Application of Newton Raphson Method to Voltage Stability Analysis of the Nigeria 330kV Transmission Grid

Peter .O. Ohiero Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Cross River University of Technology, Calabar, Nigeria

*Abstract***— This paper presents the voltage stability analysis of the Nigeria 330kV transmission network using Newton Raphson load flow method. A model of the existing 56-bus transmission network was developed and simulations have been carried out using Electrical Transient and Analyzer Program (ETAP) software. The simulation results show that the voltage magnitudes of buses; Aliade (0.9469pu˂-26.18⁰), Damaturu (0.8961pu˂-39.39⁰), Gombe (0.9000pu˂-37.04⁰), Jalingo (0.8721pu˂-25.51⁰), Jos (0.9112pu˂-32.95⁰), Kaduna (0.8833pu˂- 34.56⁰), Kano (0.7805pu˂-44.59⁰), Makurdi (0.9432pu˂-27.66⁰), Maiduguri (0.8961pu˂-39.38⁰), Yola (0.8920pu˂-23.39⁰) fall below the acceptable voltage limit of 0.95pu. These buses are the weak buses in the existing Nigeria 330kV transmission network that contribute more to system collapse and blackouts. This work also demonstrates the effectiveness of voltage stability analysis in proper identification of weak buses and proper location of reactive power compensation devices. Hence, in order to improve on the stability of the power system network, there is need for reactive power compensation devices to be located on these buses.**

Keywords— Voltage Stability, Voltage Instability, Voltage Collapse, Newton Raphson load flow method, Nigeria 330kV Transmission Grid.

I. INTRODUCTION

Voltage and frequency are important parameters used to determine the stability, security, quality and performance of a power system network. Power system network and it components are designed to operate at a constant and specified value of voltage and frequency. This is achieved when there is a balance between the power generation and power demand. In other words, the power generation must be equal to power demand and losses.

In Nigeria, electricity is transmitted through transmission lines at a high voltage of 330kV. This voltage is to be maintained at the acceptable operational value of 330kV±5% that is, between 315.5kV and 346.5kV or 0.95pu to 1.05pu stability limit. However, numerous challenges facing the Nigeria power system have been forcing the transmission network to operate out of the stability limit. Some of these challenges are inability to maintain a balance between power generation and power demand; the power generation is less than power demand due to increasing population and industrialization, sudden increase in load, increasing reactive power demand without adequate reactive power support, slow

pace of rehabilitation and expansion, erratic power supply, long radial, fragile transmission lines with limited transmission wheeling capacity without redundancies, aged power system equipment, high losses [1].

At present, there are twenty three (23) power generating plants with a total installed capacity 10,396MW connected to the Nigeria national grid but with only available capacity of 6,056MW [2]. Even if all the existing generating plants are operational, there is a great limitation to dispatch the generated power by the transmission and distribution infrastructures. The transmission network has a theoretical wheeling capacity of 7,500MW and a current transmission wheeling capacity of 5,300MW which is overstressed and overloaded. When the generated reactive power is greater than or less than the demanded reactive power, the voltage level goes up and down and results to voltage instability. Voltage instability is direct opposite of voltage stability. Voltage instability occurs when a power system is unable to maintain its bus voltages within the acceptable operational limit under normal condition and after being subjected to disturbance. Voltage instability causes overheating, excessive voltage drop and power losses, and force the components of the power system to operate above their thermal limits and consequently reduces power quality, efficiency, reliability and performance and leads to a wide scale supply disruptions, resulting to grid collapse and blackout. In a power system, some components and buses are more prone to voltage variation than the other because of their location with regards to the sources of electricity and load demand. A power bus which voltage fall outside of the acceptable voltage range of ±5% of nominal value is a weak bus. Weak buses poses great challenge in the operation, reliability and security of power system. A weak bus cannot support additional loads and have negative effect on generation, transmission and distribution of electricity to industrial and residential customers. Several studies and methods have been used to know the operational voltage and identify weak buses in power system network [3][4][5][6].

Voltage stability can be analyzed using power flow analysis, continuation power flow, bifurcation diagram, V-P curves, P-Q sensitivity analysis, Q-V modal analysis, Q-V curves, and minimum singular value methods, modal/eigen value, Fast Voltage Stability Indices (FVSI). Voltage Stability Analysis

of Nigerian 330kV Power Grid using Static P-V Plots was investigated in [7]. In their approach, the real power P of electric load, at a particular area or bus is varied in steps at a fixed power factor while the value of the voltage V is recorded. The plot of the PV curve is used to determine the voltage stability of the system. Two solutions were arrived at for the voltage, one for the high voltage but within the voltage stability limit which is the stable solution and the other one is the low voltage but outside the minimum voltage stability limit which the unstable solution. Their results showed the maximum power point, at which the two solution for voltage is equal beyond which increase in real power P and reactive power Q will make the voltage unstable. In another work, the fast voltage stability indices (FVSI) was analysed and presented [8]. They used the fast voltage stability indices (FVSI) to identify the critical lines and buses to install the FACTS controllers. The line stability indices were evaluated for each loading condition and line outage. The line that gives FVSI value close to one were taken to be the most critical line corresponding to the bus causing the power system to tend towards instability. The simulation results by using PSAT software for the IEEE-14 bus system shows the proper location of UPFC as identified by the FVSI in a particular line connected to the most critical bus to maintain the stability of the system. When a power system network is subjected to voltage instability, there is need for reactive power compensation, expansion and upgrade. The problem of voltage instability can be solved with reactive power compensating devices such as shunt capacitors, UPFC, SVC, FACTS and under load tap changing (ULTC) transformer [9][10]. The location of reactive power compensating devices must be accurately known and located to improve the stability of the power system network. The challenge most power system operators and engineers faced is the proper and optimal location of the point where voltage instability originates from and the correct placement of reactive power compensator [11]. Reference [12] studied the compensation effect on the interconnected Nigerian Electric Power grid and concluded that concentrating the compensation on the problem buses gives best results. Hence, there is need to investigate the voltage stability of the entire power system network to know in advance the parts or buses likely to contribute more to voltage instability and system collapse or blackouts in order to correctly locate voltage compensation devices. In this paper, the load flow method approach is used to analyse voltage stability. It involves carrying out a load flow analysis to know the voltage magnitude and angle at each bus, the real and reactive power of the generator and loads and the power flow and losses along the transmission lines. Once, the bus voltage magnitude and angle is known, it becomes easier to know the buses whose voltage limit is violated.

II. FORMULATION OF NEWTON RAPHSON LOAD FLOW METHOD

Load flow analysis can be carried out using any of the following; Newton Raphson, Gauss Seidel and Fast Decoupled methods. Among these, Newton Raphson is widely used because it has better accuracy, less iterative time and very fast convergence speed.

Newton Raphson method is an iterative method in which a set of linear simultaneous equations is obtained from a set of nonlinear simultaneous equations by successive approximation using Taylor's series expansion [13]. It is widely applied to solving load flow problems and only first approximation is taken. It begins with an initial estimate or a guess at the solution and at the end of an iteration, the solution is checked of its closeness to the actual solution, the solution is updated until the solution converges and a final solution is obtained.

Considering an i_{th} bus as shown in a single line diagram in Fig. 1 below, the current injection into the i_{th} bus I_i is a function of the voltage at i_{th} bus V_i and the impedance of the line Z_{ij} between the i_{th} bus and another bus say j_{th} bus given as;

$$
I_i = \frac{V_i}{Z_{ij}}\tag{1}
$$

Fig. 1. Single line diagram of a two bus system.

In order to eliminate the burden of calculation in (1), the relationship between impedance and admittance can be used and (1) becomes;

$$
I_i = V_i y_{ij} \tag{2}
$$

Where, y_{ij} is the admittance of the line between bus *i* and *j*.

In an n-bus power system, the current injection into i_{th} bus is calculated based on Kirchhoff Current Law (KCL) as;

$$
I_i = V_i \sum_{j=0}^{n} y_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_j y_{ij} \quad j \neq i
$$
 (3)

Equation (3) can be written in terms of the bus admittance matrix *Yij* as;

$$
I_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{ij} V_j, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots n \tag{4}
$$

Where V_i and V_j are given as,

$$
V_i = |V_i| \angle \delta_i = |V_i| (\cos \delta_i + j \sin \delta_i)
$$
 (5)

$$
V_j = |V_j| \angle \delta_j = |V_j| (\cos \delta_j + j \sin \delta_j)
$$
 (6)

And

$$
Y_{ij} = |Y_{ij}| \angle \theta_{ij} = |Y_{ij}| (\cos \theta_{ij} + j \sin \theta_{ij})
$$
 (7)

Substituting equations (6) and (7) into equation (4), the current injected into the i_{th} bus can be expressed in polar form as

$$
I_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \angle \theta_{ij} + \delta_j \tag{8}
$$

The complex power at i_{th} bus is given by,

$$
P_i - jQ_i = V_i^* I_i = V_i^* \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{ij} V_j
$$
 (9)

$$
P_i - jQ_i = V_i^* I_i = |V_i| \angle -\delta_i \sum_{j=1}^n \left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \angle \theta_{ij} + \delta_j \quad (10) \quad \text{into sub-matrices; } J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4 \text{ as shown in (14).}
$$

Where, P_i is the real power in bus-*i* and Q_i is the reactive power in bus-*i* and V_i^* is the conjugate of the voltage at bus-*i* Substituting equations(5), (6) and (7) into (10) and simplify, the real and reactive power at i_{th} bus are given by;

$$
P_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \left| V_i \right| \cos(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
 (11)

$$
Q_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \left| V_i \right| \sin(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
 (12)

Equations (11) and (12) are nonlinear equations with voltage magnitude $|V|$ and voltage angle δ and are called power flow equations. These equations can be solved iteratively by Newton Raphson method starting with an initial estimate. Assuming that the slack bus is the first bus with a fixed voltage angle/magnitude, the voltage magnitude and angle at each bus or area of the power system is determined by the matrix form of Newton Raphson method as;

Where, J is the Jacobian matrix which element is divided

$$
\begin{vmatrix} \Delta P_i \\ \Delta Q_i \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} J_1 & J_2 \\ J_3 & J_4 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Delta \delta_i \\ \Delta |V_i \end{vmatrix}
$$
 (14)

Where, ΔP_i is the real power mismatch, ΔQ_i is the reactive power mismatch, $\Delta \delta$ _i is the changes in the bus voltage angle, ΔV_i is the changes in the bus voltage magnitude. At each iteration a jacobian matrix is formed and sub-matrices are computed with the partial derivatives of the real and reactive power (11) and (12) with respect to small changes in the bus voltage magnitude and angle given. The element of the submatrices J_1, J_2, J_3 *and* J_4 can be expressed as;

The diagonal element of J_1 is

$$
\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial \delta_i} = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n \left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \left| V_i \right| \cos(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i) \tag{15}
$$

The off-diagonal element of J_1 is

$$
\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial \delta_j} = -\left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \left| V_i \right| \sin(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
 (16)

The diagonal element of J_2 is

$$
\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial |V_i|} = 2|V_i||Y_{ij}|\cos\theta_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n |Y_{ij}||V_j|\cos(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
 (17)

The off-diagonal element of J_2 is

$$
\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial |V_j|} = |V_i||Y_{ij}|\cos(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
\n(18)

The diagonal element of J_3 is

$$
\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \delta_i} = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n \left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \left| \cos(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i) \right| \tag{19}
$$

The off-diagonal element of J_3 is

$$
\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \delta_j} = -\left| Y_{ij} \right| \left| V_j \right| \left| V_i \right| \cos(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
 (20)

The diagonal element of J_4 is

$$
\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial |V_i|} = 2|V_i||Y_{ij}|\sin\theta_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n |Y_{ij}||V_j|\sin(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
 (21)

The off-diagonal element of J_4 is

$$
\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial |V_j|} = -|V_i||Y_{ij}|\sin(\theta_{ij} + \delta_j - \delta_i)
$$
\n(22)

The iteration continues until it converges thereby reaching a satisfactory solution. The changes in the bus real power, ΔP_i and reactive power, ΔQ_i are the mismatches which are the difference between the calculated and scheduled values of the real and reactive power given as;

$$
\Delta P_i^{(k)} = P_i^{sch} - P_i^{(k)} \tag{23}
$$

$$
\Delta Q_i^{(k)} = Q_i^{sch} - Q_i^{(k)} \tag{24}
$$

Where, P_i^{sch} and Q_i^{sch} are the scheduled real ad reactive power while $P_i^{(k)}$ and $Q_i^{(k)}$ the calculated real and reactive power respectively. From (23) and (24), the new estimates for the voltage magnitude $|V^{(k+1)}|$ and angle $\delta^{(k+1)}$ are given by

$$
\left| V^{(k+1)} \right| = \left| V_i^{(k)} \right| + \Delta \left| V_i^{(k)} \right| \tag{25}
$$

$$
\delta^{(k+1)} = \delta_i^{(k)} + \Delta \delta_i^{(k)} \tag{26}
$$

III. MATERIALS AND METHOD

In order to carry out voltage stability analysis of the existing Nigeria transmission network, it is necessary to first perform load flow analysis. For this research, the load flow analysis is based on Newton Raphson method performed using Electrical Transient and Analyzer Program (ETAP) software. ETAP is a computer-aided software suitable for design, modelling, simulation and analyzing generation, transmission and distribution power system as well as renewable energy generation. The single line diagram of the existing 330kV Nigeria Transmission network used in this study was drawn as shown in Fig. 2. A model of the 56 bus system of the Nigeria 330kV transmission network was developed in ETAP software. The model requires input data, which are the real and reactive power of the generating plants, the voltages and power rating of the transformers, voltages, real and reactive power of the loads, the length of transmission lines, real and reactive power of generator buses. The input data of the generators and loads as obtained from the Transmission Company of Nigeria is shown in Table 1. The transmission line model in ETAP requires basic data such as the type of conductor, the length of lines, the voltage rating of the lines, the number of parallel lines, the type and configuration of circuits (e.g. single and double circuit), the number of conductor per phase, the height of towers, the spacing of conductors in the bundle and spacing between phases. The type of conductor used in the existing 330kV overhead transmission lines in the Nigeria power system network is 350mm² Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced (ACSR) twin conductor bundle "Bison" conductor with an average spacing of the conductor in the bundle as 400mm and the spacing between the phases as 10.5m [12]. The supporting structure are made of steel towers and spanned at an average distance of 500m apart, with a height of 75 metres for the double circuits and 54 metres for the single circuit [11]. These data were inputted into the transmission line model in ETAP and the transmission line parameters were obtained as shown in Table 2. All the components were adequately represented in the model of the transmission network as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. With Egbin power plant as the slack bus because of its location in the far western part of the country, these data were used for the simulation analysis. The bus voltages and angles, real and reactive power flow and losses under steady state were recorded.

Fig. 2. Single line diagram of the 56-bus Nigerian 330kV Transmission Network.

IJERTV12IS010087

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The developed model was simulated based on Newton Raphson load flow method using ETAP software as shown in Figs. 3 and 4 below. The simulation results of the bus voltage magnitudes and angles were recorded and presented as shown in Table 3. The voltage profile in Fig. 5 shows the buses which voltages violates the acceptable bus voltage limit of 0.95pu – 1.05pu. They are Aliade $(0.9469 \text{pu} < 26.8^{\circ})$,

Damaturu (0.8961pu < - 39.39⁰), Gombe (0.9000pu < - 37.04⁰), Jalingo (0.8721pu<-25.51⁰), Jos (0.9112pu<-32.95⁰), Kaduna (0.8833pu < - 34.56⁰), Kano (0.7805pu < - 44.59⁰), Makurdi (0.9432pu < - 27.66⁰), Maiduguri (0.8961pu < - 39.38⁰), Yola $(0.8920 \text{pu} < -23.39^{\circ})$. These buses contribute more to the voltage instability been experienced in the Nigeria 330kV transmission network.

Fig. 3. A simulation model of the Nigeria's 330kV Transmission network using Newton Raphson Method.

Fig. 4. A Zoomed section of the simulation model of the Nigeria's 330kV Transmission network using Newton Raphson Method.

Fig. 5. Voltage profile of the existing Nigeria 330kV transmission network

V. CONCLUSION

The voltage stability of the Nigeria 330kV transmission network have been simulated and analysed. The results revealed the buses that operates at voltage outside the acceptable operational voltage limit of $330kV \pm 5%$ which is between 313.5kV – 346.5kV. These buses constitute the weak buses that cause voltage instability and system collapse in the network and require serious attention. It is therefore necessary to put in place adequate reactive power compensation in these buses to reduce or avoid voltage stability problems and system collapse.

REFERENCES

- [1] A Isdore Onyema Akwukwaegbu, Fabian Izundu Izuegbunam , Michael Chukwudi Ndinechi, "Voltage Stability Analysis of 86-Bus 330KV Nigeria Power Grid Based on Reserved Energy Potential via Continuation Power Flow Technique", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), Volume 10, Issue 10 (October, 2021), ISSN (Online): 2278-0181.
- [2] https://nerc.gov.ng/index.php/home/nesi/404-transmission visited on 18th October, 2022.
- [3] Liang, X.; Chai, H.; Ravishankar, J. Analytical Methods of Voltage Stability in Renewable Dominated Power Systems: A Review.
Electricity 2022, 3, 75–107. https://doi.org/10.3390/ Electricity 2022, 3, 75–107. https://doi.org/10.3390/ electricity3010006.
- [4] Hang Liang and Jinquan Zhao, "A New Method of Continuous Power Flow for Voltage Stability Analysis", 2021 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Image Processing, Electronics and Computers (IPEC), Dalian, China, April 14-16, 2021, pp. 337 -340, | 978-1-7281-9018- 1/20/\$31.00 ©2021 IEEE | DOI: 10.1109/IPEC51340.2021.9421181.
- [5] Aththanayake, L.; Hosseinzadeh, N.; Mahmud, A.; Gargoom, A.; Farahani, E.M. Comparison of Different Techniques for Voltage Stability Analysis of Power Systems. In Proceedings of the Australasian Universities Power Engineering Conference, Hobart, Australia, 29 November–2 December 2020.
- [6] I A Samuel, A O Soyemi, A A Awelewa, A A Olajube and J Ketande, "Review of Voltage Stability Indices", IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 730 (2021) 012024, IOP Publishing, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/730/1/012024.
- [7] E. N. Ezeruigboa, A. O. Ekwue, L. U. Anih, "Voltage Stability Analysis of Nigerian 330kV Power Grid using Static P-V Plots", *Nigerian Journal of Technology, Vol. 40, No. 1, January, 2021, pp. 70– 80.* www.nijotech.com*,* Print ISSN: 0331-8443**,** Electronic ISSN: 2467- 8821**,** http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njt.v40i1.11.
- [8] Pinki Yadav,P.R.Sharma, S.K.Gupta, "Enhancement of Voltage Stability in Power System Using Unified Power Flow Controller" OSR Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering (IOSR-JEEE), e-ISSN: 2278-1676,p-ISSN: 2320-3331, Volume 9, Issue 1 Ver. I (Jan. 2014), PP 76-82 www.iosrjournals.org
- [9] Omorogiuwa Eseosa and Ike Samuel "Power Flow Control in the Nigeria 330kV Integrated Power Network using Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)", International Journal of Engineering Innovation & Research, Volume 3, Issue 6, 2014, pp 723 – 732, ISSN: 2277 – 5668.
- [10] Rilwan Usman, Marvin Barivure Sigalo & Steve McDonald (PhD), "Analysis of the Effect of Flexible Alternating Current Transmission Network Using ERACS and MATLAB Simulink", European Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol. 4 No. 3, 2016, pp18 – 34, ISSN 2056-5860.
- [11] R. Siva Subramanyam Reddy α & Dr.T. Gowri Manohar, "Literature Review on Voltage stability phenomenon and Importance of FACTS Controllers In power system Environment", Global Journal of Researches in Engineering Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Volume 12 Issue 3 Version 1.0 March 2012, Online ISSN: 2249-4596 & Print ISSN: 0975-5861.
- [12] Ogbuefi U. C, Ayaka . O, Mbunwe M. J, and Madueme T. C., "Compensation Effect on the interconnected Nigeria Electric Power Grid", Proceedings of the World Cogress on Engineering and Computer Scioece 2017, Vol. 1, WCECS 2017, Octoer 25-27, 2017, San Fransisco, USA, ISBN: 978-988-14047-5-6, ISSN: 2078- 0958(Print), ISSN: 2078-0966.
- [13] Swarupa Mishra; Yadwinder Singh Brar, "Load Flow Analysis using MATLAB", 2022 IEEE International Student's Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science (SCEEECS), BHOPAL, India, 19-20 February, 2022, DOI: 1109/SCEECS54111.2022.9741005.