# Analysis of PAPR in Digital Video Broadcasting over AWGN & Rayleigh Channel

Bhalchandra M. Hardas Assistant Professor, Electronics Dr. Sanjay B. Pokle Professor, Electronics & Communication

Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering & Management Katol Road, Nagpur-440013

Abstract The development of the Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) standards was started in 1993 [1]. DVB is a transmission scheme based on the MPEG-2 standard, as a method for point to multipoint delivery of high quality compressed digital audio and video. It is an enhanced replacement of the analogue television broadcast standard, as DVB provides a flexible transmission medium for delivery of video, audio and data services [2]. The DVB standards specify the delivery mechanism for a wide range of applications, including satellite TV (DVB), cable systems (DVB) and terrestrial transmissions (DVB) [3]. The physical layer of each of these standards is optimized for the transmission channel being used. Satellite broadcasts use a single carrier transmission, with QPSK modulation, which is optimized for this application as a single carrier allows for large Doppler shifts, and QPSK allows for maximum energy efficiency [4].

The major difference between DAB and DVB is the larger bandwidth used and the use of higher modulation schemes to achieve a higher data throughput. The DVB-T allows for three subcarrier modulation schemes: QPSK, 16-QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation) and 64- QAM; and a range of guard period lengths and coding rates. This allows the robustness of the transmission link to be traded at the expense of link capacity. DVB is a Uni-directional link due to its broadcast nature. Thus any choice in data rate verses robustness affects all receivers. If the system goal is to achieve high reliability, the data rate must be lowered to meet the conditions of the worst receiver. This effect limits the usefulness of the flexible nature of the standard. However if these same principles of a flexible transmission rate are used in bi-directional communications, the data rate can be maximized based on the current radio conditions. Additionally for multiuser applications, it can be optimized for individual remote transceivers.

Keywords PAPR, OFDM, AWGN, Rayleigh

#### **I INTRODUCTION**

Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) system has been considered as one of the strong standard candidates for the next generation mobile radio communication systems. Multiplexing a serial data symbol stream into a large number of orthogonal subchannel makes the OFDM signals spectral bandwidth efficient. It has been shown that the performance of OFDM system over frequency selective fading channels is better than that of the single carrier modulation system. One of the major drawbacks of OFDM system is that the OFDM signal can have high peak to average power ratio (PAPR). The high PAPR brings on the OFDM signal distortion in the nonlinear region of high power amplifier (HPA) and the signal distortion induces the degradation of bit error rate (BER). Moreover, to prevent spectral growth of the multicarrier signal in the form of inter modulation among

subcarriers and out-of-band radiation, the transmit power amplifier must be operated in its linear region (i.e., with a large input backoff), where the power conversion is inefficient.

One of the major drawbacks of OFDM has been the high peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) that is characteristic of signals with multiple subcarriers. The high PAPR requires additional back off to achieve linear amplification at the transmitter end which results in inefficient power consumption. This inefficient power consumption is the major impediment in implementing OFDM in portable device. Previous efforts to address this problem have been principally directed at two areas, the reduction of signal PAPR and various methods of achieving linear and efficient power amplification (PA). However, all approaches suffer due to various deficiencies such as complexity, computational time, memory requirements, data rate loss and high distortion.

There has been momentous progress in the field of wireless communication during last twenty years. The internet and digital communication evolution has resulted in enormous increase in methods of personal communication as well as commercial applications. The new paradigm of information access to everybody everywhere all the time is in making. To achieve the ever increasing demands of higher data transfer rates for new multimedia applications, the physical wireless link of wireless communication networks is constantly under trial. The phenomenon of multipath fading, mobility and the limited availability of bandwidth are major precincts. Lately, there have been many breakthroughs to triumph over these limitations. Many modulation techniques compete for new solutions and future applications. Modulation schemes can be broadly categorized in to single carrier and multi carrier.Wideband code division multiple access (W-CDMA) is single carrier modulation scheme. While OFDM, Multi Carrier Code Division Multiple Access (MC-CDMA) are multi carrier schemes.



Fig.1 Digital Video broadcasting implementation using OFDM system

Digital Video broadcasting application over OFDM has been studied over AWGN & Rayleigh channel. The PAPR without reduction algorithm (DWT) & PAPR with reduction algorithm (DWT) is as par following table. Number of frames to processed (1-119) are 30 with SNR:2 The DVB allows for three subcarrier modulation schemes:

### QPSK

16-QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation)

#### 64-QAM

The terrestrial network operator can choose one of the two modes of operation

 2k mode: suitable for single transmitter operations and small single frequency networks (SFN) with limited transmitter distances. It employs 1705 carriers.

8k mode: suitable for both single transmitter operations and small and large single Frequency networks (SFN). It employs 6817 carriers.

Simple OFDM system with digital video broadcasting is modelled (designed) in MATLAB

### **III DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS**

Following design specifications are follwed while designing the model System. Number of frames to processed (1-119) are 30 with SNR:2dB IFFT size 512.The DVB allows for three subcarrier modulation schemes: BPSK Word size 1. *QPSK* Word size 2 *8PSK* Word size 3 & 64-OAM Word size 6

OUTPUT VIDEO

The terrestrial network operator can choose one of the two modes of operation 2k mode: suitable for single transmitter operations and small single frequency networks (SFN) with limited transmitter distances. It employs 1705 carriers. Kmin =0 Kmax=1704. 8k mode: suitable for both single transmitter operations and small and large single Frequency networks (SFN). It employs 6817 carriers.Kmin=0 Kmax=6816

## IV SIMULATION RESULTS



Fig 2. PAPR before technique (AWGN)



Fig 4. PAPR before technique (Rayleigh)



Fig 5. PAPR after technique (Rayleigh)

#### **IV COMAPRISION**



Fig 3. PAPR after technique (AWGN)

Frame	AV	WGN Channe	el	Rayleigh Channel		
	PAPR	PAPR PAPR		PAPR	PAPR	Improve
	before	after	ment	before	after	ment
1	14.42846	13.60858	0.81988	14.42913	13.22867	1.20046
2	14.42976	14.13539	0.29437	14.42764	13.21746	1.21018
3	14.42882	14.1455	0.28332	14.42844	13.44332	0.98512
4	14.42994	14.18952	0.24042	14.43015	13.39662	1.03353
5	14.42824	13.51959	0.90865	14.42919	13.87546	0.55373
6	14.42872	13.49958	0.92914	14.42902	14.12629	0.30273
7	14.42825	13.85293	0.57532	14.42928	13.02095	1.40833
8	14.42837	14.41795	0.01042	14.42989	14.00103	0.42886
9	14.42834	13.00031	1.42803	14.42843	14.22876	0.19967
10	14.42883	13.70043	0.7284	14.42904	14.20154	0.2275
11	14.42811	14.02512	0.40299	14.42806	13.2057	1.22236
12	14.42923	13.33458	1.09465	14.42973	13.82378	0.60595
13	14.42908	13.0939	1.33518	14.42859		
					13.128	1.30059

## IJERTV2IS120220

15 $14.42811$ $13.7041$ $0.72401$ $14.4295$ $14.00577$ $0.42373$ 16 $14.42984$ $13.03306$ $1.39678$ $14.42782$ $13.51065$ $0.91717$ 17 $14.42828$ $14.24039$ $0.18789$ $14.42782$ $13.51065$ $0.91717$ 18 $14.42039$ $0.18789$ $14.42837$ $14.02799$ $0.40038$ 18 $14.42018$ $13.61835$ $0.81183$ $14.42011$ $13.03903$ $1.39108$ 19 $14.42807$ $13.1324$ $1.29567$ $14.42979$ $13.22756$ $1.20223$ 20 $14.42852$ $13.77622$ $0.65203$ $14.42831$ $13.3591$ $1.06921$ 21 $14.42973$ $14.14759$ $0.28214$ $14.42872$ $13.47407$ $0.95465$ 22 $14.42845$ $14.06853$ $0.35992$ $14.42845$ $14.19326$ $0.23519$ 23 $14.42971$ $13.39091$ $0.4969$ $14.42812$ $14.38669$ $0.04152$ 24 $14.42781$ $13.39397$ $1.08986$ $14.42877$ $12.99069$ $1.43808$ 27 $14.42938$ $13.99614$ $0.43324$ $14.2297$ $12.2976$ $1.20226$ 28 $14.42873$ $13.79423$ $0.6345$ $14.4294$ $13.50312$ $0.92622$ 30 $14.42814$ $13.68532$ $0.74282$ $14.4296$ $13.24575$ $1.18394$	14	14.42833	14.39905	0.02928	14.42905	13.28852	1.14053	need to be researched since this simulation was only a		
16 $14.42984$ $13.03306$ $1.39678$ $14.42782$ $13.51065$ $0.91717$ pasic one. As an example, there are a lot of improvements $17$ $14.42828$ $14.24039$ $0.18789$ $14.42837$ $14.02799$ $0.40038$ $18$ $14.43018$ $13.61835$ $0.81183$ $14.42817$ $14.02799$ $0.40038$ $19$ $14.42807$ $13.1324$ $1.29567$ $14.42979$ $13.22756$ $1.20223$ $20$ $14.42825$ $13.77622$ $0.65203$ $14.42811$ $13.3591$ $1.06921$ $21$ $14.42973$ $14.14759$ $0.28214$ $14.42872$ $13.47407$ $0.95465$ $22$ $14.42845$ $14.06853$ $0.35992$ $14.42845$ $14.19326$ $0.23519$ $23$ $14.4297$ $14.22519$ $0.20451$ $14.42821$ $14.38669$ $0.04152$ $24$ $14.42973$ $13.3991$ $0.4969$ $14.42877$ $12.99069$ $1.43088$ $27$ $14.42938$ $13.99614$ $0.43324$ $14.4297$ $12.99069$ $1.43808$ $27$ $14.42973$ $13.79423$ $0.6345$ $14.42974$ $12.99169$ $1.43808$ $29$ $14.42873$ $13.79423$ $0.6345$ $14.4294$ $13.50312$ $0.92622$ $30$ $14.42814$ $13.68532$ $0.74282$ $14.42969$ $13.24755$ $1.8394$ $30$ $14.42814$ $13.68532$ $0.74282$ $14.42969$ $13.24755$ $1.20223$ $30$ $14.42814$ $13.68532$ $0.74282$ $14.42969$ $13.24755$	15	14.42811	13.7041	0.72401	14.4295	14.00577	0.42373			
17       14.42828       14.24039       0.18789       14.42837       14.02799       0.40038         18       14.43018       13.61835       0.81183       14.43011       13.03903       1.39108         19       14.42807       13.1324       1.29567       14.42979       13.22756       1.20223         20       14.42807       13.77622       0.65203       14.42871       13.3591       1.06921         21       14.42873       13.77622       0.65203       14.42872       13.47407       0.95465         22       14.42845       14.06853       0.35992       14.42845       14.19326       0.23519         23       14.4297       14.22519       0.20451       14.42841       14.38669       0.04152         24       14.42973       13.3901       0.4969       14.42841       14.20152       0.22712         26       14.42943       13.3961       0.43324       14.429       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.42725       13.849       0.57825       14.42934       13.3012       0.92622         29       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	16	14.42984	13.03306	1.39678	14.42782	13.51065	0.91717	pasic one. As an example, there are a lot of improvements		
18       14.43018       13.61835       0.81183       14.43011       13.03903       1.39108         19       14.42807       13.1324       1.29567       14.42979       13.22756       1.20223         20       14.42825       13.77622       0.65203       14.42811       13.3591       1.06921         21       14.42973       14.14759       0.28214       14.42872       13.47407       0.95465         22       14.42845       14.06853       0.35992       14.42812       14.38669       0.04152         23       14.4297       14.42971       13.93091       0.4969       14.42812       0.22712         24       14.42973       13.39957       1.08986       14.42877       12.99069       1.43808         27       14.42938       13.99614       0.43324       14.4297       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.42725       13.849       0.57825       14.42064       13.92152       0.50912         29       14.42873       13.79423       0.6345       14.42934       13.50312       0.92622         30       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	17	14.42828	14.24039	0.18789	14.42837	14.02799	0.40038	that can be brought to the program, such as the addition (		
19       14.42807       13.1324       1.29567       14.42979       13.22756       1.20223       guard interval, coding the original information, simulation         20       14.42825       13.77622       0.65203       14.42831       13.3591       1.06921         21       14.42973       14.14759       0.28214       14.42872       13.47407       0.95465         22       14.42845       14.06853       0.35992       14.42845       14.19326       0.23519         23       14.4297       14.22519       0.20451       14.42821       14.38669       0.04152         24       14.42973       13.3957       1.08986       14.42864       14.20152       0.22712         26       14.42909       13.54949       0.8796       14.42877       12.99069       1.43808         27       14.42938       13.99614       0.43324       14.429       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.42873       13.79423       0.6345       14.42934       13.50312       0.92622         30       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	18	14.43018	13.61835	0.81183	14.43011	13.03903	1.39108	hat can be brought to the program, such as the addition of		
20       14.42825       13.77622       0.65203       14.42831       13.3591       1.06921         21       14.42973       14.14759       0.28214       14.42872       13.47407       0.95465         22       14.42845       14.06853       0.35992       14.42845       14.19326       0.23519         23       14.4297       14.22519       0.20451       14.42821       14.38669       0.04152         24       14.42943       13.3997       1.08986       14.42864       14.20152       0.22712         26       14.42909       13.54949       0.8796       14.42877       12.99069       1.43808         27       14.42938       13.99614       0.43324       14.429       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.42725       13.849       0.57825       14.42934       13.50312       0.92622         30       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	19	14.42807	13.1324	1.29567	14.42979	13.22756	1.20223	guard interval, coding the original information, simulat		
21       14.42973       14.14759       0.28214       14.42872       13.47407       0.95465         22       14.42845       14.06853       0.35992       14.42845       14.19326       0.23519         23       14.4297       14.22519       0.20451       14.42821       14.38669       0.04152         24       14.42781       13.93091       0.4969       14.42802       13.94468       0.48555         25       14.42943       13.33957       1.08986       14.42864       14.20152       0.22712         26       14.42909       13.54949       0.8796       14.42877       12.99069       1.43808         27       14.42938       13.99614       0.43324       14.429       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.42873       13.79423       0.6345       14.42934       13.50312       0.92622         30       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	20	14.42825	13.77622	0.65203	14.42831	13.3591	1.06921			
22       14.42845       14.06853       0.35992       14.42845       14.19326       0.23519         23       14.4297       14.22519       0.20451       14.42821       14.38669       0.04152         24       14.42781       13.93091       0.4969       14.43023       13.94468       0.48555         25       14.42943       13.33957       1.08986       14.42864       14.20152       0.22712         26       14.42909       13.54949       0.8796       14.42877       12.99069       1.43808         27       14.42938       13.99614       0.43324       14.429       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.42873       13.79423       0.6345       14.42934       13.50312       0.92622         30       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	21	14.42973	14.14759	0.28214	14.42872	13.47407	0.95465	over multipath channel etc.		
23       14.4297       14.22519       0.20451       14.42821       14.38669       0.04152         24       14.42781       13.93091       0.4969       14.43023       13.94468       0.48555         25       14.42943       13.33957       1.08986       14.42864       14.20152       0.22712         26       14.42909       13.54949       0.8796       14.42877       12.99069       1.43808         27       14.42938       13.99614       0.43324       14.429       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.42725       13.849       0.57825       14.43064       13.92152       0.50912         29       14.42873       13.79423       0.6345       14.42934       13.50312       0.92622         30       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	22	14.42845	14.06853	0.35992	14.42845	14.19326	0.23519			
24       14.42781       13.93091       0.4969       14.43023       13.94468       0.48555         25       14.42943       13.33957       1.08986       14.42864       14.20152       0.22712         26       14.42909       13.54949       0.8796       14.42877       12.99069       1.43808         27       14.42938       13.99614       0.43324       14.429       13.18624       1.24276         28       14.4275       13.849       0.57825       14.43064       13.92152       0.50912         29       14.42873       13.79423       0.6345       14.42993       13.50312       0.92622         30       14.42814       13.68532       0.74282       14.42969       13.24575       1.18394	23	14.4297	14.22519	0.20451	14.42821	14.38669	0.04152			
25         14.42943         13.33957         1.08986         14.42864         14.20152         0.22712           26         14.42909         13.54949         0.8796         14.42877         12.99069         1.43808           27         14.42938         13.99614         0.43324         14.429         13.18624         1.24276           28         14.42725         13.849         0.57825         14.43064         13.92152         0.50912           29         14.42873         13.79423         0.6345         14.42934         13.50312         0.92622           30         14.42814         13.68532         0.74282         14.42969         13.24575         1.18394	24	14.42781	13.93091	0.4969	14.43023	13.94468	0.48555	VI REFERENCES		
26         14.42909         13.54949         0.8796         14.42877         12.99069         1.43808           27         14.42938         13.99614         0.43324         14.429         13.18624         1.24276           28         14.42725         13.849         0.57825         14.43064         13.92152         0.50912           29         14.42873         13.79423         0.6345         14.42969         13.24575         1.18394           30         14.42814         13.68532         0.74282         14.42969         13.24575         1.18394	25	14.42943	13.33957	1.08986	14.42864	14.20152	0.22712	1 Charles Nader, P.N. Landin, W. V. Mor, N.Biorsell and		
27         14.42938         13.99614         0.43324         14.429         13.18624         1.24276           28         14.42725         13.849         0.57825         14.43064         13.92152         0.50912           29         14.42873         13.79423         0.6345         14.42934         13.50312         0.92622           30         14.42814         13.68532         0.74282         14.42969         13.24575         1.18394	26	14.42909	13.54949	0.8796	14.42877	12.99069	1.43808	Peter Handel, "Performance Evaluation of Peak-to		
28         14.42725         13.849         0.57825         14.43064         13.92152         0.50912           29         14.42873         13.79423         0.6345         14.42934         13.50312         0.92622           30         14.42814         13.68532         0.74282         14.42969         13.24575         1.18394	27	14.42938	13.99614	0.43324	14.429	13.18624	1.24276	Average Power ration Reduction and Digital Pre-		
29         14.42873         13.79423         0.6345         14.42934         13.50312         0.92622           30         14.42814         13.68532         0.74282         14.42969         13.24575         1.18394	28	14.42725	13.849	0.57825	14.43064	13.92152	0.50912	Distortion for OFDM Based Systems",IEEE		
30 14.42814 13.68532 0.74282 14.42969 13.24575 1.18394	29	14.42873	13.79423	0.6345	14.42934	13.50312	0.92622	transactions on microwave theory and technique, Vol. 59 No. 12 December 2011		
	30	14.42814	13.68532	0.74282	14.42969	13.24575	1.18394	57, 110. 12. December 2011		

## Table 1 Comparison of PAPR before and after algorithm for AWGN & Rayleigh channel

#### V CONCLUSION & FUTURE SCOPE

The simulation done in MATLAB worked well. The Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) & Rayleigh noise corrupted the transmitted signal and this resulted in a different received constellation original than the constellation. For small SNR values the calculated error rate was quite large and ISI was produced due the relative high power of noise. As SNR was increased the error rate was decreasing, as expected. In fact, for a SNR value greater than 8 dB, the error was zero. This is a quite different than expected and it is due to the fact that the program is simulating only few OFDM symbols (i.e. one frame), sent one by one. If the number of transmitted OFDM symbols is increased, than a more accurate error rate can be obtained, but this necessitates a high processing power PC and time. There are more aspects of OFDM that

- Y.-C. Wang and K.-C. Yi, "Convex optimization method for quasiconstant peak-to-average power ratio of OFDM signals," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 509-512, June 2009
- 3. Tao Jiang, and Yiyan Wu, "An Overview: Peak-to-Average Power Ratio Reduction techniques for OFDM Signals" accepted for future inclusion in IEEE transaction for broadcasting, Vol. 54 No. 2 June 2008
- C. Wang and S.-H. Leung, "Par reduction in OFDM through convex programming," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech, Signal Process.*, Las Vegas, NV, USA, Mar. 2008, pp. 3597-3600.
- Marwan M. Al-Akaidi , Omar R. Daoud and John A. Gow ," MIMO-OFDM –based DVB-H Systems: A Hardware design for a PAPR Reduction technique" ,IEEE 2006
- D.H. Park and H.-K. Song ," A new PAPR reduction Technique of OFDM system with Nonlinear High Power Amplifier", IEEE 2007
- Seok-Joong Heo, Jong-Seon No, Dong-Joon Shin, "A modified SLM Scheme with low complexity for PAPR reduction of OFDM systems," The 18th Annual IEEE international symposium on personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications(PIMRC'07), (2007)
- Seema khalid ,S I Shah, "PAPR reduction by zero forcing peaks," INMIC Islamabad, Pakistan, Dec 2006.
- Z.-Q. Luo and W. Yu, "An introduction to convex optimization for communications and signal processing," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1426-1438, Aug. 2006.
- C.-L.Wang and Y. Ouyang, "Low-complexity selected mapping schemes for peak-to average power ratio reduction in OFDM system", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 53, Dec 2005.

- Seung Hee Han, Jae Hong Lee., "An overview of peakto-average power ratio reduction techniques for multicarrier transmission," IEEE Wireless Communications , April 2005
- 12. D. A. Wiegandt and C. R. Nassar, "High performance OFDM via carrier interferometry," presented at 3G wireless' 01 IEEE International Conference on Third Generation Wireless and Beyond, May 30-June 2, 2001
- 13.Ahn, H., Shin, Y.m and Im, S., "A block coding scheme for peak to average power ratio reduction in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing system", *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference Proceedings*, Vol.1, May 2000



**Dr.Sanjay B.Pokle** received PhD & M.Tech from V.N.I.T, Nagpur. He is Professor of Electronics & Communication Engineering department of Shri Ramdeobaba college of Engineering & Management, Nagpur. He has 19 years of academic experience. He is the member of IEEE, ISTE & IE.



Bhalchandra M. Hardas received M.Tech in Electronics & B.E. in Electronics & Telecommunication from Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University He is Assistant professor of Electronics Engineering department of Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering & Management. Nagpur. He has 10 years