# **Elicitation Techniques and Success of Software**

Kausar Parveen Computer ScienceDepartment Lahore Garrison University, Phase 6,DHA,LahoreCantt.

*Abstract* - Success or failure of any system is determined by the end users.Usability of systems for theend user decides whether software will be success or not.Usability is totally dependent on the user's requirements and theserequirements are gathered from the users by elicitation techniques. The elicitationstechniques are base of any system development and different methods are in useto gather requirement from users to enhance the quality of the software, which in turn make it a success in software engineering. That's why requirement gathering process is very important in software development and it needs great attention of the developer.

In this paper, we will compare methodologies of elicitation techniques of software engineering and human computer interaction ,causes of system failure from end user point of view.

Keywords: requirements, elicitation, techniques, approaches, stakeholder, source requirements, human computer interaction, software engineering.

# 1 INTRODUCTION AND HISTORY

1.1 User Requirement and Success of the System Success means user satisfaction and it is the main factor for the comparison of the software quality. Elicitation techniques are in practice for gathering user requirement in software engineering. Requirements elicitation is most critical and important activity in the RE process. In SDLC the right requirements is supposed to be vital but difficult part of software development projects. Hofmann and Lehner study of requirement engineering (RE) results show that success of any project is dependent on RE practices.

Elicitation techniques is the root level of gathering information from the user in software engineering(SE) diverse people of different knowledge should work for system development for better understanding and for requirements of problem. It is obvious from failure of different software elicitation must be effective. As designs and behavior vary from one organization to other organization and from one human to another, therefore problem in understanding requirement arises. In recent years human computer interaction(HCI) is being in use for designing the software's, including design for web[1], design for games[2], interaction safety critical system[3], HCI design pattern are helping UI to get improved productivity and efficient design processes .To get a wellorganized and useful software[4] one should clearly understand problem area and analyze which technique can be used to solve such problem[5].

To understand problem of the system and software engineers and HCI designers should work together to evolve user requirements. As the elicitation is a difficult process[6] therefore software engineers should study it very technically.

Success of software depends on different factors like

- 1) How much software fulfills user requirement.
- 2) How well software satisfies the user tasks flow.
- 3) How well user requirements meet response of software.
- 4) How quickly a task for software response.
- 5) How simple is the software for the use for the user.

HCI designers can build best software by involving user of system. HCI i helps tomake software easy for user and error free [7].Importance of HCI can be seen from its usability point of view in any software.

- 1) Usability from user point of view identifies that required tasks are accomplished in software or not.
- 2) Usability from developer point of view calculates success or failure of software.
- 3) Usability from the view of management determines the software required performance level for the user.

This way, HCI can view efforts cost, success or failure of the software.

# 1.2 Problem Statement

HCI focus in developing easysoftware for the users to make software a success. That's why SE studies the elicitation technique from SE point of view as well as user perspective. Problems in system development are result of communication difficulties.

As IT is developing rapidly software industry should make user friendly software, in this way they can achieve good position in market otherwise it will be complete failure and you will be out of the industry.

# 1.3 *Objectives*

HCI is study of practice of usability [8]. Objective of this work is to identify

- 1. Elicitation technique
- 2. E.T Advantages & disadvantages

- 3. E.T in S.W engineering
- 4. ET in HCI
- 5. Difference between SE And HCI
- 6. Proposing framework for HCI usability metrics

In this way we can set standards for usability thus improving the methods for measuring usability.

# 1.4 Scope and Limitations

As today's world has become very fast and innovative, management need to look deeply about its product quality and customer needs. Developers should know about market trends plus they must aware about the fact that in today's market the only constant thing in market requirement is the change and this changes give satisfaction to the customer. To get this target they need to know well user needs in the new product and a product should be user friendly so that a user can use it as he wants and this is also the goal of HCI. This is the reason that usability is the core term in HCI. Proposed paper will be about providing some guideline for usability measure that can help for future work. I'll look for different education web domains and will identify their impact on already defined usability guidelines and standards according the users requirements. There are lots of different web domains exits where usability measures are very important to count on; in proposed paper analysis will be done by taking 4 different web domains for comparison about their impact.

# 2 ELICITATION TECHNIQUES IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

# 2.1 *Causes of the Failure*

In this research paper we will see success and failure of the system from the user's point of view. If systems are not user friendly then the systems will be great failure. Why a system cannot be a user friendly .It totally depends on the first step of the system development that is from requirement gathering. Requirement System can fail because of [9] five reasons.

- 1. Not properly managed resources and people.
- 2. Process is not systematic.
- 3. Documentation is not complete or appropriate or not correct.
- 4. Lack of proper knowledge or understanding between people.
- 5. Lack of proper communication between people.

According to the above reasons incomplete documentation and lack of communication leads to failure of a system. According to Macaulay [10] communication is the main reason in designing a successful system.

# 2.2 Elicitation Difficulties

According to literature study the main elicitation difficulties are divided into three areas[11][12].

1. Articulation: The way in which information is expressed [13].

2. Misunderstanding: divided interpretations on the same piece of information.

3. Conflict: multiple points of views.

These communication aspects influence each other and leads to poor requirement gathering.

System developers should develop user friendly programs. Different researches have shown that user friendly systems are getting market while others are vanishing by the time.

# 2.3 Users Satisfaction Requirements

According to the Customer Satisfaction Researches Report 2013 by The American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI).Each year, 70,000 customers are surveyed by ACSI about the products and services they use the most in UnitedStates. According to this report sale of Apple company products increase due to the user friendly behavior [14].According to a Novatech survey innocent computers are beaten by users to take their frustration out on their machines.[15]

When user feels helpless while using software they become frustrated and that's why they beat the machines. The research by the National Opinion Poll and the software company Symantec, found that half of computer users had become angry at some time. Almost a third of people had physically attacked a computer, 67% experienced frustration andanger and more than 70% swore at their machines[16].Therefore users' satisfaction is very important while making a system.

# 2.4 *Reasons of Popularity*

According to the above report it is clear that satisfaction is because of user friendly programs only those companies are gaining market which are considering user requirement and user minds the way a user think is very important while designing a product. The study of user mind starts from very initial state of software engineering that is from requirement elicitation.

# 2.5 *Elicitation Techniques(ET)*

Basically uncovering user needs from the stakeholder is known as elicitation. It is the process for the requirement gathering. The RE process is a set of activities for the collaboration,communication,prioritization relevant to the user.

# 2.6 *ET and the application Domain*

Application domain is the actually that real world in which the system will ultimately reside. When one is beginning the process of requirement elicitation one should examine the application domain [17]. The application domain environment should be explored thoroughly by examining all its aspect politically, socially and other constraints related to the system. The related problems of existing work and related processes should be explained according to the goals and issues of the business.

#### 2.7 Understanding the Requirements Sources

Requirements exist in variety of formats [18]. In software development projects there are a number of sources for requirements. Stakeholder, Users and subject matter experts are the main source of information about the problems and user needs. Other sources are existing systems and its processes. Manuals, forms, and reports of existing documentation can provide useful information of current system as well as requirements for the new system.

#### 2.8 RE Stakeholders

The people who are affected in some way by the development and implementation of the system are the stakeholder of the system and they must be consulted while software development. Stakeholder of the system consists of individuals and groups of people that can be inside or outside of the organization. The customer, project sponsor, is the apparent stakeholder of the system. In some cases however the actual users of the system may be the most important.

Relevant stakeholders: The stakeholders that are affected by some part of the system operationsare known as stakeholders if affected. In RE first steps is to analyze the entire relevant stakeholder and involve them in RE activity.

# 2.9 *Methodology for the Application of Elicitation*

While elicitation a problem it is found that an individual requirements elicitation technique cannot be suitable for all projects. It is a critical procedure to choose a elicitation technique fora project [19]. A variety of techniques can be used for variety of reasons in particular project [20]. It may be

- (a) Analyst best practice technique.
- (b) Analyst's favorite elicitation technique.
- (c) Elicitation technique choice is solely analyst choice, he choose such techniques which he thinks can be the best suited technique for the problem.

# 2.10 Process of ElicitationStarts

Elicitation begins when sources of requirements and the specific stakeholders have been identified by using the selected elicitation techniques. In this process scope for the system is establish needs of the stakeholder are established and the future needs should also be determined. The factors of the major objectives of the systems which satisfy the key problems of the business are also determined. Requirements elicitation process is strongly related to the objective of the project, organization, and environment [21].

Actually project start with mission statement which is informal and in complete high-level mission statement for the project [22].To make RE a success a number of process models have been proposed for it[23].These models leads to a generic roadmap of the process with flexibility to comfort the basic contextual differences of different projects..

2.11 *Requirements Elicitation Techniques in SE* 

From last two decades research and practice within RE in SDLC for software systems has been directed towards improving elicitation through the development of various techniques, approaches, and tools. These methods are adapted from social sciences a few have been developed for eliciting software requirements [24].

ТҮРЕ	
Definition	Strengths and weaknesses
Interviews	It is also a common problem
Interviews are	with unstructured
essentially human	interviews to focus in too
based social activities,	much detail on some areas,
they are inherently	and not enough in others
informal and their	[26]. This type of interview
effectiveness depends	is best applied for
greatly on the quality	exploration when there is a
of interaction between	limited understanding of the
the participants[25]	domain, or as a precursor to
	more focused and detailed
	structured interviews.
	Questionnaires lack the
Questionnaires	opportunity to develop
They provide an	further on a topic, or expand
efficient way to collect	on new ideas. In the same
information from	way they provide no
multiple stakenoiders	mechanism for the
quickly [27].	participants to request
	misunderstandings
Tool: Analysis	misunderstandings
Task analysis amploye	Task analysis provides
a ton-down approach	information on the
where high- level tasks	interactions of both the user
are decomposed into	and the system with respect
subtasks and	to the tasks as well as a
eventually detailed	contextual description of the
sequences until all	activities that take place.
actions and events are	-
described [28]	
Domain Analysis	Problem Frames in
These types of	particular provide a method
investigations are	for detailed problems
particularly important	examination in order to
when the project	identify patterns that could
involves the	provide links to potential
replacement or	solutions [29].
enhancement of an	
existing legacy system	
The technique of	Intrographics is only really
introspection requires	affective when the analyst is
the analyst to develop	not only very familiar with
requirements based on	the domain and goals of the
what he or she believes	system but also expert in
the users and other	the business processes
and about and other	Processes

	stakeholders want and	performed by the users		be solv
	need nom the system			those p
	Repertory Grids			all partie
	involve asking			rapidly
	stakeholders to	Repertory grids are		resolved
	develop attributes and	somewhat limited in their		Require
	assign values to a set	ability to express specific		Worksho
	of domain entities	characteristics of complex		worksho
	[50].	requirements		term giv
		In this technique cards are		of diffe
	Card Sorting	used to assign		group n
	Card sorting requires	responsibilities to users and		the em
	the stakeholders to sort	components of the system		develop
	containing the names	represent such a high level		requiren
	of domain entities into	of system abstraction, the		software
	groups according to	information obtained from		Ethnogra
	their own	this technique is limited in		Ethnogra
	understanding.	its detail		study of
	Laddoring			the anal
	When using laddering			passivel
	stakeholders are asked			in the no
	a series of short			of the
	prompting questions,	Like card sorting, laddering		extended
	known as probes, and	is mainly used as a way to		time wh
	resultant answers into	categorize domain entities		operatio
	an organized structure	earegorize aomain enutres.		performe
	[32].			Observa
	Group Work			As the
	Group work such as	Voy factors in the success		the ana
	is a very common and	of group work are the		existing
	often default technique	makeup of participants and		the users
	for requirements	the cohesion within the		interfere
	elicitation.	group.		D 1
	Ducingtonning			Protocol
ļ	Brainstorming			where
	process where			perform
	participants from			task wh
	different stakeholder	One of the advantages in		through
	groups engage in	using brainstorming is that		describil
	rapidly generate as	expression and allows the		the the
	many ideas as possible	discovery of new and		behind t
	without focusing on	innovative solutions to		Apprent
ļ	any one in particular[	existing problems.		In this
	55].			analyst
	JAD	The focus of this type of		business
	JAD involves all the	meeting tends to often be on		observin
	available stakeholders	the needs and desires of the		question
ļ	investigating through	business and users rather		physical
	general discussion	than technical issues.		rather
	boun the problems to		l	morme

be solved, and the	
available solutions to	
those problems. With	
all parties represented,	
decisions can be made	
rapidly and issues	
resolved quickly[ 34]	
Requirements	
worksnops	
Requirements	Different types of stake
torm given to a number	bolders from various grass
of different types of	of the husiness Co
group meetings where	operative Requirements
the emphasis is on	Capture (CRC) where like
developing and	IAD there is a defined set of
discovering	activities and the
requirements for a	development community is
software system [35].	especially involved.
Ethnography	
Ethnography being the	
study of people in their	
natural setting involves	In practice, ethnography is
the analyst actively or	particularly effective when
passively participating	the need for a new system is
in the normal activities	a result of existing problems
of the users over an	with processes and
extended period of	procedures, and in
time whilst collecting	identifying social patterns
information on the	and complex relationships
operations being	between human
performed [ 36].	stakeholders.
Observation	
As the name suggests	The effectiveness of
the englant charming	ala a martina and athan
the analyst observes	observation and other
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by	observation and other ethnographic techniques can
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference.	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference.	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud,	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and	observationandotherethnographic techniques canvaryasusershaveatendency to adjust the waytheyperform taskswhenknowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them.	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the analyst is taught the	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the analyst is taught the operations and	observation and other ethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched. This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the analyst is taught the operations and business processes by	observation       and       other         ethnographic techniques can       vary as users have a         tendency to adjust the way       the way they perform tasks when         knowingly being watched.       This technique can provide         This technique can provide       the analyst with specific         information on and rationale       for the processes the target         system must support.       The analyst with specific
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the analyst is taught the operations and business processes by observing, asking	observationandotherethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.The analystanalystbecomes activaly involved in the end
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the analyst is taught the operations and business processes by observing, asking questions, and	observationandotherethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.The analyst actively involved in the real life activities
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the analyst is taught the operations and business processes by observing, asking questions, and physically doing,	observationandotherethnographic techniques canvaryasusershaveatendency to adjust the waytheyperform tasks whenknowingly being watched.
the analyst observes the actual execution of existing processes by the users without direct interference. Protocol Analysis: Protocol analysis is where participants perform an activity or task whilst talking it through aloud, describing the actions being conducted and the thought process behind them. Apprenticing In this technique the analyst is taught the operations and business processes by observing, asking questions, and physically doing, rather than being informed of them as is	observationandotherethnographic techniques can vary as users have a tendency to adjust the way they perform tasks when knowingly being watched.This technique can provide the analyst with specific information on and rationale for the processes the target system must support.The analyst analyst becomes actively involved in the real life activitiesThe support.

(1	I	
the case with protocol		
analysis		
Prototyping		
It is common that		
prototypes are used in		
conjunction with other	This technique is extremely	
elicitation techniques	helpful when developing	
such as interviews and	new systems for entirely	
JAD.	new applications.	
Goal Based		
Approaches		
The fundamental		
premise of goal		
modeling and goal		
based approaches is	In practice these approaches	
that high-level goals	have been particularly	
that represent	useful in situations where	
objectives for the	only the high-level needs	
system are	for the system are well	
decomposed into sub	known, and there exists a	
goals and then further	general lack of	
refined in such a way	understanding about the	
that individual	specific details of the	
requirements are	problems to be solved and	
elicited.	their possible solutions.	

Table 1:2.1: Elicitation Techniques in SE

#### 3 ELICITATION TECHNIQUES IN HUMAN COMPUTER INTERACTION

# 3.1 *Human Computer Interaction(HCI)*

In the past elicitation techniques were as above in the table 2.1 but today the above mentioned causes of failure and success are leading software engineers to new world of HCI.First we should know about the HCI[37].

Human-Computer Interaction is the study of interaction between human and computer and one of the key aspects of the study is usability. As Usability determines the quality of the software therefore it is very important in SE. If it applied correctly, then it reduces cost and increases the level of quality. From given definition of HCI we can divide it into three parts: Human (as user), computer and interaction.

3.1.1 User: "User", terms define a single user, or a group of users. Different users from different mental model have different ways to learn and remember things.

3.1.2 Computer: Computer here we mean appliances which use information technology which includes range from desktop and large scale computers to digital microwave oven.

3.1.3 Interaction: As humans and machines entirely different from each other but HCI tries to ensure that they both can interact successfully with each other. To get usable system you need to use the knowledge you are familiar about humans and computers, and seek suggestion from expected users throughout the design process.

The goal of HCI is to produce safe, usable systems, and reliable functional systems.

# 3.2 HCI and SE are Entirely Different Fields HCI Integration in SE

Usability is the subset of HCI and our main focus. It is undeniable that there were attempts where HCI has been suggested to be included into the SDLC visibly such as the requirements phase [38, 39] or at the design phase .However, as it has been previously mentioned before,

Barriers in Combining Difference between HCI and SE

HCI is entirely different field from SE .There were several barriers in combining these two Fields

	HCI	SE
Differen	HCI focuses	SE is text based.
ces in	on the visual	
requirem	aspect when it	
ents	comes to	
represent	requirements	
ation.	interpretation.	
Differen	Scenario in	Scenario in SE means
ces in	HCI means	how the system will
terminol	story telling or	be used and interacts
ogies.	narration.	among each other
Differen	Tests at every	SE has its own
ces in	software	independent stage for
testing.	development	testing.
	life cycle	
7	stages.	

Table 3.1Barriers in Combining Difference between HCI and SE

Therefore we can say that HCI is different from SE.

3.2.1 Why HCI is better than SE programming. The software crisis intensified interest in programming as a human activity. It heightened the need for more research in HCI and programmers based on Human psychology factor. Programming became recognized as an area of psychology involving problem solving and symbol manipulation in HCI.

# 3.3 Elicitation techniques in HCI

How can we make successful software programs by using HCI is a very important question. Elicitation techniques are similar to software engineering techniques but the way of observing techniques is entirely different. SE Techniques are also part of HCI Techniques

3.3.1 Following Techniques and Approaches for Requirements Elicitation are in use of HCI

- 1. Interviews
- 2. Questionnaires
- 3. Task Analysis
- 4. Domain Analysis
- 5. Introspection
- 6. Repertory Grids
- 7. Card Sorting
- 8. Laddering

- 9. Group Work
- 10. Brainstorming
- 11. Joint Application Development
- 12. Requirements Workshops
- 13. Ethnography
- 14. Observation
- 15. Protocol Analysis
- 16. Apprenticing
- 17. Prototyping
- 18. Goal Based Approaches
- 19. Scenarios
- 20. Viewpoints

3.3.2 New Techniques Introduced in HCIFollowing new techniques are in use of HCI are [40]1. Cognitive Walkthroughs:

To determine the level of usability for a website, one or more usability experts "walk" through a set of the most typical user tasks supported by the website, one-step-at-atime.

2. Contextual Task Analysis

A contextual task analysis, or contextual inquiry, is a user research method that applies ethnographic observation and one-on-one interviewing to understand the task procedures that users follow to reach their goals.

3. Facilitated BrainstormingMost people have heard of brainstorming, and probably been involved in some type of brainstorming exercise; however, facilitated brainstorming is much different than simply gathering in a small group and sharing ideas.

4. Heuristic Evaluation

A Heuristic Evaluation, or Usability Audit, is an evaluation of an interface by one or more Human Factors experts.

5. Participatory Design

Participatory design exercises engage stakeholders and end users in the process of solving a design problem.

6 Usability Testing

Usability testing is the best way to understand how real users experience your website or application. Unlike interviews or focus groups that attempt to get users to accurately self-report their own behavior or preferences, a well-designed user test measures actual performance on mission-critical tasks.

7. Quality Assurance Testing

From our perspective, quality assurance is a subset of the overall usability goal—after all, a website isn't usable if it isn't working.

8. HCI Design Approaches

This may be applied to user interface designs to develop user-friendly, efficient, and intuitive user experiences for humans [49].

3.4 How HCI Elicitation is Different from SE Elicitation

How HCI Elicitation is different from SE Elicitation?

	HCI	SE
Data	HCI is user-centric., not data-centric. It involves users in the entire process as much as possible and it focuses on the visual aspect as well [41].	SE is not user centric, only data centric.
Differen ces in terminol ogies.	Highly interdisciplinary draws on knowledge from a multitude of areas: art, psychology, technical writing, computer science, etc. [42].	It does not involve other disciplines.
Differen ces in testing.	Highly iterative involves as much testing and revision as possible, especially before final implementation [43].	SE has its own independent stage for testing.

Table:3.4:Elicitation Techniques in SE

#### 3.5 Usability

Usability [44]is a measure of the interactive user experience associated with a user interface, such a website or software application in HCI. A user-friendly interface design is easy-to-learn, supports users' tasks and goals efficiently and effectively, and is satisfying and engaging to use.

An interface's level of usability can be measured by inviting intended users of the system to participate in a usability testing session. During a usability test session, a user is given a series of tasks to complete by using the system in question, without any assistance from the researcher. The researcher records user behaviors, emotional reactions, and the user's performance as the he attempts to accomplish each task. The researcher takes note of any moments of confusion or frustration that the user experienced while trying to complete a task, and also tracks whether or not the user was able to satisfactorily complete each task. Analysis of data from several users provides User Experience Engineers a means of recommending how and where to re-design the interface in order to improve its level of usability and thus, the user experience in general.

3.6 Success of the Software and Usability Metrics in HCI

Success of software is entirely dependent on the maximum usability of the software. To measure the usability of a system different methods like GOMS (Goals, Operators, Methods, and Selection rules) is a kind of specialized human information processor model for human-computer interaction observation [47]. Following these initial steps, additional models for analysis evolved and are heavily used in the engineering-oriented usability community) is use to discover problems in the use of a system, preferably before it is released for the user. Unfortunately, it is more expensive to measure usability [48]

Usability metrics let you do following important things:

- Keep track of the Progress between releases according the objective of users obtained by elicitation technique.
- It helps to look your competitive position in market with respect to your competitors and the objective which is gained by elicitation.
- It helps in making a Stop/Go decision for you before launching the product.
- Create some extra design plans for executives and design managers. For example you can create some extra special feature for development projects like how many customers support calls are generated this year[49].

ISO standard defines usability as the "extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction" [49]. From this standard defined by ISO we classify usability measure into three subsections;

- 1. Effectiveness.
- 2. Efficiency.
- 3. Satisfaction.
- 3.7 Usability in SE

SE systems are designed with a focus on business goals, fancy features, and the technological capabilities of hardware or software tools. All of these approaches to system design omit the most important part of the process – the end user.

# 3.8 Usability in HCI

Usability is the process of designing a tool, such as a website's or application's user interface, from the perspective of how it will be understood and used by a human user. Rather than requiring users to adapt their attitudes and behaviors in order to learn and use a system, a system can be designed to support its intended users' existing beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors as they relate to the tasks that the system is being designed to support. The result of employing UCD to a system design is a product that offers a more efficient, satisfying, and user-friendly experience for the user, which is likely to increase sales and customer loyalty.

3.9 Relation between Users Requirement and Usability

User Experience Design (UXD) entails conducting user research exercises with intended users of a system. User research reveals users' needs and preferences through user observations, one-on-one interviews, and creative activities that encourage users to express their emotions, motivations, and underlying concepts and beliefs about the steps involved in task procedures. By understanding the human emotions, motivations, and beliefs that surround a task, a user interface can be designed to accommodate and support user behaviors in a way that users will experience as natural and satisfying.

3.10 Success Factors in HCI and Usability

HCI Success factors are totally dependent on requirement elicitation as they measure the usability. Usability standards can be categorized entirely on the requirement elicitation as it mainly concerned with:

- 1. Use of the product (efficiency, effectiveness and satisfaction in a particular context of use).
- 2. User interface and interaction.
- 3. Product development process.
- 4. Organization capability that how to apply user centered design.

4 Research Motivation and Website Literature Review

# 4.CONCLUSION

HCI core term is usability and it totally dependent on the user requirements .All flow of the system should meets user requirements. Success of the system in HCI is how to improve the usability of the interactive systems. Measuring quality of the system is the core part of the system which in turn leads the organizations success. The goals of HCI are to produce safe and usable systems as well functional systems. In other words goal of HCI is to produce computer systems with high quality software. From ISO standard we classify usability measure into three subsections; effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction.

Vol. 3 Issue 4, April - 2014

#### REFRENCES

- The Design of Sites. Addison Wesley, BostonMAVanDuyne, D.K., Landay, J. A., & Hong, J. I. (2003)..
- [2] Game Design Patterns Projectthttp://www.gamedesignpatterns.org/, Lundren, S., &Holopainen, J. (2003).
- [3] Computer Safety, Reliability and Security: SAFECOMP'99, 103-112.Hussey, A. (1999). Patterns for safer human-computer interfaces. In M. Felici, K. Kanoun&A.Pasquini, editors.
- [4] Landauer TK. The trouble with computers: usefulness, usability and productivity. MIT Press, Boston, 1995.
- [5] Atwood ME, Burns B, Gairing D, Girgensohn A. Facilitating communication in software development. In: Symposium on designing interactive systems 1995, pp 65- 73 3. Bostrum.
- [6] Saiedian H, Dale R. Requirements engineering: making the connection between the software developer and the customer. Inform Software Tech 2000; 42 (6): 419-428
- [7] Barnard, Jm 1998, A new reusability metric for object-oriented software, Software Quality Journal 7
- [8] The Evolution of Human-Computer Interaction ,John M. Carroll Cap. I delLibro.inAnnual Review of Psychology, Volume 48, Palo Alto, CA: Annual Reviews, 1997, pages 501– 522.
- [9] Lyytinen K, Hirschheim R. Information system failures a survey and classification o 257-309
- [10] Macaulay LA. Requirements Engineering. Springer-Verlag, London, 1996.
- [11] . Christel MG, Kang KC. Issues in requirements elicitation. Technical Report CMU/SEI-92-TR-12, 1992.
- [12] . Beckworth G, Garner B. An analysis of requirements engineering methods. Technical TRC9524, 1994.
- [13] Walz DB, Elam JJ, Curtis B. Inside a software design ACM 1993; 36 (10): 63-77
- [14] http://macdailynews.com/2013/09/17/apple-macintoshcontinues-to-dominate-in-personal-computer-
- [15] www.novatech.co.uk\reports
- [16] http://www.thefreelibrary.com/PC+rage+hits+the+office%3B+ Workers+lash+out+at+computer+problems.
- [17] Zave, P., Jackson, M. (1997): Four dark corners of requirements engineering. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology, 6 (1), pp. 1-30.
- [18] Loucopoulos, P., Karakostas, V. (1995) Systems Requirements Engineering, McGraw-Hill: London.
- [19] Nuseibeh, B., Easterbrook, S. (2000): Requirements Engineering: A Roadmap, Pro-ceedings of the Conference on The Future of Software Engineering, pp. 35 -46, June 4-11, Limerick, Ire land.
- [20] Hickey, A.M., Davis, A.M. (2002): The Role of Requirements Elicitation Techniques in Achieving Software Quality, Proceedings of the Eighth International Workshop of Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality, September 9 - 10, E ssen, Germany.
- [21] Christel, M.G., Kang, K.C. (1992): Issues in Requirements Elicitation, Carnegie Me 1-Ion University Technical Report, CMU/SEI-92-TR- 012.
- [22] Zowghi, D. (1999): A Logic -Based Framework for the Management of Changing Sof t-ware Requirements, Macquarie University, Doctoral Dissertation
- [23] Constantine, L., Lockwood, L.A.D. (1999) Software for Use: A practical guide to the models and methods of usage-centered design, Addison Wesley: Reading, MA.
- [24] Coulin, C., Zowghi, D. (2004) Requirements Elicitation for Complex Systems: Theory and Practice, inn Requirements Engineering for Socio-Technical Systems, edited by Jose Luis Mate and Andres Silva, Idea Group: USA.
- [25] Holtzblatt, K., Beyer, H.R. (1995): Requirements Gathering: The Human Factor. Com-munications of ACM, 38 (5), pp. 30-32.
- [26] Goguen, J.A., Linde, C. (1993): Techniques for Requirements Elicitation, Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Requirements Engineering, pp. 152- 164, January 4 -6, San Diego, CA.

- [27] McGraw, K.L., Harbison-Briggs, K. (1989) Knowledge Acquisition: Principles and Guidelines, Prentice Hall: New Jersey.
- [28] Foddy, W. (1994) Constructing Questions for Interviews and Questionnaires, Ca m-bridge UniversityPress: Cambridge.
- [29] Richardson, J., Ormerod, T.C., Shepherd, A. (1998): The Role of Task Analysis in Capturing Requirements for Interface Design. Interacting with Computers, 9 (4), pp.
  [30] Jackson, M. (2000) Problem Frames: Analyzing and
- LOUJ JACKSON, M. (2000) Problem Frames: Analyzing and Structuring Software Development Problems, Addison Wesley: Boston, MA.
- [31] Kelly, G. (1955) The Psychology of Personal Constructs, Norton: New York.
- [32] Beck, K., Cunningham, W. (1989): A Laboratory For Teaching Object -Oriented Thinking, Proceedings of the Conference on Object Oriented Programming Systems Languages and Applications, pp. 1- 6, October 1 -6, New Orleans, LA.
- [33] Hinkle, D. (1965): The change of personal constructs from the viewpoint of a theory of implications, Ohio State University, Doctoral Dissertation.
- [34] Osborn, A.F. (1979) Applied Imagination, Charles Scribner's Sons: New York.
- [35] Wood, J., Silver, D. (1995) Joint Application Development, John Wiley & Sons: New York.
- [36] Gottesdiener, E. (2002) Requirements by Collaboration, Addison Wesley: Boston, MA.
- [37] Human-computer Interaction: IEEE International Workshop, HCI 2007, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, October20,2007ProceedingsLNCS sublibrary: Information systems and applications, incl. Internet/Web, and HCIVolume 4796 of Lecture notes in computer science
- [38] T.C. Lethbridge, R. LeBlanc, A. Sobel, T. Hilburn, and J. Díaz-Herrera, J., "SE 2004: Recommendations for Undergraduate Software Engineering Curricula", IEEE Software, Nov-Dec 2006, pp. 19-25.
- [39] O. Dieste and N. Juristo, "Systematic review and aggregation of empirical studies on elicitation techniques," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 283-304, Mar. 2011.
- [40] .Ben Shneiderman and Catherine Plaisant, Designing the User Interface: Strategies for Effective Human-Computer Interaction (5th Edition), 5th ed., Pearson Addison-Wesley, 2009.
- [41] Ben Shneiderman and Catherine Plaisant, Designing the User Interface: Strategies for Effective Human-Computer Interaction (5th Edition), 5th ed., Pearson Addison-Wesley, 2009.
- [42] Human-computer Interaction: IEEE International Workshop, HCI 2007, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, October 20, 2007 : Proceedings
- [43] Ben Shneiderman and Catherine Plaisant, Designing the User Interface: Strategies for Effective Human-Computer Interaction (5th Edition), 5th ed., Pearson Addison-Wesley, 2009.
- [44] Don't Make Me Think: A Common Sense Approach to Web Usability, 2nd Edition topic:Usability
- [45] The Handbook of Human Factors in Web Designtopic: User-Centered Design
- [46] Jenny Preece, Yvonne Rogers, and Helen Sharp: Interaction Design: Beyond Human-Computer Interaction, Wiley, 2011.[47]Understanding Your Users: A Practical Guide to User Requirements
- [47] Methods, Tools, and Techniques
- [48] Eberts, R. E. (1994). User interface design. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- [49] Eberts, R. E. (1994). User interface design. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Halls.