with higher reliability than that of the last two signals { $x_{2,\epsilon}, x_{3,\epsilon}$ }, as shown in (44). Therefore, for the reliable transmission of signals while polarizing the FSF channels, we let { $x_{0,\epsilon}, x_{1,\epsilon}$ } to be the *information* bits that are required to be transmitted from relay nodes, and { $x_{2,\epsilon}, x_{3,\epsilon}$ } to be *frozen* bits that provide assistance for transmissions. In practice, the *frozen* bits { $x_{2,\epsilon}, x_{3,\epsilon}$ } are always be set zeros for the depolarizing for convenience, i.e., { $x_{2,\epsilon} = 0, x_{3,\epsilon} = 0$ }. This property can be utilized for the flexible transmission of signals on the FSF channels with high reliability [1].

In the similar way, we can derive the reliability of *upsplitting* system for the FSF channel $W_4^{(i)}$ with transmission probabilities in (42) based on the Bhattacharyya parameter vector

$$\dot{z_4} = (\dot{z_{4,0}}, \dot{z_{4,1}}, \dot{z_{4,2}}, \dot{z_{4,3}}),$$

which can be calculated from [1], [2], i.e.,

$$z'_{2k,j} = \begin{cases} 2z'_{k,j-k} - z'^{2}_{k,j-k}, \text{ for } 0 \le j \le k-1; \\ z'^{2}_{k,j}, & \text{ for } k \le j \le 2k-1, \end{cases}$$
(45)

for $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$ starting with $z_{1,0} = 1/2$, shown in Fig.3(b). Consequently, we form a permutation $\pi_4 = (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3)$ of (0, 1, 2, 3) corresponding to entries of $x = (x_{0,\epsilon}, x_{1,\epsilon}, x_{2,\epsilon}, x_{3,\epsilon})T$ so that the inequality $z'_{i,j} \leq z'_{i,k} \forall 0 \leq j < k \leq 3$, is true. The reliability of OFDM splitting for the FSF channels can be derived as

z(4) = (15/16, 9/16, 7/16, 1/16)(46)

which creates a permutation $\pi_4 = (3, 2, 1, 0)$. It implies that for x_{ϵ} embedded in four OFDM symbols, the last two signals $\{x_{2,\epsilon}, x_{3,\epsilon}\}$ can be transmitted with higher reliability than that of the first two signals $\{x_{0,\epsilon}, x_{1,\epsilon}\}$. Therefore, for the reliable transmission of signals over each subcarrier for *uppolarizing* system, we let $\{x_{2,\epsilon}, x_{3,\epsilon}\}$ to be the *information* bits that are required to transmit from relay nodes, whereas $\{x_{0,\epsilon}, x_{1,\epsilon}\}$ are *frozen* bits that provide assistance for the reliable transmissions.

C. The Switching Polar Relay Communications with Space-Time-Frequency Codes

In what follows, we propose a high-reliable MIMO-OFDM relay system by rearranging a class of space-timefrequency code (STF) codes for four OFDM symbols over the FSF channels with the structure expressed in the stacked Alamouti code and the Jafarkhani code. Generally speaking, it is not difficult in practice to provide parallel transitions for an MIMO-OFDM communication system, especially for system with a large number of OFDM symbols. Therefore, a suitable STF may adapt itself to the transmission of multiple OFDM symbols with parallel transitions in the polar relay system.

According to the reliability of the switching polar relay system while calculating the Bhattacharyya parameters expressed in (44) and (46), for each subcarrier we obtain *in-formation* bits $X_{I, \epsilon}$ and $X'_{I\epsilon}$ and *frozen* matrices $X_{F,\epsilon}$ and $X'_{F\epsilon}$ in the *downpolarizing* system and the *up-polarizing* system, respectively.

1) Switching Polar System with the Alamouti code: According to the above-mentioned OFDM polarizing for the FSF channels in two polar systems, i.e., the *down-polarizing* system and the *up-polarizing* system, we assume the transformed OFDM symbols u_i can be encoded with the orthogonal block code that combines spatial, temporal and multipath processing for grouping signals. Actually, we can construct the Alamouti code structure on each subcarrier if the length of the CP l_{cp} satisfies the constraints

$$lcp \geq_{k,l}^{max} \left\{ \tau_{l,sk} + \tau_{l,rk} + \tau_{sd2} \right\}.$$

To make the transmission processing clear, we consider an MIMO-OFDM relay system with one transmit antenna at source node S, each relay node Rk, and one receive antenna at each relay node Rk with an OFDM symbol matrix of size $4N \times 2$ in two time slots given by the stacked Alamouti code,

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} A(x_0, x_1) \\ A(x_2, x_3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 - x_1^* \\ x_1 - x_0^* \\ x_2 - x_3^* \\ x_3 & x_2^* \end{pmatrix},$$
(47)

where A(x_{2k-2}, x_{2k-1}), $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$, denotes the stacked Alamouti code [7] with N pairs of variables

 $\{(\mathbf{x}_{2k-2,\epsilon}, \mathbf{x}_{2k-1),\epsilon} \colon \epsilon \mathbb{Z}_{N}\}.$

For each subcarrier, $\forall \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, it is given by

$$A(x_{2k-2,\epsilon}, x_{2k-1,\epsilon}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{2k-2,\epsilon} & -x_{2k-2,\epsilon}^* \\ x_{2k-1,\epsilon} & x_{2k-1,\epsilon}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
(48)

Next, we describe the polar MIMO-OFDM relay system by switching to polarizing OFDM symbols with the stacked Alamouti code. Based on the *down-polarizing* system in (29) to transmit $\Lambda(x)$ expressed in (47), we have the received matrix of size 4×2 in two time slots given by

$$Y = H_I X_I + H_F X_F + E, (49)$$

where and E = (e, e) is an equivalent AWGN vector of size 4 \times 2. While deploying the *up-polarizing* system in (30) to transmit the same $\Lambda(x)$ in (47), we have the received matrix of size 4 \times 2 in the next two time slots given by

$$Y' = H'_F X'_F + H'_I X'_I + E',$$
 (50)

where E' = (e', e') is an equivalent AWGN matrix of size 4 × 2 for the *up-polarizing* system in (30).

The two column vectors c_0 and c_1 in (47) are modulated for four OFDM symbols and transmitted, respectively, in the first two time slots for the *down-polarizing* system. Therefore, *information* matrix $X_{I\epsilon} = A(x_{0\epsilon}, x_{1\epsilon})$ and *frozen* matrix $X_{F\epsilon} = A(x_{2\epsilon}, x_{3\epsilon})$, and hence extensive *information* matrix $X_I = A(x_0, |x_1)$ and *frozen* matrix $X_F = A(x_2, x_3)$ are embedded in two OFDM symbols for two time slots, respectively. In the next two time slots, we switch to the *uppolarizing* system and achieve *frozen* matrix $X'_F = A(x_0, x_1)$ and *information* matrix $X'_I = A(x_2, x_3)$ in the same two respective OFDM blocks as that of the *down-polarizing* system. The selections of information matrices $\{X_I, X'_I\}$ and frozen matrices $\{X_F, X'_F\}$ for switching polar system are based on the calculation of the Bhattacharyya parameters, as shown in Fig.2.

Theorem 3.1: According to the down-polarizing system in (49) for the first two time slots, we consider 4N signals for four OFDM symbols (x_0 , x_1 , x_2 , x_3) embedded in the stacked Alamouti code in (47) for the transmission and switch to the *up-polarizing* system in (50) for the next two time slots. After being processed with the afore-mentioned transformations, the CP removal and the N-point FFT operations while switching the *down-polarizing* to *up-polarizing* system for four OFDM blocks in four time slots, the *information* matrix can be depolarized and hence be decoded with high reliability at destination node D as follows

$$\hat{\Lambda}A(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} X_{I} & 0_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} & X_{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(x_{0}, x_{1}) & 0_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} & A(x_{2}, x_{3}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (51)$$

which is an orthogonal code that can achieve the full diversity.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 can be found in Appendix A.

Vol. 2 Issue 1, January- 2013

According to the reliability of OFDM splitting for the FSF channels in (44) and (46), we implement the PF relay scheme while transmitting the *information* bits with four OFDM symbols via two polar systems, i.e., *down-polarizing* system and up-polarizing system. The polar system that are composed of *down-polarizing* system in (49) and *up-polarizing* system in (50) with the Alamouti code structure in terms of spatial, temporal and multipath for the FSF channels in four time slots has the similar performance behaviors as that of the Alamouti code for the space-time or space-frequency transmissions.

2) Switching Polar System with the Jafarkhani code:
We consider a 4 × 4 matrix given by the Jafarkhani structure
[8]

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & -x_1^* & -x_2^* & x_3 \\ x_1 & x_0^* & -x_3^* & -x_2 \\ x_2 & -x_3^* & x_0 & -x_1^* \\ x_3 & x_2^* & x_1 & x_0^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
(52)

For the PF scheme, two column vectors c_0 and c_2 are transmitted in the first time slot and the third time slot for the *down-polarizing* system, whereas other two column vectors c_1 and c_3 are in the second time slot and the fourth time slot for the *up-polarizing* system.

In the *down-polarizing* system at source node S for four successive OFDM symbols we take the notations

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{x}) = (c_0, c_2) = \begin{pmatrix} X_I \\ X_F \end{pmatrix},$$
(53)

where X_I and X_F are *information* matrix and *frozen* matrix given by

$$X_{I} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{0} & -x_{2}^{*} \\ x_{1} & -x_{3}^{*} \end{pmatrix}, X_{F} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{2} & -x_{0}^{*} \\ x_{3} & -x_{1}^{*} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (54)

In the up-polarizing system we use the notation

$$\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{x}) = (c_1, c_3) = \begin{pmatrix} X_I' \\ X_F' \end{pmatrix},$$
 (55)

where X'_{F} and X'_{I} are *frozen* matrix and *information* matrix given, respectively, by

$$X'_{F} = \begin{pmatrix} -x_{1}^{*} & x_{3} \\ x_{0}^{*} & -x_{2} \end{pmatrix}, X_{F} = \begin{pmatrix} -x_{3}^{*} & -x_{1}^{*} \\ x_{2}^{*} & x_{0}^{*} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (56)

Based on the above-mentioned matrices X and X['], we switch to polarizing MIMO-OFDM relay system in four time slots, i.e., the *down-polarizing* system for transmitting X and the *up-polarizing* system for transmitting X[']. After being depolarized and decoded at destination node D, we achieve

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & 0 & -x_2^* & 0\\ x_1 & 0 & -x_3^* & 0\\ 0 & -x_3^* & x_0 & -x_1^*\\ 0 & x_2^* & 0 & x_0^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
(57)

Theorem 3.2: We consider 4N signals for four OFDM symbols (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) embedded in (52) for the transmission in both down-polarizing system and up-polarizing system. In the first and third time slots we transmit X in (53) for the down-polarizing system in (29), and switch to transmitting X in (55) in the second and fourth time slots for the uppolarizing system in (30). After being processed with abovementioned processes, the CP removal and the N-point FFT operations while switching the *down-polarizing* system to up-polarizing system for four OFDM blocks in four time slots, the received noisy information matrix can be depolarized and decoded with high reliability at destination node D, namely we can achieve the transmitted matrix of size 4×4 given by decision matrix J(x) expressed in (57), which is a quasi-orthogonal code equivalent to the Jafarkhani code. The proof of Theorem 3.2 can be found in Appendix B.

We can decode the initial signal vectors X_I and X'_I from X_I and X'_I , respectively, using the conventional Alamouti decoding or the Jafarkhani decoding with the ML receiver or the ZF/MMSE receiver after depolarizing the transmitted signals at destination node *D*. However, in this paper we introduce a polar receiver via the polar decoding under a low complexity SIC decoding strategy that can bring out similar performance behaviors as that of ML decoding. According to the reliability of OFDM splitting for the FSF channels in (44) and (46), we implement the PF relay scheme while transmitting the *information* bits with four OFDM symbols via switching to *down-polarizing* communication and *up-polarizing* communication, which has the similar performance behaviors as that of the Alamouti code and the Jafarkhani code.

It is shown in both (51) and (57) that the present PF MIMO-OFDM relay scheme with the STF code can achieve the similar diversity gain as that of the Alamouti code and the Jafarkhani code with OFDM polarizing for the FSF channels. According to Arikan's statement, we should obtain the good BER performance as long as the employed system is provided with a large number of OFDM symbols while implementing the polarizing operations on source node *S* and relay nodes *R* over the FSF channels.

D. OFDM Depolarizing

In this subsection, we consider the SIC decoding for the proposed polar MIMO-OFDM relay system with standard complex constellations, such as binary phase shift keying (BPSK) modulation constellation. Recall that each signal $x_{i,\epsilon}$, $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, in the \in^{th} subcarrier of OFDM block x_i , $\forall i \in$ \mathbb{Z}_{4} , is independently transmitted across R_{k} and a channel output $y_{i,\epsilon}$ is obtained with transition probability $W(y_{i,\epsilon}|x_{i,\epsilon})$. For each subcarrier in four OFDM symbols we misuse the simplified notations $x \triangleq x_{\epsilon} = (x_{I,\epsilon}^{T}, x_{F,\epsilon}^{T})^{T}, y| \triangleq y_{\epsilon} = (y_{I,\epsilon}^{T}, y_{2,\epsilon}^{T})^{T}$ for the *down-polarizing* system, where $y_{1} \triangleq y_{1,\epsilon} = (y_{0,\epsilon}, y_{1,\epsilon})^{T}$ and $y_{2} \triangleq y_{2,\epsilon} = (y_{2,\epsilon}, y_{3,\epsilon})^{T}$. Similarly, we define $x' \triangleq$ $x'_{\epsilon} = (x_{I,\epsilon}^{T}, x_{F,\epsilon}^{T})^{T}$ and $y' \triangleq y'_{\epsilon} = (y_{I,\epsilon}^{T}, y_{2,\epsilon}^{T})^{T}$ for each subcarrier in the *up-polarizing* system.

The SIC decoder of the *down-polarizing* system observes y and generates an estimate of \hat{x} of x. We may visualize the decoder as consisting of four decision elements for four respective OFDM symbols, each element \hat{x}_i for source element \hat{x}_i , $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$.

The OFDM depolarizing algorithm of the polar system begins with the i^{th} decision element \hat{x}_i for the *downpolarizing* system. It waits till receiving all previous decisions \hat{x}_{i-1} , and upon receiving them; it calculates the likelih-

ood ratio (LR) L_4^i as follows

$$L_{4}^{i}(y, \hat{x}_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{l-1}) \triangleq \frac{W_{4}^{(i)}(y, \hat{x}_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i-1}/0)}{W_{4}^{(i)}(y, \hat{x}_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i-1}/1)}, \quad (58)$$

and generates its decision as

$$\hat{x}_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ L_{4}^{(i)}(y, \hat{x}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{x}_{i-1}) \ge 1; \\ 1 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
(59)

which is then sent to succeeding decision element \hat{x}_{i+1} . The complexity of the decoding algorithm is determined essentially by the complexity of calculating LRs, which is $N(1+\log_2 N) = 12$ for computing one round. For the initial LRs, we calculate

$$L(y_i) = \frac{W(y_i/0)}{W(y_i/1)}.$$
(60)

For the *down-polarizing* system, the low level of LRs are obtained with a simple calculation using the reclusive formulas in (39), which gives

$$L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{k}) = L(y_{2k-2})$$

$$L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{k}, \hat{x}_{2k-2}) = (L(y_{2k-1}))^{1-2\hat{x}_{2k-2}},$$
(61)

 $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$. After that the high level of LRs can be calculated using the reclusive formulas in (41). A straightforward calculation yields

$$L_{4}^{(0)}(y) = L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1}),$$

$$L_{4}^{(1)}(y, \hat{x}_{0}) = (L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2}))^{1-2_{\hat{x}0}},$$

$$L_{4}^{(2)}(y, \hat{x}_{1}) = (L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1}, \hat{x}_{0}),$$

$$L_{4}^{(3)}(y, \hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}) = (L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2}, \hat{x}_{0} + \hat{x}_{1}))^{1-2_{\hat{x}2}}.$$
(62)

The LRs $L_4^{(i)}$ in (62) can be derived from (41), which can be found in Appendix C.

However, for the *up-polarizing* system the low level of LRs are given in [1] by

$$L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{k}) = \frac{L(y_{2k-2})L(y_{2k-1}) + 1}{L(y_{2k-2}) + L(y_{2k-1})}$$
$$L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{k}, \hat{x}_{2k-2}) = (L(y_{2k-2}))^{1-2\hat{x}_{2k-2}}L(y_{2k-1}), \quad (63)$$

and the high level of LRs can be calculated as

$$L_{4}^{(0)}(y) = \frac{L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1})L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2})+1}{L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1})+L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2})},$$

$$L_{4}^{(1)}(y,\hat{x}_{0}) = (L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1}))^{1-2\hat{x}0}L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2}),$$

$$L_{4}^{(2)}(y,\hat{x}_{F}) = \frac{L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1},\hat{x}_{0})L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},\hat{x}_{0}+\hat{x}_{1}))+1}{L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1},\hat{x}_{0})+L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},\hat{x}_{0}+\hat{x}_{1}))},$$

$$L_{4}^{(3)}(y,\hat{x}_{F},\hat{x}_{2}) = L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1},\hat{x}_{0}))^{1-2\hat{x}2}L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},\hat{x}_{0}+\hat{x}_{1}).$$
(64)

So far we have calculated LRs of the polar system. The advantage of this OFDM depolarizing algorithm is due to the relations of two level LRs in coordination with the formulas in (61,62,63,64). For example, two LRs $L_4^{(0)}(y_t)$ and $L_4^{(1)}(y_t, \hat{x}_0)$ are assembled from the same pair of LRs $L_2^{(0)}(y_1)$ and $L_2^{(0)}(y_2)$, while the other two LRs $L_4^{(2)}(y_t, \hat{x}_1)$ and $L_4^{(3)}(y_t, \hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2)$ are from $L_2^{(1)}(y_1, \hat{x}_0)$ and $L_2^{(1)}(y_2, \hat{x}_0 + \hat{x}_1)$ due to the symmetry properties of the FSF channels. In addition, two LRs $L_2^{(0)}(y_k, \hat{x}_{2k-2})$ are assembled from the LRs $L_2^{(0)}(y_{2k-2})$ and $L_2^{(0)}(y_{2k-1})$, $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$. This process proposes an elegant approach for an accurate count of the total number of LRs that are required for a full description of the OFDM depolarizing algorithm, shown in Fig.4.



Fig. 3. Implementation of the successive cancellation decoder.

Next we design an implementation of the SIC decoder for switching polar system. There are 12 nodes corresponding to LRs for decision elements \hat{x} . The depolarizing process carries out two crucial actions in the polar system, i.e., activating and responding [1]

• Step 1: It begins with the activating phase in the *down-polarizing* system, in which the first decision element \hat{x}_1 from the leftmost column activates *node*1 for the cal-

culation of $L_4^{(0)}(y)$ to decode x_1 of column vector x, which in turn activates *node*2 and *node*5 to achieve a pair of LRs, $L_2^{(0)}(y_1)$ and $L_2^{(0)}(y_2)$. After that *node*2 activates *node*3 and *node*4, and *node*5 activates *node*6 and *node*7, respectively, for calculating two initial-level pairs of LRs, $L^{(0)}(y_{2k-2})$ and $L^{(0)}(y_{2k-1})$, $\forall k \in \{1, 2\}$.

- Step 2: In the responding phase, node3 and node4 compute $L^{(0)}(y_0)$ and $L^{(0)}(y_1)$ using (60), respectively, and pass them to their left-side two neighbors, *node2* and *node9*. Similarly, *node6* and *node7*, compute and pass the pair of LRs $L^{(0)}(y_2)$ and $L^{(0)}(y_3)$ to their left-side two neighbors, *node5* and *node10*, respectively.
- Step 3: In what follows, node2 and node5 compute L₂⁽⁰⁾(y₁) and L₂⁽⁰⁾(y₂) using (61) and pass the resulting pairs of LRs to its left-side two neighbors node1, respectively. After that, node1 compiles its response L'₄⁽⁰⁾(y) to calculate x̂₀ according to (62). Consequently, node1 sends x̂₀ to its neighbor node8, which is needed for calculating x̂₁. The yielded decision elements x̂₀ and x̂₁ are both passed to node8 and node12 that may generate x̂₂ and x̂₃. Fortunately, since x̂₂ and x₃ are the frozen bits that have low-reliability in the down-polarizing system, it is not necessary to generate x̂₂ and x̂₃ = x̂₃ = 0.
- Step 4: The depolarizing process switches to the *uppolarizing* system while calculating x̂₂ and x̂₃ with high reliability. In this phase x̂₀ and x̂₁ are the frozen bits

that are directly set $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0 = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 = 0$. Consequently, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2$ only activates nodel1 for computing $L_4^{(2)}(y, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1)$ based on (64), where $L_2^{(1)}(y_2, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0 + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1) L_2^{(1)}(y, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1)$ can be obtained from the response of *node*9 and *node*10 using (63). The decision element $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2$ is then sent to node12 for $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$. The last decision element $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$ activates node12 for x_3 based on $L_4^{(3)}(y, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2)$ in (64) without activating *node*9 and *node*10. The algorithm continues in this manner until the receiver receives and decides the transmitted vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$.

We note that in the *down-polarizing* system it is not necessary to generate \hat{x}_2 and \hat{x}_3 since they are frozen bits that have low-reliability while transmitting in the *down-polarizing* channels. Similarly, in the *up-polarizing* system it is not necessary to generate \hat{x}_0 and \hat{x}_1 since they are frozen bits with the low-reliability while transmitting in the *up-polarizing* channels. In this way, the proposed depolarizing algorithm can be made while directly setting $\hat{x}_2 = \hat{x}_3 = 0$ in the *down-polarizing* system. This decoding process continues until all information bits x are jointly decoded in the end. Next, we will show the BER performance behaviors of the polar system with simulation results. Thus, we can obtain x from \hat{x} in the polar system with the high-reliability.



Fig. 4. BER performance behaviors with polar decoding.



Fig. 5. BER performance comparison with ML decoding and polar decoding.

E. Simulation Results

According to the OFDM depolarizing algorithm with the SIC decoder for the polar system, we present some simulation results and compare their BER performance behaviors. We present the BER performance as functions of the transmit power p_i . We deploy the Alamouti code and the Jafarkhani code on each relay node R_i while implementing the OFDM depolarizing techniques for the polarizing FSF channels. Therefore we can use the ML symbol-wise decoding, as well as the OFDM depolarizing algorithm in four time slots, where the data symbols in A are drawn from BPSK constellation.

In Fig. 4, we present the BER curves of the stacked Alamouti code for four OFDM symbols transmitted at source node S. We consider the polar MIMO relay systems provided with transmission power p_t for reference in terms of the power allocation strategy in (3). For the present polar system, it shows that the slope of the BER performance curve of the proposed PF scheme with the Alamouti code for the polar system via the OFDM depolarizing algorithm approaches the direct relay system without OFDM polarizing via the ML decoding when power p_t increases. It implies that the PF scheme can achieve full diversity with the depolarizing algorithm. Furthermore, the BER performance behavior of the present polar system is a little better than that of the direct transmission approach which verifies our analysis of the transmission reliability of the polarized FSF channels. Simulations demonstrate that the proposed PF scheme with the OFDM depolarizing algorithm has a similar performance as that of the Alamouti scheme with the ML decoding for large transmission power p_t when the depolarizing is applied at the receiver. In Fig. 6 it implies that the PF scheme can achieve full diversity in terms of the ML decoding and the OFDM depolarizing decoding. In this case, the BER performance behavior is much similar as those of the ML decoding, as

stated in [11]. Fortunately, for the polar system, the BER performance of the decoder provided with the depolarizing algorithm outperforms that of the direct approach using the ML decoding.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented a simple design of the PF scheme based on two polarizing systems over the FSF channels, i.e., the *down-polarizing* system and the *up-polarizing* system. There are two polar coding processes for each polarizing system, including source polarizing and relay polarizing OFDM sequences. The present polar system has a salient recursiveness feature and can be decoded with the SIC decoder, which renders the scheme analytically tractable and provides a low-complexity coding algorithm while multiple

OFDM symbols are equipped. We analyze the BER performance and diversity of such systems based on the STF codes with the fixed size using the polarizing FSF channels, which tend to polarize with respect to the increasing reliability under certain OFDM combining and splitting operations. Simulations demonstrate that the proposed polar system has the similar BER performance behaviors as that of the STF codes, the stacked Alamouti code and the Jafarkhani code, but outperforms these STF codes in terms of the BER performance for large transmission power when the OFDM depolarizing algorithm is applied at the receiver.

APPENDIX

A. Proof of Theorem 3.1

We consider 4N signals for four OFDM symbols (x_0 , x_1 , x_2 , x_3) embedded in (47) for the transmission in the *down-polarizing*

system for the first two time slots. For each subcarrier $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_N$,

we have $c_{0\in} = (x_{0\in}, x_{1e}, x_{2e}, x_{3e})^T$ and $c_{1e} = (-x_{1e}^*, x_{0e}^*, -x_{3e}^*, x_{2e}^*)^T$. According to the tree process of the Bhattacharyya parameters for the recursive polarizing OFDMs in Fig.2(a), we achieve the respective information bits (x_{0e}, x_{1e}) and $(-x_{1e}^*, x_{0e}^*)$ in two time slots after the CP removal and the *N*-point FFT operations at destination node *D*. The frozen bits are given by (x_{2e}, x_{3e}) and $(-x_{3e}^*, x_{2e}^*)$ respectively. We do not need to decode frozen matrix X_F since it has been transmitted on channels with low reliability in the *down-polarizing* system. Therefore, after depolarizing the system we obtain the 4×2 originally transmitted matrix given by

$$\hat{X}_{I}^{'} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{2\epsilon} & -x_{3\epsilon}^{*} \\ x_{3\epsilon} & x_{2\epsilon}^{*} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(66)

Combining (65) and (66) in four time slots, we have $\hat{X} = diag(\hat{X}_A, \hat{X}'_A)$ from which the embedded signal matrix can be rewritten as

$$\hat{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} A(x_{0\in}, x_{1\in} & 0_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} & A(x_{2\in}, x_{3\in}) \end{pmatrix},$$
(67)

where can be further decoded with the orthogonal STBC decoding algorithms. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

B. Proof of Theorem 3.2

For each subcarrier of four OFDM symbols (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) embedded in (52) for the transmission in both *downpolarizing* system. We transmit X in (53) in the first and third time slots for the polarizing MIMO relay system in (29). Namely, for each subcarrier $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we have $c_{0\epsilon} = (x_{0\epsilon}, x_{1\epsilon}, x_{2\epsilon}, x_{3\epsilon})^T$ and $c_{3\epsilon} = (-x_{2\epsilon}^+, x_{3\epsilon}^+, -x_{0\epsilon}, x_{1\epsilon})^T$ According to the tree process of the Bhattacharyya parameters for the recursive polarizing OFDMs in Fig.2(a), we achieve the respective information bits $(x_{0\epsilon}, x_{1\epsilon})$ and $(-x_{3\epsilon}^+, x_{2\epsilon}^+)$ in the first and third time slots after the CP removal and the *N*-point FFT operations at destination node *D*. The frozen bits are given by $(x_{2\epsilon}, x_{3\epsilon})$ and $(x_{0\epsilon}, x_{1\epsilon})$, respectively. After depolarizing the system we obtain the 2×2 originally transmitted matrix with high reliability given by

$$\hat{X}_{I}' = \begin{pmatrix} -x_{3\in}^{+} & -x_{1\in}^{+} \\ x_{2\in}^{+} & x_{0\in}^{+} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(69)

Combining (68) and (69) in four time slots, we have the embedded information matrix can be rewritten as After being depolarized and decoded at destination node D, we have

$$\hat{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{0\in} & 0 & -x_{2\in}^+ & 0 \\ x_{1\in} & 0 & -x_{3\in}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -x_{3\in}^+ & 0 & -x_{1\in}^+ \\ 0 & x_{2\in}^+ & 0 & x_{0\in}^+ \end{pmatrix}.$$
(70)

We note that the depolarized information matrix \hat{J} in (70) is a quasi-orthogonal matrices, which is equivalent to the Jafarkhani code if and only if $c_{0\in}c_{1\in}^+ \neq c_{2\in}c_{3\in}^+$. In fact, it is easy to prove that

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x})\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} /c_{0\in}/^{2} + /c_{2\in}/^{2} & c_{0\in}c_{1\in}^{+} + c_{2\in}c_{3\in}^{+} & 0 \\ c_{1e}c_{0e}^{+} + c_{3e}c_{2e}^{+} & /c_{1e}/^{2} + /c_{3e}/^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & /c_{1e}/^{2} + /c_{3e}/^{2} & -c_{2e}c_{3e}^{+} + c_{0e}c_{1e}^{+} \\ 0 & 0 & -c_{3e}c_{2e}^{+} - c_{1e}c_{0e}^{+} & /c_{0e}/^{2} + /c_{2e}/^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

From the above analysis, it implies that the depolarized codes can be further decoded with the ML decoder or MMSE/ZF decoder for the quasi-orthogonal STBC [8]. However, whenever there is the constraint $c_0c_1^+ = c_2c_3^+$ for $\hat{J}(\mathbf{x})$, the yielded codes have an orthogonal structure and hence have the simi-

lar performance behaviors as that of the Alamouti code [7]. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

C. Proof of (62) from (41)

In order to derive the LRs for the *down-polarizing* system, we deploy the calculation approach for the *up-polarizing* system suggested by Arikan [1].

For the simplicity of this proof, we consider the proof of the validity of the LRs $L_4^{(i)}(y_t)$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, for decision element \hat{x}_i in the depolarizing algorithm to illustrate the relationship of two level of LRs $L_4^{(i)}(y_t)$ in (62) and $L_2^{(i)}(y_t)$ in (61). According to the defined LR in (58), we use the recursive of the splitting transition probabilities $W_4^{(i)} \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, and thus achieve

$$\begin{split} & L_4^{(0)}(y) = \frac{W_4^{(0)}(y/0)}{W_4^{(0)}(y_1)} \\ &= \frac{W_2^{(0)}(y_1/0)W_2^{(0)}(y_2/0) + W_2^{(0)}(y_1/0)W_2^{(0)}(y_2/1)}{W_2^{(0)}(y_1/1)W_2^{(0)}(y_2/1) + W_2^{(0)}(y_1/1)W_2^{(0)}(y_2/0)} \\ &= \frac{L_2^{(0)}(y_1)L_2^{(0)}(y_2) + L_2^{(0)}(y_1)}{1 + L_2^{(0)}(y_2)} \\ &= L_2^{(0)}(y_1) \end{split}$$

$$L_{4}^{(1)}(y,\hat{x}_{0}) = \frac{W_{4}^{(1)}(y,\hat{x}_{0}/0)}{W_{4}^{(1)}(y,\hat{x}_{0}/1)}$$

= $\frac{W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1}/\hat{x}_{0})W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2}/\hat{x}_{0}+0)}{W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{1}/\hat{x}_{0})W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2}/\hat{x}_{0}+1)},$ (71)
= $(L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{2}))^{1-2\hat{x}_{0}}$

$$\begin{split} & L_4^{(2)}(y, \hat{x}_1) = \frac{W_4^{(2)}(y, \hat{x}_1/0)}{W_4^{(2)}(y, \hat{x}_1/1)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{x_3} W_2^{(1)}(y_1/x_0/0) W_2^{(1)}(y_2, x_0 + x_1/0 + x_3)}{\sum_{x_3} W_2^{(1)}(y_1/x_0/1) W_2^{(1)}(y_2, x_0 + x_1/1 + x_3)} \\ &= L_2^{(1)}(y_1, \hat{x}_0) \end{split}$$

$$L_{4}^{(3)}(y,\hat{x}_{1}\hat{x}_{2}) = \frac{W_{4}^{(1)}(y,\hat{x}_{1}\hat{x}_{2}/0)}{W_{4}^{(1)}(y,\hat{x}_{1}\hat{x}_{2}/1)}$$
$$= \frac{W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1},\hat{x}_{0}/\hat{x}_{2})W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},\hat{x}_{0}+\hat{x}_{1}/\hat{x}_{2}+0)}{W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{1},\hat{x}_{0}/\hat{x}_{2})W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},\hat{x}_{0}+\hat{x}_{1}/\hat{x}_{2}+1)} (72)$$
$$= (L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{2},\hat{x}_{0}+\hat{x}_{1}))^{1-2\hat{x}_{2}}$$

Vol. 2 Issue 1, January- 2013 In a similar way, we derive the formulas in (61) while calculating the low level LRs $L_2^{(i)}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, from (39). Namely, we obtain the relationship of two level LRs $L_2^{(i)}$ and LRs $L^{(i)}$ given by

$$\begin{split} L_{2}^{(0)}(y_{k}) &= \frac{W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{k}/0)}{W_{2}^{(0)}(y_{k}/1)} \\ &= \frac{W(y_{2k-2}/0)W(y_{2k-1}/0) + W(y_{2k-2}/0)W(y_{2k-1}/1)}{W(y_{2k-2}/1)W(y_{2k-1}/1) + W(y_{2k-2}/1)W(y_{2k-1}/0)} \\ &= \frac{L(y_{2k-2})L(y_{2k-1}) + L(y_{2k-2})}{1 + L(y_{2k-1})} \\ &= L(y_{2k-2}) \end{split}$$

$$L_{2}^{(1)}(y_{k}, \hat{x}_{2k-2}) = \frac{W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{k}, \hat{x}_{2k-2}/0)}{W_{2}^{(1)}(y_{k}, \hat{x}_{2k-2}/1)}$$
$$= \frac{W(y_{2k-2}/\hat{x}_{2k-2})W(y_{2k-1}/\hat{x}_{2k-2}+0)}{W(y_{2k-2}/\hat{x}_{2k-2})W(y_{2k-1}/\hat{x}_{2k-2}+1)}$$
$$= (L(y_{2k-1}))^{1-2\hat{x}_{2k-2}}$$
(73)

This completes the proof of our statement of derivation of LRs in (62) and (61).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the World Class University R32-2008-000-20014-0 NRF, Korea, and Fundamental Research 2010-0020942 NRF, Korea.

REFERENCES

- Arikan, "Channel Polarization: A Method for Constructing Capacity-Achieving codes for Symmetric Binary-Input Memoryless Channel", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol .55, pp.4366-4385, July 2009.
- [2] Arikan, "Performance comparison of polar-codes and Reed-Muller codes". IEEE. Comm. Letters, vol.12, pp.447-449, June 2008.
- [3] S. B. Korada E. S. as,o`glu and R. Urbanke, Polar Codes: "Characterization of Exponent, Bounds, and Constructions", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.56, pp.6253-6264, Dec. 2010.
- [4] M. H. Lee and E. Arikan, "Polar code and Jacket matrix", Seminar at Bilkent University, Turkey, August 2009.
- [5] R. Mori and T. Tanaka, "Performance and Construction of Polar-codes on Symmetric Binary-Input Memoryless Channels", IEEE ISIT, Korea, June 2009.

- [6] Y. Guo and M. H. Lee, "A Novel Channel Polarization on Binary Discrete Memoryless Channels", IEEE ICCS, Singapore, Nov. 2010.
- [7] S. M. Alamouti, "A simple transmit diversity technique for wireless communications", IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun., vol.16, pp.1451-1458, Oct. 1998.
- [8] H. Jafarkhani, "A quasi-orthogonal space-time block codes", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol.49, pp.1-4, Jan. 2001.
- [9] W. Su and X.-G. Xia, and K. J. R. Liu, "A systematic design of high-rate complex orthogonal space-time block codes", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.8, pp.4340-4347, Jun. 2004.
- [10] Y. Shang and X.-G. Xia, "Space-time block codes achieving full diversity with linear receivers", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.54, pp.4528-4547, Oct. 2008.
- [11] [11] X. Guo and X.-G. Xia, "On Full Diversity Space-Time Block Codes With Partial Interference Cancellation Group Decoding", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.55, pp.4366-4385, Oct. 2009.
- [12] Z. Li, X.-G. Xia and M. H. Lee, "A Simple Orthogonal Space-Time Coding Scheme for Asynchronous Cooperative Systems for Frequency Selective Fading Channels", IEEE Trans. Commun, vol.58, pp.2219-2224 Aug. 2010.
- [13] Tarokh, N. Seshadri, and A. R. Calderbank, Space-time codes for high data rate wireless communication: Performance criterion and code construction, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 744-765, Mar. 1998.
- [14] W. Zhang, X.-G. Xia, and K. B. Letaief, Spacetime/frequency coding for MIMO-OFDM in next generation broadband wireless systems, IEEE Wireless Commun. Mag., vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 32-43, Jun. 2007.
- [15] Y. Gong and K. B. Letaief, An efficient space-frequency coded OFDM system for broadband wireless communications, IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 2019-2029, Dec. 2003.
- [16] W. Su, Z. Safar, M. Olfat, and K. J. R. Liu, Abtaining full-diversity space-frequency codes from space-time codes via mapping, IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 2905-2916, Nov. 2003.
- [17] W. Su, Z. Safar, and K. J. R. Liu, Full-rate full-diversity space-frequency codes with optimum coding advantage, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 229-249, Jan. 2005.
- [18] W. Zhang, X.-G. Xia, and P. C. Ching, High-rate fulldiversity space-time-frequency codes for broadband MIMO block-fading channels, IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 25-34, Jan. 2007.
- [19] Y. Yan and Moon Ho Lee, "A Turbo-Like Symbol by Symbol Decoder for Randomly Distributed Space-Time

Coding in Amplify-and-Forward Relay Networks", IEEE Comm. Letters, vol.14, Jan 2010.

- [20] Z. Li, X.-G. Xia and B. Li, "Achieving full diversity and fast ML decoding via simple analog network coding for asynchronous two-way relay networks", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol.57, pp.3672-3681, Dec. 2009.
- [21] S. Muhaidat, J.K. Cavers, and P. Ho, "Transparent Amplify-and-Forward Relaying in MIMO Relay Channels", IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol.9, pp.3144-3154, Oct. 2010.
- [22] Y. Jing and H. Jafarkhani, "Single and multiple relay selection schemes and their achievable diversity orders", IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol.8, pp.1414-1423, Mar. 2009.
- [23] Wang, J. Zhang and A. Host-Madsen, "On the capacity of MIMO relay channels", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.51, pp.29-43, Jan. 2005.
- [24] Y. Rong, X. Tang and Y. Hua, "A Unified Framework for Optimizing Linear Nonregenerative Multicarrier MIMO Relay Communication Systems", IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol.57, pp.4837-4851, Dec. 2009.
- [25] E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication", Bell Syst. Tech. J., vol. 27, pp. 379-423, 623-656, Jul.-Oct. 1948.
- [26] Y. Jing and B. Hassibi, "Distributed Space-Time Coding in Wireless Relay Networks", IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol.5, pp.3524-3536, Dec. 2006.