

Extension of A $Dr\ell$ - Group

Dr. M. Jeyalakshmi

Assistant Professor in Mathematics

Alagappa Govt. Arts College, Karaikudi – 630 003

Abstract: In this paper we introduce the idea of a “ $DR\ell$ - group is a direct product of a Brouwerian Algebra and a commutative ℓ - group”.

Key words: commutative ℓ - group, Brouwerian Algebra, $DR\ell$ - group.

1. Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 [4]

A non – empty set G is called a commutative ℓ – group if and only if

(i) $(G, +)$ is an abelian group

(ii) (G, \leq) is a lattice

(iii) If $x \leq y$, then $a + x + b \leq a + y + b$, for all a, b, x, y in G .

(or)

$(a + x + b) \vee (a + y + b) = (a + x \vee y + b)$

$(a + x + b) \wedge (a + y + b) = (a + x \wedge y + b)$, for all a, b, x, y in G .

Definition 1.2 [1], [4]

A non – empty set B is called a Brouwerian Algebra if and only if

(i) (B, \leq) is a lattice

(ii) B has a least element

(iii) To each a, b in B , there is a least $x = a - b$ in B such that $b \vee x \geq a$

Definition 1.3 [4], [5]

A lattice L is called a residuated lattice if

(i) (L, \cdot) is an ℓ -group.

(ii) Given a, b in L , there exist the largest x, y such that

$$bx \leq a \text{ and } yb \leq a.$$

Definition 1.3 [4]

A system $A = (A, +, \leq)$ is called dually residuated lattice ordered group (simply $DR\ell$ -group) if and only if

(i) $(A, +)$ is an abelian group.

(ii) (A, \leq) is a lattice.

(iii) $b \leq c \Rightarrow a + b \leq a + c$, for all a, b, c in A

(iv) Given a, b in A , there exist a least element $x = a - b$ in A such that $b + x \geq a$.

Definition 1.4 [4]

A system $A = (A, +, \vee, \wedge)$ is called a $DR\ell$ -group if and only if

(i) $(A, +)$ is an abelian group.

(ii) (A, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice.

(iii) $a + (b \vee c) = (a + b) \vee (a + c)$,

$$a + (b \wedge c) = (a + b) \wedge (a + c), \text{ for all } a, b, c \text{ in } A.$$

(iv) $x + (y - x) \geq y$,

$$x - y \leq (x \vee z) - y,$$

$(x + y) - y \leq x$, for all x, y, z in A .

Remark [4]

Two definitions for $DR\ell$ -group are equivalent.

Examples 1.1 [4]

Commutative ℓ - group, Brouwerian Algebra and Boolean ring are DR ℓ - groups.

Extension of a DR ℓ - group**Theorem : 1.1**

Any DR ℓ - group A is the direct product of a Brouwerian Algebra B and a commutative ℓ - group G if and only if

$$i) \quad (a + b) - (c + c) \geq (a - c) + (b - c) \text{ and}$$

$$ii) \quad (ma + nb) - (a + b) \geq (ma - a) + (nb - b),$$

for all a, b, c in A and any pair of positive integers m, n .

Proof :

Assume that

$$(a + b) - (c + c) \geq (a - c) + (b - c) \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

$$(ma + nb) - (a + b) \geq (ma - a) + (nb - b) \quad \rightarrow (2)$$

To prove $A = B \times G$

Let a, b, c in A be arbitrary

$$\Rightarrow (a + b) - c \leq (a - c) + b, \text{ by property 11 [4]}$$

$$\Rightarrow [(a + b) - c] - c \leq [(a - c) + b] - c$$

$$= (a - c) + (b - c)$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + b) - (c - c) \leq (a - c) + (b - c) \quad \rightarrow (3)$$

From (1) and (3), we get

$$(a + b) - (c + c) = (a - c) + (b - c) \quad \rightarrow (4)$$

Also, $(ma + nb) - a \leq (ma - a) + nb$, by property 11 [4]

$$\Rightarrow [(ma + nb) - a] - b \leq [(ma - a) + nb] - b$$

$$\Rightarrow (ma + nb) - (a + b) \leq (ma - a) + (nb - b) \quad \rightarrow (5)$$

Form (2) and (5), we have

$$(ma + nb) - (a + b) = (ma - a) + (nb - b) \rightarrow (6)$$

$$\text{Let } B = \{a / a + a - a = 0\}$$

$$G = \{a / a + a - a = a\}$$

Claim (1): B is a Brouwerian Algebra

(i) Closed with respect to \vee and \wedge

Let a in B be arbitrary

$$\Rightarrow (a + a) - a = 0 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + a) - a \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [(a + a) - a] + a \leq 0 + a$$

$$\Rightarrow a + a \leq a$$

Now $0 = (a + a) - a \leq (a - a) + a$, by property 11 [4]

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq a$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 + a \leq a + a$$

$$\Rightarrow a \leq a + a$$

Thus $a + a = a \rightarrow (7)$

Hence B is closed under “+”

Let a, b in B be arbitrary

Then $(a - b) + (a - b) = (a + a) - (b + b)$, by (4)

$$= a - b, \text{ by (7)}$$

$$\Rightarrow a - b \text{ in } B$$

$$\Rightarrow (a - b) + b \text{ in } B$$

$$\Rightarrow a \vee b \text{ in } B, \text{ by property 7 [4]}$$

Also, $(a + b) - (a \vee b) = (a + b) - [(a \vee b) + (a \vee b)]$, since $a \vee b$ in B

$$= [a - (a \vee b)] + [b - (a \vee b)], \quad \text{by (4)}$$

$$= [(a - a) \wedge (a - b)] + [(b - a) \wedge (b - b)], \quad \text{by property 4 [4]}$$

$$= [0 \wedge (a - b)] + [(b - a) \wedge 0]$$

$$= 0 + 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + b) - (a \vee b) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a + b = a \vee b$$

$$\text{Let } a, b \text{ in } B \Rightarrow a + b, a \vee b \text{ in } B$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + b) - (a \vee b) \text{ in } B$$

$$\Rightarrow a \wedge b \text{ in } B$$

(ii) (B, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice

Idempotent law

Let a in B be arbitrary

$$\text{Then } a \vee a = a + a$$

$$= a$$

$$a \wedge a = (a + a) - (a \vee a)$$

$$= a + a - a$$

$$= a$$

Thus $a \vee a = a$; $a \wedge a = a$, for all a in B .

Commutative law:

Let a, b in B be arbitrary

$$\text{Then } a \vee b = a + b$$

$$= b + a$$

$$= b \vee a$$

$$a \wedge b = (a + b) - (a \vee b), \quad \text{by property 8 [4]}$$

$$= (b + a) - (b \vee a)$$

$$= b \wedge a$$

Thus $a \vee b = b \vee a$; $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$, for all a, b , in B

Associative Law :

Let a, b, c in B be arbitrary.

$$\text{Then } a \vee (b \vee c) = a + (b + c)$$

$$= (a + b) + c$$

$$= (a \vee b) \vee c$$

$$a \wedge (b \wedge c) = [a + (b \wedge c)] - [a \vee (b \wedge c)], \text{ by property 8 [4]}$$

$$= [a + (b \wedge c)] - [a + (b \wedge c)]$$

$$= 0$$

$$(a \wedge b) \wedge c = [(a \wedge b) + c] - [(a \wedge b) \vee c]$$

$$= [(a \wedge b) + c] - [(a \wedge b) + c]$$

$$= 0$$

Thus $a \vee (b \vee c) = (a \vee b) \vee c$; $a \wedge (b \wedge c) = (a \wedge b) \wedge c$, for all a, b, c in B

Absorption law :

Let a, b in B be arbitrary

$$\text{Then } a \vee (a \wedge b) = a + (a \wedge b)$$

$$= a + [(a + b) - (a \vee b)]$$

$$= a + [(a + b) - (a + b)]$$

$$= a$$

$$a \wedge (a \vee b) = [a + (a \vee b)] - [a \vee (a \vee b)]$$

$$= [a + (a \vee b)] - [(a \vee a) \vee b]$$

$$= a + (a \vee b) - (a \vee b)$$

$$= a$$

Thus $a \vee (a \wedge b) = a$; $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$, for all a, b in B

Hence (B, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice.

(iii) B has a least element :

Let a in B be arbitrary

$$\text{Then } 0 = (a + a) - a \leq (a - a) + a$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq a, \text{ for all } a \text{ in } B$$

Hence B has a least element.

(iv) To each a, b in B , there exist a least element $x = a - b$ in B such that $b \vee x \geq a$:

Let a, b in B be arbitrary

$$\Rightarrow \text{there exist a least element } x = a - b \text{ in } B$$

$$\text{Now } b \vee x = b + x$$

$$= b + (a - b)$$

$$= a \geq a$$

Thus to each a, b in B , there exist a least element $x = a - b$ in B such that $b \vee x \geq a$

Hence B is a Brouwerian Algebra

Claim (2) : G is a commutative ℓ - group

(i) $(G, +)$ is an abelian group

Closure law :

Let a, b in G be arbitrary

$$\text{Then } [(a + b) + (a + b)] - (a + b) = (2a + 2b) - (a + b)$$

$$= (2a - a) + (2b - b), \text{ by (6)}$$

$$= a + b$$

$$\Rightarrow a + b \text{ in } G$$

Thus $a, b \in G \Rightarrow a + b \in G$

Clearly, $+$ is both associative and commutative in G , since G is a subset of A .

Existence of Identity:

Let $a \in G$ be arbitrary.

Clearly $0 \in G$, since $0 = 0 + 0 - 0$

Then $a + 0 = 0 + a = a$, for all $a \in G$.

Existence of Inverse :

Let $a \in G$ be arbitrary

Then $(-a) + (-a) - (-a) = -a - a + a$

$$= -a$$

$$\Rightarrow -a \in G$$

Now, $a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0$

Hence $(G, +)$ is an abelian group

(ii) (G, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice :

Let $a, b \in G$ be arbitrary.

$$\Rightarrow a, -b, b \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow a - b, b \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow (a - b) + b \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow a \vee b \in G, \text{ by property 7 [4]}$$

Also $a, b \in G \Rightarrow a + b, a \vee b \in G$

$$\Rightarrow (a + b) - (a \vee b) \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow a \wedge b \in G$$

Idempotent law:

Let $a \in G$ be arbitrary

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } a \vee a &= (a - a) + a \\ &= a\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a \wedge a &= (a + a) - (a \vee a) \\ &= (a + a) - a \\ &= a\end{aligned}$$

Thus $a \vee a = a$; $a \wedge a = a$, for all a in G

Commutative law:

Let a, b in G be arbitrary

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } a \vee b &= (a + b) - (a \wedge b) \\ &= [(a + b) - a] \vee [(a + b) - b] \\ &= b \vee a\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a \wedge b &= (a + b) - (a \vee b) \\ &= (b + a) - (b \vee a) \\ &= b \wedge a\end{aligned}$$

Thus $a \vee b = b \vee a$; $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$, for all a, b in G

Associative law :

Let a, b, c in G be arbitrary

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } a \vee (b \vee c) &= [a - (b \vee c)] + (b \vee c) \\ &= a\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(a \vee b) \vee c &= [(a \vee b) - c] + c \\ &= a \vee b \\ &= (a - b) + b \\ &= a\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a \vee (b \vee c) = (a \vee b) \vee c$, for all a, b, c in G .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Also, } a \wedge (b \wedge c) &= [a + (b \wedge c)] - [a \vee (b \wedge c)] \\
&= [a + (b \wedge c) - ([a - (b \wedge c)] + (b \wedge c))] \\
&= a + (b \wedge c) - a \\
&= b \wedge c \\
&= (b + c) - (b \vee c) \\
&= (b + c) - [(b - c) + c] \\
&= b + c - b \\
&= c
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \wedge b) \wedge c &= [(a \wedge b) + c] - [(a \wedge b) \vee c] \\
&= [(a \wedge b) + c] - ([a - (a \wedge b)] + c) \\
&= (a \wedge b) + c - (a \wedge b) = c
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a \wedge (b \wedge c) = (a \wedge b) \wedge c$, for all a, b, c in G .

Absorption Law :

Let a, b in G be arbitrary

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } a \vee (a \wedge b) &= [a - (a \wedge b)] + (a \wedge b) \\
&= a
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a \wedge (a \vee b) &= [a - (a \vee b)] - [a \vee (a \vee b)] \\
&= [a + (a \vee b)] - [(a \vee a) \vee b] \\
&= a + (a \vee b) - (a \vee b) = a
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $a \vee (a \wedge b) = a$, $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$, for all a, b in G

Therefore, (G, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice

- (iii) $a + (b \vee c) = (a + b) \vee (a + c)$,
 $a + (b \wedge c) = (a + b) \wedge (a + c)$, for all a, b, c in G :

Let a, b, c in G be arbitrary

$$\text{Then } a + (b \vee c) = a + [(b - c) + c]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= a + b \\
 (a + b) \vee (a + c) &= [(a + b) - (a + c)] + (a + c) \\
 &= a + b
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a + (b \vee c) = (a + b) \vee (a + c)$, for all a, b, c in G

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Also, } a + (b \wedge c) &= a + [(b + c) - (b \vee c)] \\
 &= a + ((b + c) - [(b - c) + c]) \\
 &= a + [(b + c) - b] \\
 &= a + c
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a + b) \wedge (a + c) &= [(a + b) + (a + c)] - [(a + b) \vee (a + c)], \text{ by property 8 [4]} \\
 &= (a + b) + (a + c) - (a + b), \text{ by previous result} \\
 &= (a + c)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a + (b \wedge c) = (a + b) \wedge (a + c)$, for all a, b, c in G

Hence G is a commutative ℓ -group.

Claim (3): $A = B \times G$

For any a in A , $y = (a + a) - a$, $x = a - [(a + a) - a]$ implies y in G , x in B

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } (y + y) - y &= [(2a - a) + (2a - a)] - (2a - a) \\
 &= [(2a + 2a) - (a + a)] - (2a - a), \text{ by (6)} \\
 &= (4a - 2a) - (2a - a) \\
 &\geq 4a - 2a - a, \text{ since } 2a - a \leq a \\
 &= a
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (y + y) - y \geq y$$

Also, $(y + y) - y \leq (y - y) + y$, by property 11 [4]

$$= y$$

$$\Rightarrow (y + y) - y \leq y$$

Therefore, $(y + y) - y \leq y$

$$\Rightarrow y \text{ in } G$$

$$y = (a + a) - a$$

$$\Rightarrow y \leq a$$

$$\Rightarrow x \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x + x \geq 0 + x$$

$$\Rightarrow x + x \geq x$$

$$\text{Now, } (a - y) + (a - y) = (a + a) - (y + y), \quad \text{by (4)}$$

$$= 2a - 2y$$

$$= 2a - 2(2a - a)$$

$$\Rightarrow x + x = 2a - (4a - 2a)$$

We have

$$(4a - 2a) + [a - (2a - a)] = (2a - a) + (2a - a) + [a - (2a - a)]$$

$$\geq (2a - a) + a$$

$$= 2a$$

$$\Rightarrow (4a - 2a) + [a - (2a - a)] \geq 2a$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a - (4a - 2a) \leq a - (2a - a) = x$$

$$\Rightarrow x + x \leq x$$

$$\Rightarrow x + x = x$$

$$\Rightarrow x \text{ in } B$$

Thus if a in A , then $a = x + y$, where x in B , y in G

Now, let $a = x' + y'$, where x' in B , y' in G

$$\text{Then } a + a = (x' + y') + (x' + y')$$

$$= (x' + x') + (y' + y')$$

$$= x' + 2y', \text{ since } x' \text{ in } B$$

$$= (x' + y') + y'$$

$$\Rightarrow a + a = a + y'$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + a) - a = (a + y') - a$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + y') - a \text{ in } G$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(a + y') - a] - y' &= (a + y') - (a + y') \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow a + y' = a + y'$$

$$\Rightarrow a + y' - a = y'$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + a) - a = y'$$

Now, $a = x' + y'$

$$\Rightarrow a - y' = x' \leq x'$$

$$\Rightarrow a - y' \leq x'$$

Also, $x' - (a - y') \leq (x' - a) + y'$

$$\begin{aligned} &= [x' - (x' + y')] + y' \\ &= (0 - y') + y' = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow x' - (a - y') \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x' \leq a - y'$$

Hence $x' = a - y'$

Hence follows that A is the direct product of a Brouwerian Algebra B and a commutative ℓ - group G.

Conversely, assume that $A = B \times G$, where B is a Brouwerian Algebra and G is a commutative ℓ - group.

To prove

$$(i) \quad (a + b) - (c + c) \geq (a - c) + (b - c),$$

$$(ii) \quad (ma + nb) - (a + b) \geq (ma - a) + (nb - b),$$

for all a, b, c in A and any pair of positive integers m, n .

Let a, b, c in A be arbitrary

\Rightarrow (i). To each $[(a - c) + (b - c)], (a + b)$ in B , there exist a least $-(c + c)$ in B such that $(a + b) - (c + c) \geq (a - c) + (b - c)$,

(ii). To each $[(ma - a) + (nb - b)], (ma + nb)$ in B , there exist a least element $-(a + b)$ in B such that $(ma + nb) - (a + b) \geq (ma - a) + (nb - b)$,

since B is a Brouwerian Algebra

$$\Rightarrow \quad (i) \quad (a + b) - (c + c) \geq (a - c) + (b - c),$$

$$(ii) \quad (ma + nb) - (a + b) \geq (ma - a) + (nb - b),$$

for all a, b, c in A and any pair of positive integers m, n .

References:

- [1]. *E. A. Nordhaus and Leo Lapidus*, Brouwerian Geometry, *Canad. J. Math.*,6 (1954).
- [2]. *G. Birkhoff*, Lattice Theory, American Mathematical Society, Colloguium Publications, Volume 25, Providence R. I., 3rd Edition, 3rd Printing, (1979).
- [3]. *K.L.N. Swamy*, Dually Residuated Lattice Ordered Semigroup, *Math. Ann.* 159, 105 – 114, (1965).
- [4]. *M. Jeyalakshmi and R. Natarajan*, $DR\ell$ – group, *Acta Cienca Indica*, Vol. XXIX. M, No.4, 823 – 830 (2003).
- [5]. *M. Ward and R. P. Dilworth*, Residuated Lattice, *Trans, Am. Math., Soc.* 45, (1939).